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We report detailed spectroscopic measurements of the $X(0)\,[^1\Sigma^+](v = 0) \rightarrow B(1)\,[^3\Pi](v = 5)$ transition in PbO. Using a Stark-modulated laser absorption technique, we have measured the hyperfine constant of $^{207}$PbO in the $B(1)$ state, as well as the $B(1)(v = 5)$ rotational constant, $X - B$ isotope shifts, etc. The hyperfine constant of the $B(1)$ state is of interest as a benchmark for calculations of PbO electronic structure, related to experiments to search for the electric dipole moment of the electron.

PACS numbers: 33.15.-e,33.20.Kf,14.60.Cd

Spectroscopy of the PbO molecule has become of interest since it is a good candidate for use in searches for a permanent electric dipole moment (EDM) of the electron, $d_e$. EDMs are interesting because a non-zero value for an EDM of any fundamental particle would violate parity and time-reversal symmetries. Standard model predictions for EDMs are well below any proposed experimental sensitivities. However, most extensions of the standard model predict dramatically enhanced EDMs.

A promising approach towards improving the limits on $d_e$ involves heavy polar diatomic molecules in a configuration with an unpaired electron spin. In such systems, the effective electric field $W_d$ seen by the unpaired electron can be many orders of magnitude larger than external fields attainable in the laboratory. An electron EDM can be detected by spin polarizing an electron along this internal field and searching for the characteristic linear Stark shift $\Delta E = -d_e W_d$. Interpreting experimental limits on $\Delta E$ measured in a molecule in terms of $d_e$ requires a value for $W_d$. This can be obtained using semi-empirical wavefunctions for the state of interest, or from ab initio calculations. Spectroscopic properties sensitive to the electron spin density at the nucleus such as hyperfine structure (hfs) can constrain the parameters in semi-empirical evaluations of $W_d$, or test the predictions of ab initio calculations.

An experiment is underway in our lab using the $a(1)\,[^3\Sigma^+]$ state of PbO, that may improve the current limit $|d_e| < 1.6 \times 10^{-27}$ e cm by several orders of magnitude. Such an improvement would probe large regions of the parameter space of many standard model extensions. Stimulated by this work, a measurement of the hyperfine constant of the $a(1)$ state was recently reported. The hfs of the $B(1)\,[^3\Pi]$ state of $^{207}$PbO is also of interest, since it can be used to further check and/or refine the electronic structure calculations used to calculate the value of $W_d$ in the $a(1)$ state. In addition, knowledge of the $B(1)$ hfs can be used for estimating $W_d$ for the $B(1)$ state, which also may be a viable candidate for an EDM search. This motivates this effort to determine the hfs of the $B(1)$ state of PbO.

The measurements were made by observing the absorption of laser light by PbO vapor in a cell as the laser wavelength was tuned. The cell contains PbO of natural isotopic abundance; it is constructed from a 5.7 cm cube of alumina, with 5.1 cm diameter through holes bored perpendicular to, and centered on, each face. The top and bottom holes of the resulting cubical frame structure are capped with alumina plates. Thin YAG windows are bonded on the remaining four holes using gold foil as an intermediate layer. The cell is heated to 700°C by the radiation from resistively heated thin foils of tantalum supported on a quartz framework surrounding the cell. This results in a PbO number density $n \approx 4 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-3}$ in the cell. The laser used in the measurements was an external cavity diode system based on a standard design. Our system used a Nichia NLHV500C violet diode laser and 3600 grooves/mm diffraction grating in a Littrow configuration. The laser provided $\lesssim 1$ mW of power around 406.5 nm which passed through the YAG windows into the cell.

To enable sensitive lock-in detection of the absorption, we employed a Stark modulation technique. As explained below, this method enhances signals from low J levels where the hfs is largest, which are otherwise difficult to isolate within the spectrally congested region near the bandhead. Here we briefly discuss the basic features of the Stark-modulated signals, as relevant to our experiment.

