Yale University

From the SelectedWorks of David Mayhew

Spring 2003

Parties & Ideologies - Syllabus & Complete Lectures (Spring 2003)

David R Mayhew

Available at: https://works.bepress.com/david-mayhew/320/
Political Science 208b - Parties and Ideologies - David R. Mayhew

Spring 2003, Thursdays 11:30-12:45, ML 211 (that is, Mason Lab)
Instructor: David R. Mayhew, office hours 3-5 Monday and by appointment; at 77
Prospect St., Rm. 106. Telephone 432-5237. Email: david.mayhew@yale.edu
My personal website: http://pantheon.yale.edu/~dmayhew/

Course nature. This is formally a lecture course, but it is tilted toward reading and
discussion. The writing requirements are low, and the expectation that students will
engage the reading is high.

Course content. The ideologies of U.S. political parties from 1789 to the present, with
an eye for transatlantic comparisons.

Course format. Readings, and the subjects they address, will be framed and discussed in
the Tuesday and Thursday class meetings. You will be expected to read the assigned
material during (or before) the week in which it is framed and discussed. You will take a
midterm exam and a final exam, and also write a brief (no more than one page)
"comment paper" during each of eleven weeks of the course (that is, all but the first week
and the midterm week).

Here is how you will write a "comment paper": Having read the week's assignment,
please frame a good question about that reading and briefly wrestle with an answer.
Possible types of questions: But hasn't the author overlooked X? What is the chief
implication of the author's argument? Wouldn't X be a better way of analyzing the
question? Is there any analytic leverage here for today's party system? Why does this
particular past ideologizing seem so strange? These examples are not meant to be
exhaustive.

Each week, you will be expected to submit a hardcopy version of your comment paper at
the beginning of the Thursday class. That will allow most of each week to complete the
reading. But there are three exceptions to this Thursday custom. For the weeks of
January 21-23 and April 1-3, which feature mixtures of short assignments, some students
will be asked to volunteer to write comment papers for the Tuesday class as well as some
other students for the Thursday class. The other exception is: For the week of January
28-30, where the reading is short (although complicated), all students will be asked to
submit at the beginning of the Tuesday class. The grading system for the course will be:
20% for the comment papers (which will be given back to you quickly), 30% for the
midterm, 50% for the final.

Optional term paper. All this having been said, any student who wishes to do so may
write an optional ten-page (approximately) term paper on any topic addressed in the
course and approved by the instructor. For term-paper writers, the grading system for the
course will be: 20% for the comment papers, midterm 20%, final 40%, term paper 20%.
There will be no grading penalty for not writing a term paper. That is a guarantee. But a
term paper can only raise or confirm a grade otherwise calculated, not lower it. A student
planning to write a term paper should consult with the instructor by the end of February and submit a final version by the beginning of reading period.

Who can take the course? Any sophomore, junior, or senior is eligible. There isn’t any formal course prerequisite. Still, it would be wise to have a basic grasp of U.S. political institutions and U.S. political history. The course doesn’t have any “text” in the conventional sense. The readings are interpretive, historical, and theoretical, not text-like. The assigned authors include both political scientists and historians.


Also. All other required readings (and a few suggested ones) will be available in a TYCO-PAK. CCL will be asked to put on reserve all books that contain required reading.

January 14-16 – Organization and framing of the course (no assigned reading)

January 21-23 – The nature of ideology

Required:


Suggested:

Steven Pinker, The Blank Slate (2002), ch. 16. Another possible “constraint” on belief systems, beyond Converse’s three.

January 28-30 – Spatial modeling

Required:


Keith T. Poole & Howard Rosenthal, “Patterns of Congressional Voting,” American Journal of Political Science 35 (1991), 228-78

February 4-6 – Hartz’s consensus interpretation, with parties differentiated

Required:

Louis Hartz, The Liberal Tradition in America (1955), chs. 1-5, 8, 9

Suggested:

Rogers M. Smith, “Beyond Tocqueville, Myrdal, and Hartz: The Multiple Traditions in America,” American Political Science Review 87 (1993), 549-66. An important recent critique of Hartz, although it doesn’t address political parties.

