March 14, 2012

Letter to Madid

David D. Butler
Labor Day, 04 September 2007

___  ___________ __ ______

____  ____ _

_____ /____ __

Madrid _____ Espania

Dear ___:

[T]he Dark Ages had come, with nothing but candles and plainsong left to lighten the shadows. “Back to the start . . . and lose fifteen centuries.”

I. Introduction

You recently wrote asking me about immigration regulation into the United States of America [hereinafter “USA”] and the European Union [hereinafter “EU”]. You suggest India and Switzerland as examples as leads for the USA and the EU to follow.

I know that no recent history is a guide for either the USA or the EU on immigration. The Earth’s young human population continues exploding. Relatively cheap commercial air transport now trumps even the minimal Darwinian survival of fit humans which an ocean crossing imposed four generations ago.
I am certain that neither India nor Switzerland will give either the USA or the EU a trail of breadcrumbs to follow out of the dark wood into which the USA and the EU have wandered regarding immigration. In the case of India, we do not want what they have. In the case of Switzerland, we do not like ourselves enough to give ourselves what they have.

This section is an “Introduction.” By its very nature, it is preliminary. Thus, I will make one initial observation. The USA, at least today, would like to be an empire. Our commercial air fleet, however, sat on the ground for four days after 9/11. In some smaller cities, for example, Des Moines, our commercial airplanes sat on the ground for months after 9/11. By contrast, your Spanish trains, ran in and out of the Atocha train station in Madrid the next day after your Islamic bombing.
There is a homely saying about having eyes too big for one’s stomach. The eyes of the USA bulge with envy at Spain’s King Charles I & the Holy Roman Emperor Charles V, on whose empire the sun never set. King-Emperor Charles abdicated in 1558. As an European, you know that his empire did not survive long either. As a Spanish subject, you know also that the empire business bankrupted Spain for centuries. Now, I turn back to what to do, and what not to do, regarding immigration.

A. Worldwide Quantity & Quality

There are too many humans on the Earth. Too many of these people are under twelve. Thus, by definition, these young people are simply uneducated for modern USA and EU life.
Therefore, these young humans are in Dante’s phrase, “useless mouths.” Many of these youths will not change (said otherwise, learn) as a point of pride. In fashionable North American English currently, this posture is called “attitude” or “high self-esteem.” In Biblical times, this posture was called “false pride.” Laying aside legal history, at the age of eighteen in the USA and EU, each of these young men and women can vote, once, as can you.

Other parts of the world also use this bafflegab, notion, scheme, principle, “constitutional guarantee,” “constitutional mandate,” “basic human right,” or even “natural right” of “one person, one vote.” You select any term you like; they’re all loaded. Thus, orthodox Muslims and pious Jews try to out breed one another in Palestine and Israel.
The semi-democratic West is utterly mesmerized by its own locution, “One man, one vote,” in the mouths of strutting dictators, kings, and satraps whose people have no vote. Our media views these strutting dictators, kings, and satraps with addled respect. Added examples are too loathsome to mention.

B. USA and EU Quantity and Quality

Television tells every human on the Earth, especially in the USA and the EU, that, hey, they’re entitled to everything all of the time. Many humans believe or pretend to believe that they are. Their public posture is louder if what they want and want right now belongs to you or to me.

The taxes on workers today to support our welfare states are too high for many workers in the USA and EU to pay while supporting their own children who must, in turn, pay higher
taxes in future. So the legitimate birthrate, in the USA and the EU has and is crashing.

C. The Right to Privacy

The USA, which endlessly moans and groans about the “right to privacy,” can’t compete for low wages with cultures where people sleep four or eight to a room. The EU tolerates more people in tighter space. Even the EU doesn’t sleep four or eight to a room after its *wanderjahre* on the beach, in youth hostels, and on top of one another.

* * * * * * *
Consequently, the Earth’s (1) youthful human population explosion, the (2) USA and EU’s failure at reproduction, and the (3) USA and EU’s notion of privacy add up to: The USA and EU both lure workers and parasites, legal or not. The USA lures people mainly from Latin America and East Asia. The EU lures people from mainly North Africa and the Near East.

