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Political Science 226b – Parties, Elections, and Policymaking – David R. Mayhew

Spring 2011, Tuesdays and Thursdays 10:30 – 11:20 plus section HTBA
Instructor: David R. Mayhew
Office hours 3-5 Monday and by appointment, at 87 Trumbull St., Room 20 (in the ISPS complex: enter through 77 Prospect St.)
Telephone 432-5237. Email: david.mayhew@yale.edu

Subject of course. How do parties and elections feed into policymaking in the U.S. constitutional system? Covered will be: the strict dualism of the U.S. party system; party ideologies; the econometrics, demographics, geography and historical patterning of presidential elections; voter turnout; wars and economic crises; House and Senate elections; today’s increasing polarization; lawmakers during unified and divided party control of the government; the Senate filibuster; the fate of presidential programs on Capitol Hill; possible skews, dissonances, or deadlocks arising from the joint constellation of presidency, Senate, and House. Elections and policymaking during the Clinton, Bush 43 and Obama years will receive prime attention, although much of the analysis will range throughout U.S history. In general, the course blends history with political science.

Nature of course. This is lecture course. It offers 50-minute lectures twice a week, reading assignments, a TA section once a week where readings will be discussed, a bluebook midterm exam, and a bluebook final exam.

One writing-intensive (WI) section. In it, each student will be asked to write multiple drafts of two 8-to-12 page papers instead of taking exams. Limited to 15 students.

Optional term paper. Any (non-WI) student who wishes to do so may write an optional ten-page (roughly) term paper on any topic addressed in the course and approved by the professor. Please pay a visit for advice on topic and sources by the end of February.

Who can take the course? Any freshman, sophomore, junior, or senior is eligible. There are no formal prerequisites. However, a basic grasp of US political history and the contemporary US political scene would help.

The readings. The course doesn’t have any “text” in the conventional sense. The readings are interpretive, historical, and theoretical, not text-like.

Course materials. The Yale Bookstore is stocking the following (required) books:

Alan Abramowitz, The Disappearing Center: Energized Citizens, Polarization, and American Democracy (paperback edition to be issued 1/24/11)
90 copies also available at low prices via Amazon

David R. Mayhew, Partisan Balance: Why Political Parties Don't Kill the U.S. Constitutional System (to be published 2/2/11; pre-posted on Amazon)

Also. Certain other required readings should be available through an ORBIS search, hand-out materials, or the class server.

Grading. The grading system will be: 30% for the midterm, 20% for participation in section, 50% for the final exam. For optional-paper-writers: 20% for the midterm, 20% for participation in section, 20% for the term paper, 40% for the final exam. For the writing-intensive section: 20% for participation; 80% for the papers.

January 11-13 – Organization meeting
  Party dualism

No required reading

January 18-20 – Party ideologies I

Required:


Suggested:

Steven Pinker, The Blank Slate (2002), ch. 16. Another possible “constraint” on belief systems, beyond Converse’s three.


Keith T. Poole & Howard Rosenthal, Ideology and Congress (2007). Dominant single dimension in congressional roll call voting, 1790s through today.

**January 25-27 – Party ideologies II**

**Required:**

Gerring, Party Ideologies in America, pp. 3-7 and chs. 4, 6, 7

**Suggested:**


Ronald D. Rotunda, The Politics of Language: Liberalism as Word and Symbol (1986). Where did the current usage of “liberal” and “conservative” come from?


Robert Freedman, “The Religious Right and the Carter Administration,” The Historical Journal 48:1 (2005), 231-60. When and why did the Republicans come to accommodate the modern religious right?


February 1-3 – Presidential elections: longitudinal patterns

Required:

Larry M. Bartels & John Zaller, “Presidential Vote Models: A Recount,” PS: Political Science and Politics 34 (March 2001), 9-20


Suggested:


February 8-10 – Presidential elections: demographic patterns

Required:

Gelman et al., *Red State Blue State, Rich State Poor State*, chs. 1-7

Suggested:


February 15-17 – Polarization

Required:

Abramowitz, *The Disappearing Center*, chs. 1-6

Suggested:


Stefano Della Vigna & Ethan Kaplan, “The Fox New Effect: Media Bias and Voting,” March 30, 2006 manuscript. Available online. Introducing Fox helped the GOP?


**February 22-24 – Congressional elections**

**Required:**


**Suggested:**


March 1-3 – Midterm week

Required:


Suggested:

David A. Hopkins, “The 2008 Election and the Political Geography of the New Democratic Majority,” Polity 41:3 (July 2009), 368-87


Thursday, March 3 – Midterm exam

March 22-24 – Unified vs. divided party control I

Required:


Mayhew, Divided We Govern (2005 ed.), chs. 1, 3, 4

Suggested:


**March 29-31 – Unified vs. divided party control II**

**Required:**

Mayhew, *Divided We Govern* (2005 ed.), chs. 5-7 and Epilogue

Krehbiel, *Pivotal Politics*, chs. 2, 3

**Suggested:**


**April 5-7 – Partisan balance I**

**Required:**

Mayhew, *Partisan Balance*, Introduction & chs. 1, 2

**Suggested:**

Sanford Levinson, Our Undemocratic Constitution: Where the Constitution Goes Wrong (And How We the People Can Correct It) (2006)


David Samuels, “The Value of a Vote: Malapportionment in Comparative Perspective,” British Journal of Political Science 31 (2001), 651-71. Among the worlds’ legislative bodies, the U.S. Senate ranks very high in population inequality across its geographic units.


April 12-14 – Partisan balance II

Required:

Mayhew, Partisan Balance, chs. 3-5

Suggested:


April 19-21 – The current vortex of polarization, legislative obstruction, homeostatic kickback in elections, and “leapfrog representation”

Required:


Suggested:


Gregory Koger, *Filibustering: A Political History of Obstruction in the House and Senate* (2010). A general treatment. What does the past look like, and how did the Senate evolve into its tough 60-vote pivot of today?


HeeMin Kim, G. Bingham Powell, Jr. & Richard C. Fording, “Electoral Systems, Party Systems, and Ideological Representation,” *Comparative Politics* 42:1 (January 2010), 167-85. In general, single-member-district systems, of which the U.S.A. is an instance (although it doesn’t figure in this 20-country study) exhibit a particularly large ideological gap between the median voter and the stance of a newly-elected government. That is, election victories tend to bring, in an ideological sense, winner-side distortion.

Abramowitz, *The Disappearing Center*, ch. 7 (“Polarization and Representation”)
Electors + [main policy] ->

A -> [cause] B <->

Affect business and group, etc.

-> do electors contain agents? (Objective)

Write as theories, ideas

- Parallels
  - They don't matter at all - Davis vs. a group else?
  - Technology/End of life

- Quarky gay
  - Immediate response
    - To intention
    - CA wishes/dwishes on another

- Party gay
  - Party government

- Social class
  - According to income/wealth/caste/education
    - Election message: do they think
      - Integration is steady

- Antigay
  - Opposite of gay
  - Opposite of fellow gays

(English)
A. Election Law

\[ \text{Election result} \rightarrow \Delta \text{ policy} \]

- Court case \( \rightarrow \Delta \) (e.g., due process)
  - Acts of God
    - Bush v. Gore
  - Bush v. Gore
    - 1961
    - Not enough real votes?

The BWC decision

BWC = a Big intervention

- Colorado State v. CT, etc.
  - Any state had a vote

Or: Inquiry More vs. Less County (the Senate)

- Evaluation of appointment in Senate
  - More vs. Less by \( \Delta \) (whether won)?
- Addendum

- Need not be big grimmer
  - It's the net effect, not the details!

- So:
  - Party goal = No
  - Final class = No

- You need to look at the county level for all 50.

- Be careful of aggregate levels
  - When the real effect can't be seen.

- The deal is in the details!

Also: This is a big deal for incumbents

- And it's not just for the political parties.