February 11-13 – Federalists versus Democratic Republicans

Required:


Suggested:

Russell L. Hanson, The Democratic Imagination in America (1985), chs. 2 & 3. This emphasizes the “republican” interpretation of early American politics that became popular in the generation after Hartz.


February 18-20 – Democrats versus Whigs

Required:

February 25-27 – Whigs and Republicans through the mid-1920s

**Required:**


**Suggested:**


March 4-6 – Discussion on Tuesday; MIDTERM EXAM on Thursday

March 25-27 – Democrats through the 1940s

**Required:**

Gerring, *Party Ideologies*, chs. 5 & 6

**Suggested:**


April 1-3 – Third-party intrusions (or non-intrusions)

**Required:**

Socialist Party platform of 1912


*Suggested:*


**April 8-10 – Democrats since World War II**

**Required:**

Gerring, *Party Ideologies*, chs. 7


Jo Freeman, "The Political Culture of the Democratic and Republican Parties," *Political Science Quarterly* 101 (1986), 327-56

**April 15-17 – Republicans since World War II**

**Required:**

Gerring, *Party Ideologies*, ch. 4


George Lakoff, "Metaphor, Morality, and Politics, or, Why Conservatives Have Left Liberals in the Dust," *Social Research* 62 (summer 1995), no. 2, pp. 177+

Thomas B. Edsall, "Blue Movie: The ‘morality gap’ is becoming the key variable in American politics," *Atlantic Monthly*, January/February 2003, pp. 36-37
“A Tale of Two Legacies: Why are the heirs of Ronald Reagan doing so much better than Margaret Thatcher’s?” *The Economist*, December 21, 2002, pp. 64-66

**Suggested:**


**April 22-24 – Ideologies and foreign policy**

**Required:**

Framing lesson – 1/16/03

- I need a title
- Rewrite and restate
- Microphone
- Syllable and hand (from last time)

Next week:
- Commence (8th month?)
- Demos?
- (Project?)

Team is at last going; will get them back

Topic: Parties + Ideologies

Framing
- Background

1) What are we talking about?
   - What are the parties?
   - What have their 1700s looked like?
   - What patterns are we talking about?
   - Again, a festival hunting?

2) The US parties themselves
   - What are they?
   - What have their 1700s looked like?
   - What patterns are we talking about?
   - Again, a festival hunting?

3) Are patterns: 1700s vs. modern?
   - Discuss: 1700s vs. modern?

4) The USA party is the oldest continually existing party in the world:
   - Did not lose
   - On 1700s, etc. earlier

- However, under 150 old

- 1789: Madison, etc.
   - Pat. vs. indec

1790s? 92? 93?
- Issues
- (Debate)
- Schuyler (mutiny)

- NR vs. W 1788

Rutland, Don Quixote, Tom, Albert, Paine, Johnson

Today: Gw, Ali, T, Buh, Marshall

Feb 1709-80

Other...
Feb 2018

Then - They retired to DeL nd. New York

"She fled from me"

→ Anti Slavery

→ Andrew's Whig in 1820s (ATJ in 1828)

→ More aggressive ytterbloke

Interly: I want the Demo. the same party.

‡ E Day dinner

‡ Yet:
  a) "All scoundrels"
  b) Inclined

‡ To defend ATJ who

‡ My friend Clay in 1832!

‡ Yet! (knees, nothing)

‡ ID(y)
  a) Geo. New York. NY, etc
  b) Other, Gabriel, Farey, etc believing a writer

Demos Why? - 1820s → and 1850s.

‡ Filipino! (at the feb)

‡ Curious!

‡ Being asked? (pity)...

‡ GOP 1854

Ther's history = same 2 parties

‡ Yes 1860

‡ Yes 1912

− (or) 1912 others

‡ Yes 1919, etc

− but it didn't.
Notable, in the US mix, is the 2nd party now.

- Which are the major 2nd parties?
- Independent candidates (who? today?) (why?)