The Stark effect in the $X(0) \rightarrow B(1)$ transition is dominated by shifts due to mixing between the closely-spaced $\Omega$-doublet levels in the $B(1)$ state. The doublet members, $\epsilon$ and $f$, share a common value of total (electronic + rotational) angular momentum $J$ but are of opposite parity. The spacing between the doublet levels is given by $\Delta \Omega(J) = g(J + 1)$, where $q \ll B_{J=5}$, the rotational constant for the $B(v = 5)$ state. [By convention, $q$ is positive if the $\epsilon$ level, with parity $P = (-1)^J$, is at higher energy.] In the absence of an electric field $E$, selection rules for the electric-dipole $X \rightarrow B$ transition ensure that the laser light is absorbed only in the transition to one of the doublet levels. In the presence of $E$, the $\epsilon$ and $f$
doublet levels, by modulating characteristic broadening of the absorption spectral line. The repulsion of the doublet levels results in a small shift in the line centers, as the difference in absorption is recorded in the scans.

levels mix and are shifted by an amount $\Delta \nu$ given by:

$$\Delta \nu = \pm \left[ \sqrt{\frac{(\Delta \Omega(J)/2)^2 + (\alpha E)^2}{2}} - \Delta \Omega(J)/2 \right].$$

Here the upper (lower) sign corresponds to the upper (lower) level in the limit $E \to 0$, and

$$\alpha = \mu_B(1) M_F \frac{F(F+1) + J(J+1) - I(I+1)}{2F(F+1)J(J+1)},$$

where $\mu_B(1)$ is the dipole moment of the $B$ state, and $F = J + I$ is the total angular momentum. In the limit of large $E$ and small $J$, $\Delta \nu \propto E$ and the doublet levels are completely mixed. In this limit, the laser is absorbed with equal strength by both eigenstates of the mixed doublet, and the repulsion of the doublet levels results in a characteristic broadening of the absorption spectral line (see Fig. 1). For a laser tuned to one of the unperturbed doublet levels, by modulating $\mathbf{E}$, maxima in absorption will occur twice per period at the minima of $E$. The $\Omega$-doublet mixing leads to small shifts in the line centers, as does the slight residual Stark mixing with nearby rotational levels of opposite parity. Both of these small shifts ($\lesssim 50$ MHz) are accounted for in the analysis.

In our measurements, an electric field $\mathbf{E}$ was produced using two parallel 3.8 cm diameter gold foil electrodes spaced 0.6 cm apart in the cell. The laser light was linearly polarized parallel to $\mathbf{E}$ and traversed the vapor cell between the electrodes. A reference signal at $\omega = 2\pi \times 99$ kHz was amplified to produce a voltage $V = V_0 \cos(\omega t)$, where $V_0 \approx 800$ V, across the electrodes. The transmitted light was detected with a photodiode and amplified. Part of the laser beam was diverted for a wavelength measurement (using a Burleigh WA-1500 Wavemeter), and the laser power reflected from the cell was monitored with a second "reference" photodiode.

The transmitted signals were sampled at 1 MHz with a 12 bit ADC and recorded for 4 s. The amplitude of the signal at the 2nd harmonic of the reference was extracted from the digitized data. Then the laser frequency was advanced roughly 150 MHz by simultaneous adjustment of the grating angle and diode current, and a new absorption measurement was taken. This cycle was repeated until a mode-hop occurred, yielding scans covering 15-30 GHz.

The scans showed absorption features corresponding to $^{208}$PbO, $^{207}$PbO, and $^{206}$PbO molecules making $P_-$, $Q_-$, and $R$-branch transitions from the electronic ground state $X(0) [^1\Sigma^+\alpha] (\nu' = 0, J'')$ to the excited state $B(1) [^3\Pi \nu'] (\nu' = 5, J')$, with $S/N \gtrsim 1$ for $J' = 0 - 6$. An example is shown in Fig. 2. Before analyzing the data, the absorption amplitudes were corrected for the variation in laser power with frequency during a scan. We expect residual variations in amplitude over the scan of $\sim 5\%$, based on observed fluctuations in the ratio between transmitted and reflected power (presumably due to interference effects in the cell windows). The statistical uncertainty in each measurement was estimated from the fluctuations of the second harmonic out of phase with the signal, and was typically a few times greater than the shot noise in the photodiode signal. The largest signal was observed from the Q1 $^{208}$PbO line, with a peak $S/N \gtrsim 75$, corresponding to $\approx 4$ ppm absorption. These scans were fit to extract the hfs constant and coefficients in the Dunham expansion, as described below.