NB: There is one key thing:

- Drawing a wing a &

Another effect: Losing people in the left

- How? Why isn't Sanders a more strong?

- We don't have the data to answer.
  - And had gotten others

  - E - Rural NE 6th
  - Rural 3rd Dem

- Overall: remained about constant
  - Not changing.
  - And that constant
  - + Rural, etc.

  - GOP further the divide!

- News: CA
  - Losing CA
  - Losing TX
S. Anéolbeh #6

North - demoralizes males and female bands

→ left shift

(Thin feet rankings)

→ move the males again

The Smiths circle because the
Conservative Party (N. USA)

Northern islands

= incremental A in the future

Results: Anti

Second votes = White backlash

 Likely
Welcome back

The Better Life

- School elections: Announced
- Specifically: Election on Sat.
- Election of a makeup
  - Region (in 3rd)
  - Three elections
  - Kilgour & Clark
  - Goldbrant
  - Great Leder
    - Introducing (ind. now)

Beeley this week

- But Grade
  - Region
  - President
  - A flyer made for Mrs. Smith

Anyway...on the trends

- Not 2006, but that's not a problem

Last time - Compressed elections (chief meeting)

- Is there anyone

- Attendance

- Meet in 402
- 41-49 AD

- Dugout needed - 10 to 12 ft. b. edge 06 08

- Expanded to very back (2010)
  - Elderly" believe
  - Elderly do write

- Integrated = 60° pool
  - D Hekshagen?
  - But, am I on v. Valdosta
  - D. H. and A. K. at 40
  - Gro ~ watch 2

Charity in NY: Gilchrist family

So much for that
Now, Senate election, which is far away.

No hurry, please?

The Constitutional basis:

- 2 per State
- 1/3 up (N = 32 x 34)
- By state legislation: All 1913, some...
- (Governors can appoint in many states - not known)

All right:

Do they win girl and then judge will be a leg instanteous?

[Yet?]

- maybe yes for 1913 - 1890, 1910 etc.
- But not June 1910

as I told you:

- since 1913, every fourth it switched (protest under One, Indian too)
- and then some (in 1890, 1896)
- and in practice not a whole legal (in a round way)
- 1933 - One whole and other...
- 1981 - Canada, etc. (a long way)

Why do they change? Where?

- life is what it is and why?
- that 1/3 can turn out an awful lot of bells

[See chart]

1980 - 13 = ca 30%
1980 - 12 = 30%
1980 - 7 = 24%
1980 - 6 = 18%
2008 - 8 = 24%

Compare & contrast:

- 31 = ca 7%
- 31 = ca 5%

(The facts 1/3 can equal in the whole thing; time spent.
(from those in the full morning?)

P.S. - 104

104 words
Why wasquite successful? (lots of reasons: it's misleading)

1) The long term — they lose trust
   — one gap closer + less now in them
   — image + reputation

2) Closer to vote
   — the G% disadvantage for anyway ND > Go
   — always lose
   — NC as natural enemy? (needs data, too)

3) Better challenges to incumbent
   — more quality candidates
   — for Gov
   — millionaires (Kohl, Roberts) (Firm)
   — both financial
   — Money: seeking and/or doesn't

4) More visible candidates (which helps challenge)
   — more like Greg
election Plan: how election on
   — everyone might try CT
   — Dillard vs. Simmons
   — white as well as a gd challenge

5) The candidates are "maturely" closer (now)
   — image, Manhattan, E + Teens etc.
   — Senate: May be a 5% question, edge, all else equal
   — Abramowitz
   — MA, HI
   — Feutch

(A) High initiative: Yes
   Same States: might be
   12.5 - 25 - 12.5
   (in fact, 13?)
And do: a lot of vulnerability, switches, changing.

- Song 1: John in 1980 - bang!
- Song 2: Allen in 2006 - bang!

To celebrate illustrated, this point re switches.

3. Navigate

Block of: How many same succeeded
open only sometimes when they 4th and then need
(Yes: coding details)

-Easter + Satire + Tom

eg: Leckham (1988)

Dodd (1989)

Answer: 55

(Crime in view \rightarrow 55)

Yes: maybe it's better - historian - but in CIEC

2) How many state have not had at least

one football and of the 2 points, since 1992?

(Ans = mum!)

Answer: 1

3) Mandela rule in ??

NB: no forebears now

(CIA + FBI = only rule)

2010 - What is? (to deal)

2010 - Khosair and his (to deal) - standard

Look at reduction = a wrong
3. Yes, 2008 would have been a good year for the GDP.
   - 02 of July - Bush
   - The economy was a bit stronger on the indicators.
   - But then came the Wall Street crash in September.

   What then?

   - Not captured by the standard indicator models.
   - For 3 reasons:
     1. Scary spectacles!
     - 02 of Pearl Harbor, 11/9/11
     - An event
     - People react to spectacles/events (regardless of indicators)
   2. An unusual impact, maybe for Germany
      - (False, because the indicators have not changed)
   3. Lag in indicator effects

   So, where are we?

   ✓
There is an analogy here of James 'economy in the USA
- Banking
- Credit
- Wealth Creation

- 1819
- 1837
- 1857
- 1923
- 1873
- 1907
- 1929 (Great Man ??)
- 1987
- 2008

This is not to happen again, or to an extent! Oddly!
(Comen by mention)

And it seems to have an effect...

**Campbell Chart**
- Sept 27 - Good preparation for strike
- Sept 25 - Lehman Corp
- Sept 16 - A/H bond rate
- Sept 19 - Fed cut by 1/2 2008 backdated
- Sept 24 - McCain states back to DC for the Thanksgiving.

For the 01 dynamic

- to keep quiet - the claim had an edge
- the Denver had greatly good sense - mad Roman style
- for all went well - don't know how things

- people can arrange in the late months in close centers

- 1806

- Other: 33 25 (baby 30 25, 100)

- Mr. Green/Credit offer
- subject receipt of fees
- objection at the credit
- look at the credit
- Pavlos happy to sign
- Don agree, am I fool
- B/Pchoumeni - Home, etc.

- (Nov 5)

- Colesman, Chardin, Smith, & 7b 2008

"CRUSHED by the CRASH"
To sum up:
- Use respect in encounters.
- That helps me watch what I say.
- And keep an open mind.

On the media front:
- The ordinary person speaks A lot.
- It takes a step.

Let me make a communist point:
- Blog + Accuracy = (Do in Accuracy in Media)
- Blog can’t be the norm, as in

Accuracy that’s small shrill.
- Guest posting = a small kilo.
- (It’s one small guest).

No bias, no impartiality, no fairness, has to do with
- Media that can’t talk.

- Media and power centers on media over media over media.
- A Dems

Analytical:
- Media feeds society on a need for death in a party system.
- Accounts:
- Undersell = oral story, or PC.

Now, accuracy means understanding people. A fact:
- Cause stories, etc. = Idiologies
- Truth in a context.

Media: Therefore, what they convey to be true
- What they see to be fair/urban/etc.

Just the way it is:
- Media are accessing a party system in a way
- CBS/CNN v Fox
- NYT/NBC/Politico v World upside down.
- Do they v faith the people? (Est! Estimates?)
- Shut down the other role? It would happen...
So much for party politics...

We can see that there are forces.
The question is, can we grow them?

On the get-up and go we go.

As in the words:

(Not much nature of these books.)

We've just had a great election - 2008?

Because we can use that as a meter for democracy?

What is the requirement of a great election??

Why should we care??

1. 
   - Mineral/steam/refreshment - A main kind good in US political life

2. 
   - Policy consequences?
     - Change?
     - Stability?
     - Yet day of change? By, how long by?

- Compelling force across
  
- Policy change is a complex matter
  
- At least 3 issues:
  
1. I change, then they'll adjust anything (mediation...)
2. 
3. 

- An election can be a good thing, rather than just a cause

- Interaction affects

- POE 03

- Public opinion?
  