- abroad:
  - US, UK, NZ, Australia, Canada?
  - PR logic of PR vs. multiparty (first, north, south)
  - the logic of a primary system (mix, france)
  - The logic of US regulation of politics
    - 2003, 3rd parties

All right - especially the early part. I thought use some scripting:

Now: Cleavages: what kinds of can undermine party systems?

- What is the structural experience?
- How do we fit into that experience?

- Large vs. thin slices

One is not simply a pop ideology - but it improves with...

It is important to check the funding.

Any idea the cleavages are old - and they may not become irrelevant anymore.
Master this I'll take from weight/related but ill add
- These clearings often overlap a crucial
  + indeed they         non-democracy
  but if you inspect you'd see old 105

1) Centr. periphery (and gap as it's called some)
   - Cultural + bureaucratic one vs the rest
     - "nation builders"
   - UK: Cool vs Country (Wrigley Apps)
   - 19C+ - Texas looks like one (à termale)
   - SE British, British
     - US Coltons fig, inc. Irish

- Honduras, in 19C
- Canada: Extance
- Spain - Peasih, leader

USA: Less from a poverty - no one!
N=2? - certainly doesn't fit Western?
N=5?
- VA + PA + ND, bully for one
  - the southern
  but Recall? - a classic one vs everyone
  - NE quadrant (as Smith) (Best)
  - Very funny.
    - UK is feel
      - had my own
    - USA is feel
  - Unanimous reflects cultural divide and
    Post region
- Others ended..... no one.... (?)
  FL, TX, CA, NE, etc.
2) Religion - a great big cleaving makes related to politics

RC Reaction
- church state
- Catholic religion vs. others
- Counter-Anti-Clerical
- France, Italy, Spain, Netherlands (Pers vs. RC)

RC Big move: religiosity - France, Netherlands

Domestic: Protestant English-speaking countries
- all the same (US, Canada, UK, Ireland, NZ)

RCs dig into our party,
- which provides ideal geographical
- always the more leisure, or anti-Protestant, party

Today: 133
- official 1830 - Netherlands, Belgium, colony,
  - RC 872
  - RC 323

Church vs. state?
3) Industrial vs agriculture - sector
   - 19c Why/ler vs Toiny
   - Southeast
   - US: Why
   - early 20c - W. Bryan

4) working class vs owners + mrgs
   - The Marxist view - all working into pack
   - Yes, a US agrarian...
   - As Jones (Demo)
   - 20 c: peak
   - a 'durable'...
LIJER

Lijfer + Colban (1987)

early UK - urban + landed families, vs. rural families (country + farming) -

- country vs. urban centers

W - Prud'homme (Ptolet)

- early contacts: British vs. French, industrialization, cities (Wells, Nova Scotia)

- Canada

1) contact + commerce - British vs. French, industrialization, cities (Wells, Nova Scotia)

- France

2) natural vs. Church (conflict between Church + state)

3) landed interests vs. industrial interests

4) commercial vs. foreign, foreign workers (Cf. India)

5) state vs. church - established a hierarchy (Cf., establishment of religion)

6) Scan - dominance of religious centers vs. secular centers established

7) UK - landed class vs. cities

8) Scan: west European allied with Turf

9) Canada
20) Europe - road urban change = in big for only 20c (less than in UK)
    - initially in US & UK
    - when cities had overburdened materials, &
      - the struggle to and road & railway reached the city
    - US control (as of European & colonies)
    - Argentina

21) W. - parties = uniformity

22) Now in change, 14 fully unified nations states
    - former

23) Transition of clean change structures into party systems

34) Change in industrial became early 19th 1830's-40's.
    - different economic structure of the 19th century very urbanization
      2) Related countries - English settled more
        - many came from Germany, France, Ireland, from countries under

3)8. Other: lost the one by cloud staff - story past of stuff with cloud,
    - related: Germany, Britain, Asia, Britain, Italy, France

Industries?

Arg: results differed

Washing: all combine -> John Q. Carey
Downs

$P/133 +$

$P/160$

(134 - They drop difference more clearly)

if refer both...

Product difference

P24...it!