* * * * * * *

II. The Special Case of India

With frequent times out for a war over Kashmir and endless “communal violence,” India works only after a bloody partition from Pakistan. The east portion of Pakistan, in turn, devolved, bloodily, into Bangladesh.
Put simply, the Hindus can’t live with the Islamic descendants of the Moguls. Accurately, today no one actually can live with Muslims:

I am no expert on Islam, but who born in North America is? Nevertheless, ask yourself whether Muslims exist only as (1) warrior-kings or (2) subject-slaves. If they lower themselves to exist with other people, Muslims may cease to exist, at least in their own minds (the only place humans do exist) as Muslims.

III. The Special Case of Switzerland

The Swiss answers work superbly for Switzerland. Switzerland, however, uses (1) miniscule immigration (2) plus ethnic enclaves. The Swiss also (3) maintain a huge, armed
conscript force both to (a) protect themselves and to (b) unify themselves.

If you and your husband grew up in a French enclave of Switzerland, speaking French, but now live in the enclave of German Switzerland, you are welcome to speak French with your children at home, in the streets, and at the opera. Nevertheless, if your children go to public school, your children will be taught in German. Your children can (and probably will) take French but as a language. German will serve as the general currency of their instruction.

Until his mid-thirties, each year after his reserve duty, your husband will bring back his military assault rifle and safely store it and its ammunition in your home until his next year’s reserve duty. The (1) murder rate in your hypothetical, multi-ethnic,
arms-bearing nation is, (2) like your immigration rate, miniscule.

Nevertheless, the mere thought of the use of scant immigration, ethnic enclaves, and the draft, or, even, all three, would cause many USA people, especially many USA lawyers, to pull the stakes from their hearts, spit the garlic from their mouths, and then to whine, “that’s not fair” or even “. . . but, but, but . . . that’s not . . . constitutional.”

IV. The Special Case of Immigration to the United States of North America

The original United States Constitution contains nine words on immigration: “The Congress shall . . . . establish an uniform
Rule of Naturalization . . . “. Art. I, sec. 8. Unless preempted by federal law, state courts, state politicians, and state law enforcement officers are required to enforce federal law.

The USA federal Immigration and Naturalization Service & Homeland Security are creatures of federal statute. In county museums in Iowa and Missouri, one sees 19th Century Certificates of Naturalization entered by state court judges in state court dockets.

The notion of sanctuary cities, states, rural school districts, and the like has been tried before. Unlike today’s “sanctuary” hot-gospellers, the proponents of those antique schemes were honest and called their programs what they were: Initially, nullification; in a few years, civil war.
The USA, as constituted today, works only after a bloody Civil War and two bloody World Wars. Many intelligent people think the USA should have kept on drinking the so-to-speak martini of George Washington’s Farwell Address advice of “avoid foreign entanglements” garnished with James Monroe’s so-to-speak olive of warning to the Old World to stay out of the New World.

I emphatically think so, but the poisoned apple of “making the world safe for Democracy” was just too sweet to Woodrow (“He kept us out of war.”) Wilson. Under the camouflage label of “nation building,” the poisoned apple, now so-to-speak sugared and baked, was just too sweet to most of Wilson’s successors. To be sure, the late German Emperor and Prussian King Wilhelm II drew no court cards in the suite of tact.
The United States, however, had no reason to go to war on the European Continent in 1917. We had no dog in that fight. A few hundred arrogant rich Americans put themselves at risk on the Lusitannia.iii This was their right. On May 07, 1915, they lost their lives. This was their choice.

V. The Special Case of the American Empire

Ideas have consequences. Often, the consequence of an idea is action. Actions, in turn, have consequences. Had the USA stayed out of World War I, the British and German empires would have bled, maimed, and killed one another for a few more months. The French were functionally out of the Great War for Civilization by 1917, inevitably busy as always since 1789,
fighting their endless civil war of retribution between Royalist White and Marxist Red.

Had the USA stayed the course of isolationism during the First World War, there would have been no lopsided Treaty of Versailles. There would have been no Adolph Hitler. And, certainly, there would have been no Lenin. It was our clever ambassador (the smartest boy in Hope, Arkansas in his day, I suppose) to Switzerland who loaded that human-demon-raskolniki onto a sealed train from Zurich to Russia.