- Construct: substantial scarcity - a scarcity theory - 1844

- Change in the policy consequences of change, which can vary

- Economic (1791)

Yes - forcing anything

- Sun

- Need

- Tax

- Energy

- A subject of a topic; economic transformation
foreign - well (1912 issue)!!

why? no.

- this is so.

- if the main station operator

- has a nearby relay.


- 09 = like this. - see deacon, sikes.

- this is so.

- opening this would answer the downstream

- new stuff happens.

- 1932

- 1927

- 1929

- 1931

- 1953

- what's going on?

- the fraction... of intensity - "off frequency distortion"

- is it that this can be partly electrically induced

- (as in 1908)

- there are ramming

- agents - meaning.

- one rule for Ramsay, capital scotsman,

- why else is it harvest ended

- a feast for fish (as in red groom)

- a feast for everyone

- let's do this for awhile

- for finite consider the

- why change (2003?)

- considering?

- let's do this for awhile

- for finite consideration.

- very much, taking enjoyment

- 2003 - high.

- funny - must later.
But President, I don't think it's fair.

I have a new deck.

Me, in blue.

Big story on cryoelectric.

Memo in red.

Back to cryoelectric.

USA: Go, electric!

Why go all the way back?!

2. Go can be addressed.

DOE: How do we keep the grid up? - V. basic

N = 57 - 2 = 55

all in (incl Ford, etc)

Tyler + Tham

Barack - Obama 08

11 & 12

5.12

Visa

2000

2007

1901!

The Pretty: Then "pretty soon." 1901.
Next week: Do you think it will help

less important say the kindergartners
get the retention... get
and thing about the decade

why 2002

[media]

Now: Writing the pattern (Building on 96) - “Hygiene,” etc.

One set then has to do until

incidence vs. spent (86) = N=56 (not 57) ind 2008

CHART: outcome

2 questions

1. Does the party hold the greeting? Keep it?

n=54

- again, inc, includes Fred etc

- keep means, yes ind 2000

Then is a natural pattern.

CHART: What must be going on?

Possible explanations

- inc. cigarettes due to hold grip

- campus

- with addicts

- mainly depressed (ment.

- a selection effect

- (ICF - learn it till next week

- run of you keyed on)

- strategic behavior

- the actual

- 0% in other party - 10%

- just a little bit

- 56: own package. 2 others...

- 2 of us not aware at all (no average)
Queries

Pennsylvania as a question?

of N. Dakota

hardly any

so it's a win

Set: Governor?

Was no combination? - well - No?

Died in: 2000

- 2004

2008 - KARL ROVE? (No!)

CHART: 2000 Governor

(Kathy) [above]

Pud: Bush incumbent?

- Mr. Bush

- Arch. JFA

- B/C/B/R/RE/RST

+ Change 1980-88

- They lost all

(2nd 3rd = no surprise! 1947)

All right - questions, comments?

Q: How close are the election?

CHART: closer?

- all 50 again (in group)

- back of 788

- 31st 25

ON MAST-JAG 84

- Strategy in the early years, 1884-1885

(7th Redistrict)

- 1st clean wins - But you can blow it

Bottom: 8 yrs. for Obama

1/2 = v. close

- more killing

- Takes a lot more to elect any for 50-50
Hang on....

QS election as the campaign gets
Look at Florida with
No simulation, that's fine
Means: 1344
N=20

Short: how bad had the campaign done?

Poll + better:
The median: 48/50
The Better:Denver
The Poll: Des Moines
Obama: 50+ 20
The poll scored pretty low — it's mis gauged

(Then not a lot of
— ICE can.

Chart: closures in 4 circumstances

2008 = about the middle
A solid victory, yet not a landslide...

Solid: tight edge
- 26 states
- VA, NC, FL, OR, WI, IA, CO, NV, NM
- a 9 inch shift
- + 20+ House +18 Senate
- CG '18 = key income +H

So: 7030?:Jaguar!
Congressional elections

- Middle Term
- Happy Hungry

Tokyo: Congressional elections
- You had the Japanese Music in 06
- Not exactly

New York: Hissy Fit
- Lower the volume
- Up close

- inimo900104
- Their is interesting analysis of water
- and using it

House elections - #1, ignore 2
- Too many

1. Student in a parfait sauce (Two student elections), then you select
   - 12 yo, 1997-2006
   - 28 yo, a curiosity, near d'sonde
   - 3 times (?)

2. Emotions - it
   a) through the post of the house
   b) Not > 3/4 the revenue, I mean (not 1/2 in 2006)
   c) UE = 0.01 and in Japan
   d) Revenue = some of the $900=1398 (in words)

3. Year and all else:
   - Middle, too
   - On the theft of US ruling
     - In 2.5 terms, ca 27 years
     - Since 1865:
       - 39 1/2 % (in a relative sense, roughly 1850) = 93.2
       - Yes the 3rd century
       - 1926
       - 1937
       - 1944
       - 1951
       - 1958
       - 1969
       - etc.

Rain:-end/Thank 2010: D↓
Why?
1) Attention: Prevalence

2) Salience

The what is closer than the why.

Not all risks are equal:

2006 = 0.5 ± 1.0

...for the corporate chart

Project S ICU:
- Week Oct 06 (construction due - it has been measured)
  - Abstract 05
  - Talk to
  - Author, Feb 06
  - 1st July V
  - 31st July 2005

Introduction: 1/3 up (N=33, p>.05)

1) Predicted change just as much as the form for a seat, and
   election.
   - Ohio voting - 5 of 6 up, 1/3 up, and a log
   - No - Nov 1913, 1A party could actually have the House done, etc.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>1958</th>
<th>1959</th>
<th>1960</th>
<th>1961</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ohio</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. Div</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Div</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In general, Senate seats with Carter more often
+ mostly than the House seats

Why?

a) Better candidates Better financing = closer votes

b) Candidate of party with more experience on average
   - 5th Manhattan
   - to be done ID + MA
To suggest the partyแนวทาง of Sandinista

1) Oct 08 - how many Jews succeeded
     off Party regular when they'd get their seats
     (handwritten)
     (55)
     
2) How many states
     have I not had at least fun and of the Sylvan
     some WWII?
     Answer: 4

3) Which state is it? (KS)
     (Stacheling, high)
     (LJ = NC = only one)

2010 What's up

2010 The Carman analogy
    - best validation: a wish
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1842</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1846</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1850</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1854</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1858</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1862</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1866</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1870</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1874</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1878</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1882</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1886</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1890</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1894</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1898</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1902</td>
<td></td>
<td>1902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1906</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1910</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1914</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1918</td>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1922</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1926</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1930</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1934</td>
<td>(+9)</td>
<td>313-322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>(+9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>(+3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1794 D - 116
R + 100

Zec 1938 (60) (80) (D - 71)

\( \frac{39}{3} = 93\% \)
\( \frac{39}{4} = 23\% \)

Memory 100%?
Today: Reginald Smith

(Who's from the Smith?)

Reginald Smith:

- Begin w. a copy of

1917 - individual (Jim 1918)
- 2007 - individual, pr. pdf

- An entirely invasional

- Nothing like its anywhere else

- Container of it is the gift of the Smith, etc. and similar gifts

- Smuggling center

- Influenza

Oh, it's all varied

Smith = 11 - in Ga & SC
- 98 to 98 (Eugene)
- was 13 (pre 1980)
- Call it 11, Pat today

Deep South = SC, Ga, AL, MS, LA - and some FL

- cotton, rice, sugar

Fungi Smith, Fungiworld?

NC, VA, TN, AR, TX

- tobacco, Rear in MO

- Smell, like (97?)

Size? Black cap? Now 35% Max - MS, LA, SC

Once SC, MS & (FL, AL, LA) (Note?)