OK

Analysis = Quantity =

Amount = Measure = Fudge

OK!
70) Ronyngs, Cayes — arrived w. Fethlings
Breda, William, Rodyng, Located to 56, 57

71) Cayes was the minister church in N.Y. (died 1637), CT (1612), MA (1813)

72) RCs? The first openly annually accused them later
Comely a lady / RC tie in the 1740s

73) Fels grew from Cayes, Esripfers, Lemarchand, Hurlath, Lezard, and Guhers

74) RCs tied to Birtly, Beach, Proctor, etc.

75) A. D serta and Deserter tied by bond oath
by 1720 it once involved a hurted character

76) Fels, & Fells, seen as addicts, immoral

77) Fells, / Fells, seen as addicts, immoral

78) Birtly, / Birtly, saw & death, / death, / death

79) 1720's & 1730's

80) Mother, Father, Rodyng, Pretty = chiefly 600

81) Hill, Whitfield

82) 1798, Whitfield, Whitfield, Whitfield

83) Mutt, Mut, Monette

84) 1798, 56, 175, 180, 176, 82, 83, 74
Last time - 1930's - 1860-1850?
- depression - depression
- reform - slavery
- war/no same? (Civil War?)

It happened? why?

5) End
   - Know that point! important!
   - your summary "war"

New - Hartz

- need *it* = Why is Golden Smith 1960?
- The *work* is Hartz
- Connect
  a) demand for reading
  - Parts 1, 2, 3
  - Ch. 1-5, 8, 9
  - Ch. 3-112, 212-235
  - Act 2 enough

b) It's difficult too!
   - The equivalent of P+R (in ways?)
   - So: application like that . . .
   - Straight book - starting today

Longfellow = a classic

- one of the most influential *this* in English? NO Theory? At least? of 20
- very story, not history itself - still read it used
- on the subject of poetry and ideas

- Even though it's
  a) manhood (as well see), and
  b) old (1850)
    - I want to learn, partly on a historical
- It's still an interesting interpretation
  - an imaginative word of mine
Let me proceed as we:

- after WWI, which made up a lot = engaging Europe, e.g.

1) Study needs to be more concrete
   - US politics = you need to be fed into Europe
   = fed up = why not let them?
   - this has been, it’s been insular = novel-gazing

2) The spectacular potential personality:
   - Engels’
   - B. VLP, J. Allen Smith
   - conflict...
   - (Daglish = 50s, ruminative)
   - Amycyn, influence = factory

3) Go concrete: you see narrow
   - you see:
   - “Hypothetical” = Doctrin
   - “The Generosity hypothesis”
   - Socialist/Communist < (We don’t have a third party?)
   - Concrete, tiny, same preceding ½ centuries
   - Réunion, Chile
   - Imperialism, France
   - Worker’s party, mainly
   - US elects their independent
   - Yes, the US creates have their own ideological flavors
   - US, relatively, meaningless
   - Why not, Grover vs. mt., US? And, 9: Frank, H client

4) This middle = liberalism as a left basin
   - it exhausts the ideological range = Greider and McGough
   - Bush, D and G
   - Hamilton and 75

Now, get me a
- NYT editorial page, in Tokyo.
Rhetorically Classical Meaning — (and still no Contract, Even meaning)

- individual
- request, write
- civil rights
- partial
- partial... meaning
- scientific progress... But why the language change
- Hegemonic — (his death? strange?)

(he's not great in this subject)

— Cultural aggression equality meeting on occasion...

What then...

5. Left Hegemony vs Right Hegemony. Younger ones...
   - Tocqueville...
   - 3 key points in Western history from 1800
     a) Demo-Hegemony
     - against a Euro-Contract
     b) Cold Hegemony 1861—1932
     - also against a Euro Contract
     c) Demo Hegemony 1933+ (but 1930—mean)...

Why?

Activity: given those, what else to say about...

1) Mobility...

Hegemonic Caste(s), as I see it...
c. 1967-68

e.g. ideology = state = political = (1) leader

At least in a grand sense

- it's not deterministic

(Small handwriting)

how can the best games/panels/characters get played some of the time?