Hyperfine structure in the $B(1)$ state of $^{207}$Pb0 arises from the interaction $H_{\text{hfs}} = J_e \cdot \mathbf{A} \cdot I = A_{||} J_e Z I_Z + A_{\perp} (J_{Xe} I_X + J_{Ye} I_Y)$, where $\mathbf{A}$ is the hyperfine tensor, $I = 1/2$ is the nuclear spin of $^{207}$Pb, $J_e$ is the electronic angular momentum, and the coordinate axes are defined in the body-fixed frame, with $Z$ along the internuclear axis $\hat{\alpha}$. For levels with low $J$ values in an $\Omega = 1$ electronic state, the hfs is dominated by the term in $H_{\text{hfs}}$ proportional to $A_{||}$. The terms containing $J_{Xe,Ye}$ induce off-diagonal mixing with states with $\Omega \neq 1$, which are far in energy for this Hund's case (c) molecular state. We can thus write the energy shift due to hfs, correct to second order in $H_{\text{hfs}} \approx A_{||} J_e Z I_Z$, as

$$\Delta E_{\text{hfs}} \approx \langle FIJM_F \Omega | A_{||} J_e Z I_Z | FIJM_F \Omega \rangle$$

$$+ \sum_{J' = J \pm 1} |\langle FI'JM_F \Omega | A_{||} J_e Z I_Z | FI'JM_F \Omega \rangle|^2 \frac{E_{FIJ} - E_{FIJ'}}{E_{FIJ}}.$$ (3)
The matrix elements are determined from:

\[
\langle FIJM_F\Omega|eZI_2|FIJM_F\Omega\rangle
\]

\[
= (-1)^{F+I+J} \left\{ \begin{array}{ccc}
F & I & J' \\
1 & J & 1
\end{array} \right\} \sqrt{I(I+1)(2I+1)}
\]

\[
\times (-1)^{J'-\Omega} \sqrt{J'!} \sqrt{J+1\!} \right\langle J' \quad 1 \quad J \overrightarrow{\Omega} \quad \Omega \right\rangle. \quad (4)
\]

Eqn. 3 then simplifies to:

\[
\Delta E_{\text{hfs}}^{F=J \pm 1/2} = \pm \frac{A_{||}}{2(F + 1/2)} + \frac{A_{\perp}^2}{8B_{e=5}} \frac{(F - 1/2)(F + 3/2)}{(F + 1/2)^3}. \quad (5)
\]

Initial assignments of each line were possible using estimates of the $B(1)$ state energies by extrapolation from the data of Ref. [3], and taking into account the known natural abundances of each Pb isotope. The line splitting due to hfs in $^{207}$PbO is clearly visible in two transitions, corresponding to the Q1 and Q2 lines, in Fig. 2. The unperturbed line positions of these hfs-split lines could be located initially by extrapolating from $^{207}$PbO lines with higher values of $J$, which exhibit much smaller hfs splitting. The sign of $A_{||}$ is found to be positive by visual inspection of Fig. 2 using the following observations: the largest peak, which is closest to the unperturbed line center, must arise from the $F = J + 1/2$ state (see Eqn. 3); and in addition, if $A_{||} > 0 (<0)$, then this line should lie higher (lower) in energy than the unperturbed line center.

Once the lines were assigned, each scan was analyzed with a multiparameter fit in which the modulated absorption lines were approximated as as sum over second derivatives of a gaussian, with a separate gaussian for each value of $m_J$ in the excited state. With the isotopic abundances fixed, several fit parameters were used to characterize the height and the width of the various transitions; these included the ratio of $P:Q$ and $R:Q$ transition strengths, the value of $\mu_{B(1)}$, the Doppler width, and a measure of the electric field inhomogeneity. To extract line positions, the energy $E^{Z,A}_v(J,v)$ of electronic state $Z (Z = X, B)$ with vibrational and rotational quantum numbers $v$ and $J$, respectively, for the $^{208}$PbO isotopic species was written as

\[
E^{Z,A}_v(J,v) = T_e^{Z,A} + \sum_{j,k} Y_{jk}^{Z,A}(v + 1/2)^j (J(J+1))^k.
\]