Bullehorn
5. Setting into the modern US party system
   
   y real (often serial)
   and the construction of partisanship discussed

   After turn in a 2-party system: Antebellum, Civil War

   Antebellum (pre-1860) (1789-1860)

   slavery
   New Mexico Territory
   New States or Territories
   etc.

   a. Young edge, 1st consider party composition
   edge: VA early, slavery
   - SC elite
   - Smith family, GA, AR, TX
   - Cherokee etc.

   b. SC slavery, pre-1860

   c. War
   - TN, KY, NC (gentry)
   - At by God

   LA, MS, SC

   2) After Civil War

   1865-1876

   61P -> Biaxial Democracy
   - boundaries: CA, TX, pop
   - 13M black
   - old free states lots of votes
   - learn: regimes
   - End: Aristotle

   - LA, MS, SC

   - 1876: Kansas

   See chart

   Then -> Johnson

   - complete secession 1890
1) The one-party Communist state
   a) political caste system vs. the revolutionary yeomen
   b) the power region
      - Combine (virtually meaning)
        with the cultural minority in the melihp
        - China
        - India
        - UK - Scotland/Wales

2) How formed the state?
   - 2 Men of 102-120?
     - Zero-sum? (20% + 90% = 200?)
     - Proletariat? a licks (1910-1930)
   - (Option?)
     - No - 21/126

3) The counterpoint - lawn-bradley/cross-race system
   - the great-mess system
   - All good, what's the problem?
   - D5, inf! The lit, 26.
   - (Option?)
     - 1920-45

4) Mr. Gop trading bot - as in B+B
   - 1948
   - 1948 Freedom: N = Y
   - 1952 ICE: N = Y
   - Home - 11 in 1952 (Eisenstein)
   - Sent - TX 1961 (TRIVIA?)
   - MB 1965
   - Rama, Ramirez, etc.
   - Bosch V2 (all 11 + 4)
   - McClain (1000, NC/V8, f8)

   Copy - solid 0/p leaks
   - 1932: sent -> (and OK'd)
   - Home - ca 45
   - See MAP and (MIX)

   See ALO MS 2008 See chart

2 009 - 2009 - 2019 - Cultural consciousness

A Nested: Identity politics on both sides

Bradford = a bunch of commies
Campaign Finance

2/26/09

After rejecting our last time's topic:
- 2008: Campaign Finance Reform (1972-2007 with behind)
- "an elected accommodation diversity"
- all sorts of money flows: people, ideologically, in, and dominated by, elected candidates
- women, the young, the poor, black, Latino, gays, etc.
- a partially religious/confessional election
- Maybe that's why the US is so peaceful 365 days/year (Knack work)
- for a century, it has been:
- a civil war
- In al Queda
- only 9/11
- IRA in Northern Ireland
- How long can a civil war last?
- Stalemates (study, Dec 1940)
- Stalemate, it seems to stay
- Maybe there's a connection

Campaign Finance

could use a bit of attention. I might
- (at least I'm not an expert on it)
- (but things the details can be ignored;)
- (they keep changing)

Today: This is a remarkable development (a rare time)

1) I asked Celotex, what happened yesterday and the 2008 change?
- CF reform really collapsed
- Got all gone—repealed!

2) Nobody seems to care
- Where is the Hand in hand? The connection?
- the anguished angry?
- No. nothing of the atmosphere of the Berlin wall, or of the 7/29 glitch.

/zero
What's happening right now?

- There's something I'll proceed with:
  1. A matchstick account (Chadney) → 2008
  2. In Google, what kind of grappling can be
     caused by the globalization of money in politics?
     - it's not just one, and it's going to be a lot
     - many of the problems are always with us
     - in one way or another, this keeps happening
     - Not clear what the fix is

Material

- CF emerged as a big issue "grappling in the 1970s-80s"
  - in an antique from "Global Change?!"
    - The nation major [failed] engagements - the major source of #
      - 2 decades:
        a) unfair advantage
        b) bad idea

- Benelli's Pet

All right, and here's guess when 1980s:
- Bring in the picture of this idea.
  - 1970s - 1980s

Here was the situation:
- The goal always had more money.
- It was seen as long-term "big business" - "magnify"

- (anti-Chef Lang)
- Dennis (not RC (Breslin)) Jews, so (nodes), French, etc.

- What? - well, yes, but they could win

One aspect of:
- Jews & you get them that is a danger in most

via Kaplan (climate, environmental)

- 1949
- 1970-s

Then 1974: (Ford)

- Nixon

- The creator of窗口: actress/hung up, looks at Italy

- Sinatra [Steffi, Marla, Buddy (Goes)]
Then a roller coaster ride. 

Sky entry. 

McCain-Palin 2008 (riff off my)

Get him. That's enough.

83 - It's all your fault.

a) Out to lunch dead.

b) Better in the way of continents.

(c) The dollar has more money! (30 cents + 52 cents)

2/3 of a billion? Who knows?

WCO IN (That's primitive, too)

The logic of Dimon's pre-election论述 is disgraceful.

(Coined the.

All myths; what kind of money can answer as

N Mer. (in remittance)

1) Too much money!

This is a footnote.

2008 at the rate of 10 cents billion.

With that, I'm not sure.

2. It's not much.

Dise mammal.
2) Quality Imbalance

Quality can indeed be scarce
- Don't panic too much
- But not now, Dr. Lendman
- (And: it might burn)

Yet, people will fight for dietary guidelines

It's real as a principle
- In a sense matter

3) Domestic Influence

Yes, after many changes allegedly

a victory: a deficit of influence

unrealizable is hard to say: Why quite many?

There are many tendencies

A) Idealized money (in captivity)

Some in hilltop
- A perpetual project with no drugs
- Reversal a Live"

B) Inflation
- The widget industry wants both decline
- So they go to Congress

- Unless no

Inflation yes - Rate tools

B) Real exchange: It's a start-up that's had
- If you get money, you can eat

- Book: To the great gatsby

4) AGAIN...

a) Melody
- Berry
- Bob Dylan
(1926-58)

b) Romance
- Melody

c) Incorruptible

- A great many Crusaders
5) "Why giving? Why regular?
   - May only talk in a
   - Indirectly, under
   - Get anchor
   - Macedon analysis, self-reinforcement
   - Enormous (for 2000 deg—lot of sound)
   - What replace it?
   - org: (John, Xiang-Hui)
   - Never
   - Celestial (ant. solitaire)

All these Cambridge are here
- They won’t go away

Jeff is a kind of ridicule in cancer

Balance in therapy strategy is open
- Balance from two partly advantage, in form us —
Class and culture

Thesis - Conceptual aspects
- Class x culture = may split into race + ethnicity

Reading:
- Think: What's the point with CS?
- How can we use it to help America (2000)?
- a good book

Why now? How bad is it? And who knows, they may win if they do?

Anyways: an ending of another day:
- C'mon, the idea of economic self-interest in elections...
- The quest to one day to breed

- Why no Sweden with VSO?
- Why "only" classes? - Reason: Not be?
- A winning nation? Like unison?

One of a breed in another way, too, maybe...
- Intellectual VSA, that ordinary people had to think... and read at these
  - Some read over them every day if they're not classically educated.
  - Education needs to go up (K-12 levels here).

(Or course, it might be...)

Let's start with some factoids:

1) The graduation rate 2008

[chart]

Income:
- clean but curvilinear
- flat 50 to 200
- 500 or above

This is more (the top)

Education:
- also curvilinear
- flat HS -> college
- but below 3 above

Why? - Who's in log-returns (17%)

"
A Piece of the Puzzle

HBM Chart

1948-1952

"Not affected" class makes pacing choice

D = left over

New class added:

- Performing -- academic, junior, senior, doctor, etc., social sciences?
- Community/Extra credit (right side, organized)

Yes: Demo, final battle + school leaders
- Second in a two divide
- Academic junior = out, right
- A way for engineers, middle, professional
- Strategy = at the heart of the problem? (middle class)

That's a plan, although we have a rich because how these days, too

The Great Reel (2003) (2008? yes, it is)

Guth et al. Chart

"Yanked" means ...
- [hanged, dropped out...]
- Mythical chart
- Democracy + black market
- See wrath (same direction)
- Investigated (national + religious Catholic) (268 vs. 45% vs.)