Wargames

US vs. Africa/Asia

That's his palette

Now a bit more historically

One in pre-1930 + post-1960

- pre "republican"
- pre draft, aka Enarok
- would be under, then up, way now,

but anyway

Now also, it's hard to read

a) the academic
b) the new code/language, sort of a shorthand language
c) an unusual flow

of prose?

- (proof?争创)

- have I wandered into a 1st cousin by marriage?

- the code/short hand

- p.3) more TolKIEn
- p.4) Huxley
- p.5) peaceful landscapes (Barco'd...)
- p.5) Reynolds, Martin
- p.8) fighting, chart III

- Phelps, Dalgren

Relato! It's like God

- he's being righteous, take Ran Content

by throw
In sum: an unquiet mind at work.

- How has the logic of US ideology and Turkey worked, given it all?

- Keep Soros in mind? (Capgemini)
Have an again.

The good news: Both B & Dents are the most difficult cuts...

And I must you had that.

So let it expected in the meaning of that.

Atsminly:

- Let's start from now in 1956.
- Eager to please us (pl.)
  
  - eager to pretty
    
    1) Learn Atlantic content
    2) Calling in ideologically
      US Paris I: Ideology

Not the least of who he wants to explain is:

- See the chart — why?

The route of kind of class analysis.

- Elmore

- Engaged to (in a business)
  
  - real
  - lastly
  - outbidders
  - leaders

OK

But this: anything to red Crosses in the

- strong
  
  - Duncan

(Out in the board)
"The concept of a Liberal Society"

(no need to replicate all of it)

5. "Natural Liberty"
6. Locke —
   "Lacked a feudal tradition"
   "Lacked a social contract"...
9. "The final, degenerate, weakening of liberal way of life"
15. "The democratic struggles of such a society have all been projected
    with the help of Western liberal altruism."...
19. "Unclear"
   "Big projected liberalism" — UK Whig...
20. "The problem with America..."
21. "We are dealing with tried, matured concepts in the Western world"
22. "Tocqueville"
27-32. "Draft on 'The Progress of Ideology'"

31. Tocqueville — "What when we are dead, and what is dead, iden..."
"The Respecting 1776" (and after)

Not a moral order class beat, Rev

sly

North Valley

told & knew, our, taller Barrie (reflected Men)

THUS "the intellect of the first draft in the wild" (tell what else...)

...in undeniably with ethos, untangled with claims, etc.

a "sota zayno" C'Home, let him

"men had a red consciousness" —

D 'shape = a Junky

The antigen word / the Federales (drawn by the tide / the democratic / to famously)

a real fit in a class w/ Bush, # a few Bury

Federal party didn't know how to cope!

— Initiated by "The derrailer role"

== Bad Democracy!

no soul = develops a class consciousness

... (shapes) (etc.)

...Represents an anti-errony

...of the alien —

...sufficient yest gay — C field — sounded
Chapter 4: The Whig Dilemma - Them too?

(84) J. F. Smith

"The antecedent, precedent, prelude, Fugue are
meaningless when flatly rejected.

Yet, the recent industrial worker
has the reality of an independent entrepreneur.

(e.g., Otis and Rothschild)

To speculate again!

US "Whigg" defeat

mean. Tory. Vice.

9.5: "The people simply haven't been in America."

9.6: There isn't any must to a gain

8.4: 2 points:
1) above your country's fight
2) above an army to obey
3) above a need to learn

US: Comm.:

90-91: Pol. party 1832

July 4th 1836 — today high!"

92: QUOTE: F. & UK = fight vs and

"... better to fight the stronger ones

(though small, fighting away)

1) One result = develops a class consciousness

What to do? (Ways) (Hays)

102: Organization of an antislave union

C. Kent — succeeded

but by "South Cayuga" in 1830

1839 "developed a philosophy of slave tracts"
19) "And the indispensible base of the drama —

(USA & England? Canada?)

12) When to ....

13) and "The literary world will give

up the old idea of to a free people"

(Or defining free society?)