Here the isotopic changes in rovibrational energy are taken into account by writing $Y_{jk}^{Z,A} = Y_{jk}^{Z,208} Y_{jk}^{A}$. The shifts between the values of $C_{v=5}^{B,A}$ for the different isotopes arise from changes in both the rovibrational and electronic energies. In order to separate these effects, it is necessary to evaluate the absolute rovibrational energies of the $B(1) (v = 5)$ and the $X(0) (v = 0)$ states. We accomplish this using a Dunham expansion:

\[
E^{Z,A}_v(J,v) = T_e^{Z,A} + \sum_{j,k} Y_{jk}^{Z,A}(v + 1/2)^j (J(J+1))^k.
\]

To evaluate the rovibrionic part of the isotopic shifts, we take the values of $Y_{jk}^{Z,A}$ from Ref. 2. The Dunham coefficients $Y_{jk}^{B,208}$ given in Table I were evaluated using the data of Ref. 3 on the three lowest vibrational levels ($v = 0, 1, 2$) of the $B(1)$ state, in combination with our determination of $G_{v=5}^{B,208}$ and $B_{v=5}^{B}$. Although inclusion of our data shifts the values of individual Dunham coefficients noticeably (as compared to their values using only the data from Ref. 3), the resulting change in the rovibrionic part of the isotope shifts is small: $\lesssim 100$ MHz, comparable to the uncertainty in our determination of individual line positions. Due to our limited range in $J$, we have negligible sensitivity to isotopic differences in the rotational constants.

With this determination of the rovibrionic part of the isotopic shift, it is possible to extract the isotopic shifts in the electronic energies. Defining $\Delta \nu(A - A') = \nu(A') - \nu(A)$,
\[ (T_e^{e,A} - T_e^{X,A}) - \left( T_e^{e,A'} - T_e^{X,A'} \right), \]
we find \( \Delta \nu (208 - 207) = -270(50) \text{ MHz} \) and \( \Delta \nu (208 - 206) = -720(60) \text{ MHz} \). In a second approach, line centers from two different isotopes were extracted with no constraints on their relative positions or amplitudes. Subtracting off the rovibrionic part of the separation expected between the lines from Eqn. 7 gave consistent results for the electronic part of the isotope shifts. To account for these electronic shifts, we write

\[ T_e^{Z,A} = T_e^{Z,\text{P}} \left( 1 + V_e^{Z} \langle r_e^{2} \rangle \Delta Z \frac{m_e}{M_A} \right). \]  

(8)

In this expression, \( T_e^{Z,\text{P}} \) is the electronic energy of state \( Z \) for a hypothetical point-like nucleus of infinite mass, and the parameters \( V_e^{Z} \) and \( \Delta Z \) characterize the field-shift and mass-shift parts, respectively, of the electronic isotope shift;\(^{13, 14}\) here \( \langle r_e^{2} \rangle \) and \( M_A \) are the mean-square charge radius and the mass, respectively, of the \( ^{207}\text{Pb} \) nucleus, and \( m_e \) is the electron mass. We use the known difference in mean-square charge radii between the different Pb isotopes\(^ {15} \) to separate our measured values for the electronic shift into field- and mass-shift contributions. We find \( V_e^{B} - V_e^{X} = 1.0(7) \times 10^{-5} \text{ fm}^{-2} \) and \( \Delta B - \Delta X = 8.5(3.1) \times 10^{-6} \). The normal part of the mass shift (due to the change in the electron reduced mass) is negligibly small; hence the observed shift is a specific mass shift, due to electron correlations. This shift is unusually large, although similar shifts are known to occur in complex atoms\(^ {14} \).

In summary, we have measured some detailed spectroscopic parameters of the \( X (0) (v = 0) \rightarrow B (1) (v = 5) \) transition of PbO. The measured value of the \( B (1) \) state hf provides an important data point for checking calculations of the effective electric field acting on an electron EDM in excited states of PbO.
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