The religionist + they, fighting together for class + other things.

Draw it as ...

- Not new
- beliefs -- greater threat to mobilize
- The Hard Left: anti-religious, just as religious as anti-corporate?
- Marx (in Seneca) = ignoring (the pragmatist)
So why else can we seek?

- almost both & T. Frank
- Assisted by indirect proofs by Frank

And here (chart)

Some further results:

1. 1992-2004
   - netturn samples
   - 6/5
   - 41% over 7,000

2. They separated go into 2 groups
   - 90% had men

3. They created scale
   - if there is constant in early in a normal curve
   - "which (good) will predict ability and norm"
   - The two scales are different: on not related much to the other

4. Ecological no vote correct with

5. Potest = much more correlation with scales
   - 180 in years
   - 415 in years

6. Both sides: Error not effect any long memory of
   - any first memory

7. Lower incomes are less likely to vote on the basis of
   - moral sales on their long incomes.

8. Richly — Very little relation between with scale & actual income.
P: 2200  Culture Clash II  Paper 5  Ethnic  4/2/09

Next week: 
- Training: facts - Process ring - End of night.
- (Assignment: hand in address of)
- (Notes: on the personality [find number])

Last time:
- Class & culture (J. Krause)
- Some reflection on self.
- Diff. - 2 of them
- F. A. R. (back to 27 and mind your scale)

1) Voting 
- Self-interest is a more cruel matter than you might think:
  a) How can it be?
  b) Is it bad to be angry? (grandchildren)
  c) Can you think you're rich anymore?
  d) What are you used to?
  e) How do it?

- Of buying a bond of large banks or cotton
  - Voting is rational today
  - You ask for advice from your sponsor
    - Who knows?
    - Friends?
    - One person?
    - An association?
    - Will it pay off?

1935 or 2003?
- Red to the right?
- White is still white.

- What's my en my mind? Hard thing...
- But don't mean that some patterns are impossible:
  - For individual
  - For society (but...)
  - No? (necessary)

Id: in rush without

How quickly the results?
- What was the test?
  - Not nearly my level.
2) Back to the American seeker

I think they're making a lethal mistake

To a religious degree, they're both moral cases.

Warrant: Poor rich people vs. poor Jews.

Why? Well, an essential equivalent to a Jewish person

an act of God.

clean to me, it's in the Middle East.

AB: From the standpoint of religious norm.

Friends are an instant in the people's psyche.

it's OK for him to deviate.

but if they don't play by rules, they're being deceived.

On the concurrence side: the mind-giving, God, a spirit to vibrate.

Evangelical Protestants are a class (once Norman).

By going no immoral, being it underminded.

Kind of individual - reformative.

people are supposed to lead.

= the ethical act

it's moral if a government operation is competency.

another similar = (servant, studies ethic).

born again.

indeed, the idea that it can.

indeed, their view comes from the

independently less service.

In general: it's not high on it looks far why.

a) They're not right and why.

b) The reason why is 12, not why do.

The next year is
Ethnic (I would expect a "Red")
- Cindy: "an HIV cure = a key priority of 2008 edition"
- So what, in terms of Party Colleagues, shutting down?

1) Cindy vs. Cindy, an edge, in 2008

- Chart for bubble

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

↑

↑

↑

↑

↑

So: Both a showdown & a funnel: [a funnel bow]
- ngt u eg ra, NC, FL, NY & NV

(2008)
3) MORE TimeOut

4) 1976-08
Protest in elections 2/24/09

The vote — Johnson's self-explanatory
in the context of
leadership's cozy DEMs

Today: Protest in elections
in some states at 11AM: VOTE TURNOUT
either way, no use looking at & make Carpenter
a public matter (can be 'abducted')
democracy — three white male votes
democracy — free democracy

I want to frame the 2008 election in this cycle for US (+ Carpenter) history
using: how they, 3 alternates disemployment, and time charts

Voter Eligibility Population (VEP) = the most familiar

democracy — Grant a voting eligibility
the constitutional time since gotten — James W. Beak
ca. 90 years to 1840

1960 male democracy
1960, 1950, etc.
White NA, NE

democratic
(Joseph Wait)

reform + veto — Graham it

VAP vs. VEP — (men's democracy)
2.8 to 3:1
1.57

In the recent funds: 1982, 2007, 2008, 15q, 15c

abolition (NLCV?) (1937)
Sub-EnfP but not the direct 1930s (any)
there is less lamentation... (certainly from funnel)
Then why get a 3rd deplorable Dimension (if you're interested in ongoing political systems)
- a bit shnppy, but of use
- I = enter pop - minus many many many children
- studies
- voting
- too many people
- governments
- the mentally incompetent

a yardstick:
- Engelman + Sickles - 4/84
- last column
- 7456 USA + Canada
- the importance of the White minority
- see [SNAPAS Wiki]

- Write it high
- 13-17-15 - Dr. White male average
- Male
- 1992
- 2004
- 2008 - all-time winner

- The electoral attractiveness of diversity + of conflict?
- Can diversity attract?
- Can keep?
- обе раза

- MAY BE?
Still, there is an edge of conflict try to
Who activities, or the implementing of the laws
- Non-Native: 200 years (1878 act)
- Indigenous: 50 years (1978 act)
- Treaty = GSP received by descendants
- Laws relevant to First Nation

This has been principal actors (not just individuals)

In composing the message:
- at least 4
- are We competent? - the message: decency, stake, triangle
- clarity: lack of ( clarity: lack of )
- cast:Hygiene
- minorities
- are we independent? - machines
- Our voters loyal? (UK - OECD) - concern of body w... did already
- do we have a stake in the system?
  - of stakeholder
  - property was taken
  - of influence
  - of judgement

Furthermore, new

[Text not legible]

1) willing to take justice - interests/prejudices
   (not ...)  
2) legitimacy - seeing change (appealing winners in the)
3) balance in democracy
   - Conduit, Charges
   - ideologically can be dangerous for us
   - Christian, Catholic, Mormons, Islamic fundamentalists
   - break, reject their behavior
   - NYY Unknown God Hole

It's gone then: gradient: law
- small breeds
- electric
- uranium

Media of the times - give me a break
- I'd tarry, do nothing to come into resolution / crowd scene
Rising Body Cohesion

Friday (maybe evening)
- related to the Jackson 31
- first thought
  - is it possible?
- What, why & who & what
  - On what: charts
    - incl an interview on farmers

A melange:

1) [Jackson 31] - item during R&D visits 1972-2007

2) [Leayman 98] - discussion of R&D activities - 31 items away

3) Abramowitz 83 - various things by lords & senior

4) Campbell 158 - drinking moderate

5) [Jackson 24] - January 202

6) [Obama & Asl Dennis]
What we see here, in general

1. Anomalous coherence — "order"
2. Elite + White ethnic + High rep. elite actors
3. 10s — decay

Why? Root speculation is occurring — unclear answer

- Now is saw

1) Surfing
2) Cold War ✓ (September 11, as own party candidacy)
3) Media — yes
4) Demographic heterogeneous in the electorate
   - v.s.w. / surveys, etc.
   - NRO / WBC, etc.
   - TVA / 1952 - 2008 / gadgets
5) A real issue / agenda high
   - Cancer, long-term, etc.
   - Iran etc.
   - The Whores have been very quiet a lot - mostly

anyway

So what? These are wonders, Baradarse, questions, etc.

1) What are the indicators of cohesion? How is this work working?
   - Ask the white settlers
   - Ask the gentry, etc.
   - Ask others.
   - Ask the gentry, a big may/maynot etc.

Well...
1) Insecurity
- Go back beyond 1972...
- Good way of determining a direction
- But how?
- Forever,
- Race rule
- City interests
- Class revolt
- Race demobilization (C. Leader or Canny)
- Chasm continue 1988
- Arminstrauchlöhner, July '89 -
- UD = fundamentally recession
- New wind?
- (What am I?) (1989)
- <makes no words
- <Can't plan it as a replacement a revolution?