Barry Goldwater was the last chance for the USA to avoid falling into empire’s boiling kettle of tax and spend fish. Now, however, we are at “places” for Act Today in the Opera of Life. Today, many people think the USA would be domestically a
much more violent and oppressive place were our foreign enemies simply to vanish.

With no empire to amuse ourselves, we Americans, especially Blacks and Whites, would discover how little we have in common with one another. Worse, if we did trouble ourselves truly to understand one another, we would understand how deeply we hate and abominate one another. If you want to know what many American Blacks/Nation of Islam (“NOI”) adherents want to do to you and to me, run “Zebra Killings” or “Zebra Murders” on your computer search engine. Listen to Louis Farrakhan. Compare Andre Brie, “We have nothing to say to each other. But we don’t talk about it.”

One of Benjamin Disraeli’s literary characters in Coningsby is a well-traveled, wise Jew named Sidonia. At one point in the
novel, Sidonia says dryly, “Race is everything.” Had the USA started earlier, we might have had, as you wrote to me, “a period of accommodation between Black and White.” Today, however, the USA is far past that exit on the freeway of our long day’s journey into the black night of empire.

Many things are to society as many things are to the individual human or animal. One needs oxygen, and one needs salt. One needs these things in the right quantities and over the right time. It is fatal to have the “average hourly amount of oxygen for a healthy human being to sustain life for one hour,” when all of the oxygen gets delivered in the final ten minutes of the hour.

One eats, easily, a pound of salt over the course of a year, but it is fatal to eat a pound of salt all in one day. There isn’t
anything wrong with the salt, there isn’t anything wrong with
the man or with the woman, but there’s just not enough time for
the man or woman to metabolize that much salt in one day. As
David Byrne of the Talking Heads said, “The difference
between poison and medicine is the dose.”

Maybe the USA does not need any more immigrants right
now. Ask yourself if it does. Assume, however, perhaps we do.
Then ask yourself: How old? Possessing which skills?
Whence? Many civilized countries, for one example, New
Zealand, require the new immigrant to bring substantial cash to
grub steak his or her first years in their new home. Maybe we
should emulate that example.

Again, the USA would like to be an empire. But we don’t
think like an empire. Hence, we don’t act like an empire.
Compare 67 year old Prince Field Marshall Michael Kutuzov, who decided in 1812 to abandon Moscow, but save the Tsar’s army from Napoleon. Kutuzov knew at that moment the heart and the head of Holy Mother Russia lay with her army and not with so many wooden Muscovite buildings: “‘Well, gentlemen, I see that it is I who will have to pay for the broken crockery.’ . . . ‘[I], by the authority entrusted to me by my Sovereign and county, order a retreat.’” L. Tolstoy, War and Peace, 927-928 (trans. L. & A. Maude, 1942).

Here are two questions everyone on this side of the Atlantic, Democrat, Republican, or “independent,” does not publicly ask: (1) Who sold American flag airline, hotel, and reinsurance stock short on EU markets in the days before 9/11? (2) Why didn’t we shoot down the 4th airliner before she hit the Pentagon? No Coast Guard captain or Air Force half-colonel made that
decision on his or her own. Did the President approve: Did the Vice-President approve: Did the Speaker of the House: Or the President Pro Tem of the Senate: Did they all approve? Ask yourself, because no professional Democrat, Republican, talk show host, or editorial writer will. It would interfere with the political contributions, advertising revenue or, in the case of National Public Radio, with lapping up tax revenue and fund-raising dollars, to ask those questions.

It’s bad to have to reimburse James and Dolly Madison for their hotel bill when they had to sleep, so-to-speak, with Paris Hilton after the British burned the old White House in the War of 1812. But our current public silence on the twin questions of (1) who sold stocks short in Europe and (2) who in the Defense Department - or above - stood idly by and lost the Pentagon is
far worse: As your mother would have said, our silence is “Telling, very telling.”

*Qui bono?* As to question one, my nose tells me that the answer is, *inter alia*, the Nation of Islam. The Nation was probably aided and abetted by their Swiss and Austrian bankers. That, of course, is that’s just my hunch, or, the same guess, supposition, and snap-dash-snap-judgment I bet my life on when I drive a car or walk across the street in Madrid, Spain, or in Des Moines, Iowa.