116-117) "Four a by virtue

— A touch with the past in Europe —

Redundancy — unique in the world 1860-1880

To Smith — a riff

188 The New Whiggism — Dawning Capitalism —

book & the chart

Now: a rounded advantage, and successor —

(1860-1932)

8-20: "The great law of Whig Capitalism"

— The Age of Reason"

203 Empire: 208 — a rising tide ends. Reagan & Strauss in the 80s —

Lloyd George & Clemmison — etc.

4-208:

Dawning, at least!
1) "Notion #1? (What's that?)
2019 - a push for demo equality

2) "Notion #2 -
2017 "The dream is wrapped around the eye dream"
2017 "...a kind of notion in found mother like in early...
(The soft)? (maybe...)"

210/218 redwood factory + WWI

217 "Pollwood? - quota!"

---

Ch. 9: "Progress + Tradists"

Tradists:
254 "make a clean break as a hanging"

254 (page 9) "Tradists are seen as a fight against, but remains"

241/242 Tradists: "Jacobean Tradist" - [multiple names]

"Progress vs? - learn it for now"
Bring the book!

-Let's talk about...

- Republicans

- Democrats

- Constitution

- US foreign policy

- War and Peace

- Locke

- Founding Fathers

- Federalist Papers

- Political philosophy

- Democracy vs. Dictatorship

- Law vs. Liberty

- Class analysis

- Power vs. Freedom

3 ideas

1. Anti-Scientific
2. lets be curious
3. US versus the world

Then some more ideas.

Books to read:

- 1st = 2nd = 3rd
13. USA: Drama; movies have all been repressed with no letting Western people define.
   Whiskey = big money; Goods delivered.
   Whiskey = small money.

   "The ordinary pattern for us, running Wiskeys."
   "We are being trickled into Communism, the Western world."

   1) 4/12 daily 10 USA.

14. 41 CIA so unique.

15. USA: Celluloses so obscure, so meek, so monogamous.


27. As Pirismen acknowledged.

28. To be Francis! We won't be moved.

31. Love & Bead!
Ch. 2 "After the Founding 1776"

30) "Nationalism: The Human Thing" in "The American Dream"

VS Euro decadence

5) in "Anarchy," until 1793

6) more strength

7) no need for a "Vegaturity"

8) for "free people in the world"

9) French Revolution

10) led to a "nonsensical middle-class consensus"

11) natural law = no religion

No utilitarianism (Smith)

12) did not need Euro class classicism

13) 1775-1815

14) W. America had a real consciousness seduction

15) self-interest --

16) Locke as the key

17) "The individual is a state of nature"

18) unbounded for Shank, classes, wealth, status, culture

1) D. W. M. vs. myth of a God

2) attack on God, religion, agrarian, military

3) attack on Anglican church

4) attack on gentry, squarers, propriety

5) opening of new states

6) the reality of atomistic social feder

8.3 "American's Social Revolution"

84) run ≠ Euro one

6) feudal relics were indeed relics

7) attack on Corwin's vision in Virginia of 1785

8) attack on VA Anglican establishment

9) attack on varnarchy

10) dual visions → of liberty

11) Euro begins seeing federal, cities, classes, commerce

12) run run run mentality of slavery
Finaly, on federal!

"Poe"

California + Portland + Maine

86) US Coast - derived a scheme to deal w/ England - but it can succeed only in a land of federal

87) An federal law - violated quickly

After Davy's early death!

But Am:
- ratify
- organize
- settle
- states
- anti-commun

Soil ingredients: missing

Who is doing?

Class Analysis
- Askew

98) "Poe" Dream - I-Ten

- Matamoras, Matamoras + European money

- Oregon is an independent republic

- Tampora - all on done & up

But: story follows any? All the 163 Whips

99) US Whig defeated - while the Whigs in Europe were

- 1833 before 1832 & 1830 - the Revo.