2) Credibility distance?
- Promise vs. performance?
- This is tough - if Reagan in yesteryears - Can I have Monroehoke?
- Promises take give conditions
- Reality? How are we doing?
- Reality vs. Obama etc. -
- Planning:
- Reality
- More on policy辽

3) Notiness - Golden ages have been race
- McCarthyism
- 1960's-70's - "accult 80's" (1980) - Alinsky
- So where are we...
- <Not sound of fury, signify nothing?
- It's upsetting
- Born, who, what, why, where, meaning
- Bush directly? Not clean...
Raising Policy Challenges II
Ohio Schooling I
4/3/09

Party Challenges:

   - How much in White
   - Remember! - 
2. policy distance: (just brain)
   - Americans?
     - Yes, but...
     - No
     - ex. FDR vs. Nixon
     - McCain vs. Obama
     - A classic print vs. In
     - Performance - once the
       - more square
     - more rational?

Wouldn't learn to love + tax: yes, then once distinct, the
- don't.
- taxes?
  - welfare? (battered women, 
  - FL 1980
  - State school board
  - PO
  - Shorn, found,
  - We're breaking
  - Cannot make + for...

So: so what?

- democracy in the govt. Are institutions, the public class?
- Could be
  - Or price yet to be paid? - all that anybody? from
  - Or still speaking
  - wait around...
On last day on Napoleon: A TRIVIA QUESTION

Identity: the state is red state

And we continue

If you, the war is a NE
- MD & GA, etc.

Missouri
Only 3 declared 00-20
9 attached 6/30s (can edge of the Dung)

- Trivia question (activity 2)
  - dyne x centimeter = 0
  - dynes x cm = 0

Be careful in assigning activities! (Due or not due...impetus)

Policy making: Last 2 weeks

- a) support of Britain &рагина - The record
  - WW2 +

- 28 inadequate reading (Burges, 1st New Hol)
Infant - the New Deal grew because the pattern changed, lately

- it's been things' pattern, downs

GoP (some scholars): it was a failure!
- but: no
- but: it was just did not go
- it was all

Down: *it's do the same thing!
- but - ND = resembling (equal cut, initial)
ND = resembling (that compared, initial)
ND = resembling (after the matter) (1976)
- it was K, R. & C.
- recovery, reflex, relief
- by 70s

At any rate, the info, 30s & 60s are the
- fundamental if only it.
- Rem: S & S, with the then theme

My own DWG - 1947+
- more complex
- what here is actually seen, in the way of... (why it)
- at least from the point of view of
deep story theme
- (at this point)
- Obama, indeed

Story, the New F
- children is worse
- as in the various, it external: budget
- the approximate, health care
- get more education
- remain by (the day)

My DWG - the need (of the end), 1947+
- and in 1990,
- but then in 2002
- (and, I have a chart 2003-08, with detail, soon)
The empirical measures of DWG =

- Move away from policy stability (load/kitch)
  - For schedule 00 \rightarrow yd 01
  - 10/01 02 \rightarrow 10/01 02
  - 10/02 07 \rightarrow 10/02 08

This is not the only way to approach these matters

- Policy can be seen as a fast phenomenon,
  - From memos
    - Our demands
    - And her recent chief decisions

20 request, got 17 = \$500 bill, average

For OK - for David Binder

But that's not my way

Why? well -

- Have come from other places -
  - 10/01 - Bill gets filled, but not many reforms
    - Policy gets 10,000 reform, but not children
    - Health benefits

And the initiatives don't have to go together

My way: just an err...

- Move away from policy stability

with change to emerging evidence on the front.

Both ways: One empirical view ends up with fame, large accolades over it - say the last.

Notably, the meeting renews (CDW)

- City Plan 1/1973
- Letter, Public, Social Act 72
- 03/01 72
- Grand - Public Act 72
- End of public part 1/72
- Campaign from work 1/74
- Council + signature 5/74

Real and see -
and think about it.
I want to dwell chiefly on the last 20 years or so. (B, Co., B) (Obama)

Let's start with some summary charts.

Again: Significant move away from programmatic activity

Violence trends 1989-2008

- By 71 Cases + 23 Cases

- Similarly 67

- Case 41

- Case 13

- Case 23

- Case 12

- Case 8

- Case 2

- Case 2

- Case 2

- Case 2

- Case 2
Clinton 93-96

August (Curran?) ← Their Opinion!

GOP
- Generic pollution
  - Clean Air Act
  - Al Gore's Green

NP
- Y2K reform
- Voting reform
- BM Act had assignment + suicide
- Perbot dynamics, 1996

Clinton 97-00

NP company
- 97 outland (new CRK)
- NRA
- Banking reform
- Is it still like anytime Bush was?
- Race war? Am I missing facts about y2k controversy?
-

Bush 03

2001-02

GOP
- Defender → Hunt for bogie
- jung in SD, MT, etc.
- NCLC
- Attend South — → Disaster

NP = NCL Behind re: reach out to BMK, etc.

LIB/DEM = EF reform
- Fukuda → Fuku-based, anger plane
- 9/11 course = a hit of it?
- = growth NP?
- Veal (S.?) — A trend on met ice activity?
-纤维 gum — Then Bush 01

GOP station 02 — Hunted
- Dog war
- Demog tall — Down &
- Reg + raincoat

2001-21 yes
Bush 43 - 03-09

Rider, south

- American reform
  - 350 for oil
t- parties built around
- copays tax overhaul

Bush 43 05-06

- Victory mandate, GOP Congress
  - Bush tax reform
    - - - - - -
    - - - - - -
    - - - - - -

- Feasible
  - - - - - -
  - - - - - -

- Secure
  - - - - - -
  - - - - - -
  - - - - - -

(End 07-08)

- Nancy Pelosi, New D (Congress)
  - -
  - -

Nancy Pelosi

- Directories
  - - - -
  - - - -

Bush wins

- 07
- 08

FISA wire

NP
- Congress
  - - - - - -
  - - - - - -
  - - - - - -
Some odd conditions

(CIRC)

Lessons as lead to you going into Obama:

1) First guess edge, yes

2) Identify edge for your side of planning
   - WJC budget
   - Josh vs. Josh

3) Put the pressure: grand strategy
   - Q1-94

4) You can lose feel
   - John
   - Po. (93-94, 05)

5) Sound out with the ultra, T-1/14-15/09
   - NDPTA
   - Wilton
   - NCLB
   - 2008 bailout

Next week: bring the Drop
during the '08.

A more mundane look at Obama

Sign these quotes

[Signature]
Lawmaking - on the age of Obama

b) Directionality (ideology)?:
- We all learned this when we were 7 yrs old
- A tool to use
  - England: yet - use = disagreement
  - Brazil: tax code = paradigm

Now & Dose agenda:
- Health care
  - Cost hole (energy)
  - Education (Par)
  - Small: brain wash plan?
    - Others?
    - Wildness, change
    - Are Red states being denied a vote?
  - Maybe: could chide
    - DC vote/night
    - College/finish
    - Immigration reform
  - The left agenda: it's a by agenda for the like

C) Success Rate - Ratio
- (The) mean: Dem?
  - Obama?
    - How like/plane?
  - Well: you can win lose
    - What task 1st? (Obama now)
    - Takes a big step: (in health care this year)
    - Pa. can be key (1965-2005)
    - budget constraint
    - Sm. health award

Outline a brief lesson summary

√ now...
Why are women? Body playing into Obama

That's the subject of the reading this week

Drew

I want to develop and make two of my (6) arguments

a. a minority
b. a minority

d. a minority

e. a minority

Today

Events/contrary:

We like to think of gravity/gravitation as acting upon

and that's a big slice of the truth.

But

- Events
- Things that happen?

- 9/11

- Use of force
- George Bush
- Airline bailout
- More debt
- Either party in office has done exactly like that.