Ask yourself, too, will the Nation of Islam protect convicted Islamic terrorists inside the United States prisons? Indubitably, the Nation will. The Nation of Islam knows what it knows. Unlike the USA and the EU, the Nation of Islam does not dither.
Then ("It’s physical, only logical.") the puppet masters of the homicide bombers will use each of the bombers - italics are a good substitute for analysis, let’s have some; each and every one of them - as bargaining chips for (1) oil certainly but primarily for (2) peace at any price.

If trends, including immigration from the Islamic world continue, it is inevitable that the USA will free Zacarias Moussaoui, the twentieth hijacker. Moussaoui announced to the jury who sentenced him to life rather than execution on the basis of his ludicrous, self-serving plea in mitigation of child abuse at the hands of a ("It’s physical, only logical.") dead malefactor, “America, you loose.”

Moussaoui will go home to a royal welcome when America has too little oil and too many Islamic residents, legal and illegal, to keep him locked up. David D. Butler, “Monarchy is

Twenty years before the Income Tax, the First World War, and Prohibition, Oliver Wendell Holmes wrote, “The prophecies of what the courts will do, in fact, and nothing more pretentious, are what I mean by the law.” *The Path of the Law, ___* (1897). Now, after the United States Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court, even after the legislative invention of the Iowa Department of Human Services star chamber, one needs to predict the actions of administrative agencies as well as courts. Still, I predict that Moussaoui will be freed, and sent home, probably with a decorous and generous bribe. That prediction, and nothing more pretentious, is how I read the bird entrails of 2007.
The moaning about Spanish on the internet notwithstanding, one other thing is certain also: America will not speak Spanish in 2050. We will be forced to speak English so that our Chinese overlords can communicate their desires to us through their North Korean satraps.

As to questions one and two, to quote again Andre Brie, “We have nothing to say to each other. But we don’t talk about it.”

Perhaps someone in the EU wants to know, but these two questions are the twin invisible hippopotami at play in the USA living room these days. As is said on another subject, “Don’t ask, don’t tell.” I bet you your Euros to our dollars that Mr. Obama, Ms. Clinton, George W. Bush, Admiral McCain, Rush Limbaugh, and Oprah Winfrey will never (1) ask or (2) tell.
The people, however, of the USA and EU know. That knowledge is why we the people of the USA and EU will not commit, net, to conceiving and raising legitimate children. Legal abortion makes life too easy, as does the illegal lawn care boy and the illegal maid. Imagine, if you can, any net increase in the conception and raising of legitimate children in the USA or EU. To quote Ben Stein’s character in “Ferris Bueller’s Day Off,” “Anyone? Anyone?”

That knowledge is also why the people of the USA and the EU will not commit to investing in major public works. In 1936, at the height of Franklin Roosevelt’s Great Depression, six Bay Area Counties and Mendocino County proposed building the Golden Gate Bridge. The founder of the Bank of America, A. P. Giannini, said simply, “We will take the bonds.”
Imagine, if you can, any seven American counties taking a comparable step now.

VI. Conclusion

We should decide whether we wish to continue drinking from the poisoned chalice of Woodrow Wilson’s world empire.

If we do decide to keep on drinking from the poisoned cup, we should decide whether Congress should issue letters of marque and reprisal to Blackwater. U.S.Const., Art. I, sec. 8, cl. 11 (“The Congress shall have Power . . . [t]o declare War, grant Letters of Marque and Reprisal, and make Rules concerning Captures on Land and Water”).
If we do decide to keep on drinking from the poisoned cup, we should require all law enforcement, state and federal, to pursue peonage prosecutions relentlessly. See 18 U.S.C. 1581.

Currently, we drink from the poisoned chalice by raining death from drones upon faceless civilian populations. These civilians include children.

This collateral damage will be remembered by Islam against the USA and the EU when Burgundy’s multiple monuments to the randomly selected victims of Nazi firing squads are become dust like both the victims and the perpetrators. Compare Mark Helprin, A Soldier of the Great War, 396 (2005) (The shepherds drove the sheep through a wide gate. “As soon as the animals entered the vast courtyard of ramshackle pens they knew they had been betrayed.” The eyes of the sheep were wide, “as if they could see [death] bearing down on them, but the fences
were too high to leap and the walls too solid to breach. The hearts of the ewes must have broken for their lambs.”