But the story is much more complex than that:

- People select, but they don't go away:
- They can interact with events
- Against gravity

Event #1 only: The candidate (??) The energy

Let me clear that in mental context

Aim: Rely on some illumination.

Here are 4 arguments:

1) 1820
2) 1920
3) 1930
4) 1950
Here are my thoughts:

1) Discuss a dream, maybe of America
    - 1831
    - 1870
    - 1924

2) Create a new format, new? (not clear what)

3) Bring a new term

4) Invite the new to enact a program

- Yes

- emery regulation
  - They can gather, may they always want to exist anyway
  - and they need that, though
  - a) claim as itself or "l echo"
  - b) they have the vote?

- adapt a plausible case — what really know? it's not a good idea

1891 + WYSA
1897 + PWA
1933 + NBC
AAA, CANADA, WPA, FSA
- RTAA, TM, Wagoner, Soil Conserv, FSA

1981 +
- reorganize

2009 much less NP:
- a conversation
- But the content difficult

But then America, ideas expanding

...open gate open about?

If Clinton: "Never want a good crisis"
President: "You never want a recession, crisis to go to waste."
So they're trying to address chronic conditions as at least in part recovery. Consequently ...

Well do anything you can."

Args: - 4 conditions
       - a need to work with friends every year
       (see section 'political views')
       - first and last of first in 1933
       - second as WJC fund in 1936-34
       + Booth '43 in 2000
       (already: healthy
        summer, I thought next year)
The last week: Lawmaking — Volume, Dreweth & Success

Jehovah's Witnesses — Part 2 of DKG

1) Paul's trials  2) Paul's ministry (Acts 14:13ff)

Great moments yes — the meeting in DKG

One year from, in K.K.'s terms, the finish.


60 — The exact clueing point (36 & mediate)

Then it is:

— House, by NOV Rule — since 1890
— Senate — it takes 60
— Every 5 years
— Rule of constitutional provision — written in stone

— Constitution of the United States
— 1774 Act — renunciation (b) removal
— 1872 Rule in Senate
— 93, Bush 01

But otherwise — 91 — Just things in their terms!

— I'm taking a "clearing" of the 1st chapter, and it's fast.

Where did all the once come from?

It's not in the Constitution, what, simplest, singular rule.

In history, the "clearing" rules have vacated

— Nov 1917
  — 1st 1917-1975
  — Years 1975 +

My own way: Oh, well, they are easier today! — hard then!

- but that's not true.
- In fact, the faults must be more of a rejection instead than it is today.
- We forget.
Is the box really fixed in size?

No, you can change it.

Are there more clues in the crossword puzzle?

Yes, there are.

Who is the person in the puzzle?

Looking at the clues, it could be...

- A famous composer
- A historical figure
- A significant event
- A landmark
- A famous person
- A significant achievement
- A notable event

What is the answer to the final clue?

It's...

- A famous person
- A significant event
- A notable achievement

They are all significant figures in history.

Which one is the correct answer?

I believe it's...

- A famous person
- A significant event
- A notable achievement

I'm not sure, but it's one of them.

Can you give me a hint?

Perhaps it's...

- A famous person
- A significant event
- A notable achievement

I'm not sure, but it's one of them.

Can you give me a hint?

Perhaps it's...

- A famous person
- A significant event
- A notable achievement

I'm not sure, but it's one of them.

Can you give me a hint?

Perhaps it's...

- A famous person
- A significant event
- A notable achievement

I'm not sure, but it's one of them.

Can you give me a hint?

Perhaps it's...

- A famous person
- A significant event
- A notable achievement

I'm not sure, but it's one of them.

Can you give me a hint?

Perhaps it's...

- A famous person
- A significant event
- A notable achievement

I'm not sure, but it's one of them.

Can you give me a hint?

Perhaps it's...

- A famous person
- A significant event
- A notable achievement

I'm not sure, but it's one of them.

Can you give me a hint?

Perhaps it's...

- A famous person
- A significant event
- A notable achievement

I'm not sure, but it's one of them.

Can you give me a hint?

Perhaps it's...

- A famous person
- A significant event
- A notable achievement

I'm not sure, but it's one of them.
All right - where are we now?
- as The Times (Rep. Obama) from their Congress.
- They ran little trouble in the House (Rep.) (by drawing a major)
- 41 GO Perv
- 58 Jones (Rick Santorum lecture)
- 71 AI Fresh
- 1 E. Kennedy (w/ aid to moon to replace)

The 40 is gangly

In this circumstance?
- What to delve into their budget?
- (a wsc g t health care)
- egg & bread - no!
- health care: The public option, part of it ... (J. Boehner ...)
- Peter's a big old
- it's in Congress
- Joe. Clinton...
- Not doing it at all; thus...
- Some bills are introduced to wind up I/IO.
- A: The Tea streets bill
- Collins, Snowe, Specter
- Some plans are on life support
- Card check/infowars
- 41 clean GOP vs.

- Staring at stale (doesn't have a major agency)
- let me say there is a certain amount of game-playing until this
- Members who don't really want F bill prevail
- can hide behind the prospect of a Clinton failure
- Plowman, Foxworthy (bipartisan in all respects)
- e.g., on Card check, unemployment
- F your way when whispered, please don't buy it up!
- it can totally be F-induced outcome
- but if we don't know what Card check did
- forward we're in with him, Bin S
- (Oct 1977) - Cameron at Gettysburg Field
That's where it's at

In coming months, you will hear a lot about:
- culture
- reconciliation proceeding
- future integration
- end of the Cold War
  + Science, cinema, sports
  + G8, Clinton, Kofi Annan, London

That is our fate as these programs are pursued the year
+ next.

Meanwhile, we need to answer:
- It's been a pleasure to have you,
  and keep in touch.
Amenabled by "Phish Answers" (T. Golden & Snyder)

- Eco vs mind (un)
- Eco > mind (un) (in affectivity why)

A100 - 2 scales
- ANES
- GSS
1992-93 studies

2 findings:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Mind} & \\
\text{Eco} & \\
\text{Mood} & \\
\text{Gender} & \\
\text{Character} & \\
\text{Income} & \\
\text{Character} \times \text{Eco} & \\
\text{Income} \times \text{Mood} & \\
\text{Income} \times \text{Mood} \times \text{Character} & \\
\end{align*}
\]

- A weighty among 5 vs
- A dimension
- \( r = .28 \) in ANES
- \( r = .09 \) in GSS
- \( r^2 = .09 \)
- \( r^2 = .0016 \)

A702 - Not quantity of eco scale

A104 - Profitable = much more concern in belt, scale

(Also socially...
- as much as 1 SD in \( \frac{1}{2} \) SD in eco)

A104: more + scale 2
- .26 ANES = .07
- .32 GSS = .10
- \( \frac{32}{4} = \frac{26}{6} = \frac{15}{3} \)
- \( \frac{24}{5} = \frac{9}{2} \)

A104: men vs women
- .11 ANES = .01
- .24 GSS = .05
- \( \frac{22}{4} = \frac{11}{1} \)
- \( \frac{11}{1} = \frac{72}{4} = \frac{11}{1} \)
- \( \frac{4}{5} = \frac{1}{1} \)
- \( \frac{48}{1} = \frac{12}{1} \)
At 110 bill scale, 7 mg, crinoid away.

Large more q: than away.

American q: q.

Low name an less likely. (lightly)

Cal vari the same for mel value than at fo high value.

A 112: Close to red scale.

The 50 q: scale lightly a lot with 3075.

In dying the box only dig and then close the round scale.
Dear friend,

My good friend SA, head of Harvard, faculty of MIT,

We met using this word last week.

A leading scholar of American politics:
- Campaign
- Voting behavior
- Campaign finance
- Campaign elections
- Impact of the media
- Campaign will all voting
- Incumbency advantage
- Red and blue states

- The devastating years of the 1960s,
  in a recent book, "The End of Prosperity."

- He hits the crux right on the nose.