If we continue drinking from Wilson’s poisoned imperial cup, we should use, vigorously, joyfully, even gleefully, the death penalty against convicted terrorists whose piously sneering faces and upraised hectoring index finger we all see, all know, and all despise. The USA should promptly cremate the bodies and dump the ashes into an unknown river so that the corpses not serve as pilgrimage sites as did the mummies of Marx, Lenin, Jeremy Bentham, and the grave of Adolph Hitler and Heinrich Himmler’s Saxon King, Heinrich I, “’The Fowler’, elected King of All Germany in 919.”vi The graves of Saddam Hussein and of his two sons will breed violence and oppression, most of it launched from one branch of Islam against another, for a thousand years.
Finally, if we do decide to keep on drinking from Wilson’s poisoned chalice, we should also decide whether one of the costs is a respite from immigration, especially Islamic immigration.

Therefore, I think our choices boil down to (1) a moratorium, only possibly limited spatially and temporally, on Islamic immigration, or (2) it will last out our time, or (3) “It is finished.”

*Que besa sus pies,*

_____ – _____
For a time, one of the USA/EU multinational fast food chains had a slogan, “Have It Your Way.”

The North lost 36,000 killed on the initial day of Gettysburg, the South lost 30,000 killed, but, of course, the South had fewer men to spare. In the 1860 census, the whole American population, North and South, was thirty million, so you can multiply the deaths on both sides by a factor of ten to get something of an idea of what happened on Gettysburg Day I and, also, the loss thereafter to women, children, economy, and to people’s spirits, too. When you add up your bill of costs, don’t forget the merely crippled in mind, body, spirit, or all three.

Lusitannia is the Latin name for Portugal. Hence, the poet Luis Vaz de Camoens’s title, Lusiads, celebrating his kinsman, Vasco da Gama’s, voyage to India. Your country stayed out of the First World War. Thus, Spain under Alfonso XIII (in a Mobius Strip Trick of “Queen After Death,” like Christ, a king from before birth) built the Hispano-Suiza (the other car that is not Morgan) whilst France was otherwise engaged. Portugal, like the USA, plucked the mixed strange fruits of being on the “winning” side.


In the woods outside of Vezelay, where the Second Crusade was preached in 1146, there is a monument. A plain tablet commemorates French boys and men chosen by lot and
shot in retribution by a particular numbered Gestapo - not German - unit. There were flowers strewn round the monument in 1978 and, again, in 1997. This writer suspects that death falling from anonymous drones will be remembered in a less refined fashion as USA and EU in origin by Islam for a millennium and for half a millennium.

See Robin Lumsden, *Himmler’s Black Order: A History of the SS, 1923-45*, 115-26, (1997). A portion of this book relating to Himmler’s desire to restoring the pagan German tribes the Roman Marcus Aurelius faced across the Danube, “among the Quadi, on the river Gran,” deserves to be (1) suppressed or (2) reprinted, depending upon one’s opinion and belief. *Meditations*, 46 (1966, trans. M. Staniforth). This writer thus elects to reprint this portion of Lumsden’s fine book:

[Himmler] appealed to most women, tracing . . . the origin of the subjugation and undervaluation of women to the teachings of the Christian Church. The Church leadership, he asserted, had always been nothing more than a glorified homosexual male fraternity which on that basis had terrorized people for a thousand years, to the extent of burning 150,000 good German women . . . as witches. Far better to be pagan than Christian, he declared over the radio in 1937. Far better to worship the certainties of nature and ancestors than an unseen deity and its bogus representatives on earth. For a Volk which honoured its ancestors, and sought to honour itself, would always produce children, and so that Volk would have eternal life. It is evident that Himmler truly saw himself as the founder of a new Pagan Order which would eventually spread
across Europe and last as long as the German millennium being ushered in by Adolf Hitler. As late as 1944, Himmler spoke of the paganisation of Europe being a “never-ending task which will fully occupy the tenth or twentieth Reichsfuher after me.”

At 117-18. The Deutschmark, tastefully habilmented in the generic doors, window, and arches battle dress uniform of the Euro, and fascism, wearing the battle dress uniform of Al Gore’s “Inconvenient Truth,” thus soldier on victoriously on into the 21st Century and, most certainly, beyond.