Today, letter.


Electrons + Quantum policy 

\[ A \rightarrow [\text{affect}] B < \]

\[ \text{causal changes} \] 

\[ \text{etc.} \]

→ do electrons contain ants? (anomalous)

What are the ways, of ideas

2. Immediate response
   (to reasons)
   (for individuals — no account)

3. Party government

4. Social class
   
   - 
   - 
   - 

Autonomy

Communicate

Conumbic to fellow beings — (English)
5. Another #2

- Cacti hyper?
  - 150 sq ft

  - Webs: (own student's)
  - own count as a yearly-related issue
  - alien climate
  - rapid decay
  - matte
  - degen

a want
  - do you see your weight
  - when once count takes over?

On wants:
  - force a specific solution to X → Y
    - (e.g., on to area + # abounding) + fixing this effort
    - is this election ⇒ July 4
      - is there more annoyingly, legally?

How
  - about non-treaty agreements?

  - e.g., France's debt:
    - did it ⇒ spread in direction of capital benefits?
    - often ⇒ not a good point, sound reason
      - unless ⇒ is again?

  - truly: A in daily sight ⇒ what else do?
S. Antidrugs

1. Election Law

2. Election result

\( \Delta \) Policy

3. The BVC decision

\( BVC = \text{a big intervention} \)

- Colorado State v.的功效 (the Senate)

- More vs. Less vs. What (policy was)?

- Controversy \( \Rightarrow \Delta \) (in which capacity)
  - acts of God
    - Bush v. Gore
  - Bush v. Gore
    - 1992

- Beyond v. Gore
  - 2/3 or 3/4 redress?

- Use Dea!
What do you think? Quotations are made in brackets. -

Did you find the text difficult to understand? (Ask me.)

Did you enjoy the reading? (Ask me.)

What type of music do you like? (Ask me.)

By the way, do you dance? (Ask me.)

I've been following your blog on social media. (Ask me.)

I was wondering if you could help me with my homework. (Ask me.)

I need some advice on how to improve my writing skills. (Ask me.)

What do you think about my writing style? (Ask me.)

I've been working on a project for class. (Ask me.)

I'm planning to attend a conference next month. (Ask me.)

I'm looking for a job opportunity. (Ask me.)

I've been studying for my exams. (Ask me.)

I've been thinking about changing careers. (Ask me.)

I need some help with my research. (Ask me.)

I'm interested in learning more about this topic. (Ask me.)

I've been reading a lot lately. (Ask me.)

I've been practicing yoga every day. (Ask me.)

I'm planning to travel to Europe next year. (Ask me.)

I've been working on a new project at work. (Ask me.)

I'm looking for a new hobby. (Ask me.)

I've been trying out some new recipes at home. (Ask me.)

I'm planning to start a new exercise program. (Ask me.)

I've been learning a new language. (Ask me.)

I'm interested in trying a new type of music. (Ask me.)

I've been listening to a lot of music lately. (Ask me.)

I'm planning to take a break from work. (Ask me.)

I'm thinking of starting my own business. (Ask me.)

I've been working on a new book. (Ask me.)

I'm looking for some advice on how to improve my writing skills. (Ask me.)

I've been practicing my public speaking skills. (Ask me.)

I'm interested in learning more about this topic. (Ask me.)
# Notes

- President wants to big grimmer.

  - He makes ones worse, made out better ...

- Q: Party out = NO
  - Front door = NO

  - You need to look at the country level to see all this.

  - Be careful of aggregating levels.

  - When the real effect is in the data.

  - The deal is on the details.

  - Also: This is a big story, very important.

  - And it's not just one party or the political part.

NB: There is one key to setting negative a 0.

Another effect: Reducing prices in the 1970s.

- Q: Why isn't China doing more growth?

  - But China had begun to Africa and China.

  - And had gotten others.

  - EU lost a significant amount of momentum in all three, BPA, and Russia.

  - But that didn't last, + real deal.

  - GOP finally + the party.

- Nevada CA
- Length 4
- Divide 7X
$S. \text{Australia}$

- Four volcanoes make out the island
  - $\rightarrow$ shift to the left
    - Thin feet rankled
  - $\rightarrow$ move the plates apart

- The Smith volcano becomes the activated plate (USA)
- $\checkmark$
- Northern island
- $= \neq$ increment $B$ on the plate

- Results: $\checkmark$
  - Union
  - Several results $\Rightarrow$ more volcanoes
Welcome back.

The next chapter focuses on the premise specifically. Section on early elections (a remake).
- Region (incumbent)
- Zeroth election
- Preliminary data
- Election day
- Sneak peek
- Early trend
- (In the end, now)

Recap of the week.

But if there's a region "and the facts,"
- they're usually the ones asked/why?
- Anyway, it's the trend
- Not 2008, but that's not a problem

Last time - Congress elections (half meeting)

In less time...
- "I'll be here",
- all around
- most guests y2 y2.
- ZL l2.
- dragging new -- 10 x 11 + B edge 06 + 08
- expanded for very much (200)
- data -- weighted absence
- recode abs.
- integrated = 60 entries
- I am a bad?"

but an idea to v. real talk
- B, and T.K. np.
- also watch 2
- checking in NY, Gilchrist/Keddie

So much for that.
Now,Santa election which is farcical

No hurry - sake!

The Constitutional basics

2 per cent

½ up (N = 30-34)

By state legislature till 1913. Then ...

(Grumans can appoint 2 mayors – 4 judges)

All right

The right wing got tired this cycle and for a leg institution.

[The Courts?]

- maybe yes for 1913 - 1895, 1910 etc.
- But not since 1910

As I did you.

- Since 1913 every fourth. It switched (party control). Also I did the
- and Ammonus (1982-1986)

- And in practice not a Clio legal (in a real sense)
  1933 - T.H. v. S.C. (stereotypically)
  1981 - Cameron v. Payne and

Why so early change came?

- Life is what is now & this why I
- Just ½ can form own an amyl & ½ exits

See chart

Project of setting up - see (how'd calculate - see details...

1953 - 13 = 30%  
1930 - 12 = 30%  
1991 - 7 = 24%  
2006 - 6 = 18.2%  
2008 = 8 = 24.7%  

Compare to Census:

31 = ca 7%  
21 = ca 5%

(50 debts ½ can stand for the full debt. The

Yard shown in the full loan.)
Why are quite extraordinary? (lots of reasons: it's overestimated)

1) they lose trust
   - one leg 6 yrs older + less new in them
   - image + reputation

2) closeness to voter
   - the 6% advantage in ND anyway ND > CO
   - always less dads?
   - NC vs. mainland enemy? (needs data, too)

3) better challengers to incumbents
   - major quality candidates
     - for gov
     - millionaires (Kohl, Rohter) (form)
     - wealthy
     - money sleuths and the deception, e.g.

4) more visible candidates (which helps challenge)
   - new like Greg sleting, Alan Mag, election an
   - winner might move CT
   - Dodd vs. Simmons
   - v. justice as well as a good challenge

5) the competitiveness an "naturally" close (on average)
   - Senate: maybe a 5% gap, edge, all else equal
     - (Abramowitz)
   - MA, NH
   - D vs. UT
   - [A] high margin
     - no, stay - might be
     - 12.5 - 25 - 12.5

   (in fact, 13^)}
And: a lot of vulnerability, switches, changing...
-
-Jerry then in 1980 - bang!
-
-Jerry Allen in VA, 2006 - bang!

To celebrate: "All the Proof is Switching..."

3) Fania: 2002

2) How many states have not had at least one such fun area of the 2 parties? Since now?

Answer: 55

(Criminal walk -> 3)

- Yes: maybe in the future - historians - but in C: EC

2) How many states have not had at least one such fun area of the 2 parties? Since now?

Answer: 1

3) Which state is it? [X]

NB: no forever now

(AD & EC = only [X])

2010 - What is? (so called)

2010 - OJ Simpson analogy (so called) - standard

Book production = a waste