US = a result of the demos Comme, who can't be beating

- Euros Whigs (unc)

- Civil war - America vs each other

- Whig victories, so we, as they are/see

Why so field until it

1850s

95) Pre-1847 - we wish to engage against

99) Why? 3 things:
- Oregon & west & coast
- Oregon & west & evil
- Oregon & west & defense

99) wish, we really want can study those of us
106) The attack on royal government
107) Chancellor Kent
108) Brit. "call of the same estate"
"call of the same estate"
110) Under "call of the same estate"
111) "call of the same estate"
112) Parliament... in England
113) They: "Riding the Whig" (in the USA)

CH: Susan: "Pardon: Perven" + Hamlet:

116) An oligarch, not a democrat — men (prisoners)
117) "Oh, say, what, 1st, but in Europe?"
118) Reference to the 17th Whig Corporation
119) "The news, scheme of social thought"
120) "If that I say it were a thing..."
121) "If that I say it were a thing..."
122) "For, when they called Themselves..."
Part 1

Ch 6: "The Revolutionary Enlightenment"

145 "Conservatives liked Kelly" Fitzhugh

147 "build a pyramid" and diary was under it.
- Callamot Catlett

Ch 7: "The Emerald Patch" "Four Freedoms"

- Fitzhugh
- Frost
- Fill of!

Part 3: The New World of Robert Peice

Ch 8: "The New Whig: Dean Acheson"

- Whig by 1865-1929
- American cultural changes
- "Progress" (liberal + radical)
- "Lend" + Cleomipian

204 US: dean
- American for dean of aled
- "His behavior was "hooligan + irrational"
- "A clear view of progress"
- "The goal of achieving a stable state: world hunger"
- "The role of the United States in this crisis"
- "Maximiliano" (institution of a class)

207 "Amenom = the KJU"

207 "A friend named Freud"
- Make the earth evil

209 "The American people around the KJU dream"
- "The Bellman's horn part II"
The teleconic sector is

- Project Bertilvenken & Stad 
- "Merk no: Problem ...
- Act Sredem

- They th. wodd 1 fixed
- either is then designed

- Amul = tPzeros

- Ayscneeyo Dechill as Sredem & Cammell

- The social forged
- w3 Panscire

- The Panscire, Ausrat & Cammell

- Practic top: The antinomian of Ayrshire
  - The belief system etc.

Ch 9. "Pnoscire & Freddle,

- Internal Reform in Britain
  - US: in. Pearey & protestant with Ireland up as Pesh boyer
  - Sredem: Cant win
  - and Pregiim wins!

- Pnoscire
  a) didn't have to make a challenge for the cell (case Eu)
  b) were changed by their new Messy

- So: Frandtmiyty for/against = Pregiim tells

- "Everyone died! And kin!"
- "They did class story"

- All the work of Sredem
  - though class meant (case Eu)

- The boy SRalllere "Preach the" They were indebted!
  - "They saw the cuit in a reality of messary"}

- and the boy, recall! - conjectures - JTV! AT!
- and the boy reject: "Ween" as PT the wire's end
- "Freedom & well-being" - they do bright, hunt, bury... + It really
- "And the boy bullet!" - "Teshman, Sweden"!
247. "No land where men use only dragons."

248. "The only trusted allies."

[A signature is visible]

[Another signature is visible]
NOW — Why/60C — mit dem gut! — Mein jetzt, NB — ind ents Gg? —

study recently to focus

1) gottschee — setz —
2) see me again
3) open book today

1) Hartz + RL — Yes, but (closed)
   a) Yes 32 1/2 1 — finding, serious
   b) Britain fails — Amo, W2, UK

2) class and public in Europe now — 1980
   a) industrialization of the west — Eden
   b) US income equality — (but as usual all Europe)

3) til said from a big room!
   — we know howling girl! — unfort. 1943 etc.
   — 80-65-50 (North)
   — (RC W2)

4) interests of land
   a) farming? — ??
   b) rural interests? etc. (Eastern, etc.)

5) yes — war? sounds? strategic?
   a) even if rural interest, how?
   b) garrison? — nation etc. — etc.
   c) victory of war? — etc.

6) song us Hate — German etc.

7) cause during — etc.
(2) 1860-1932

1) Pro - autonomy becomes GDP
   - need development

2) Domine = GDP + Dew/Dem + Media
   = leadership + capital + design
   (Public vs private)

3) Commun - denial of communism

Was Turkey nice? (yes) - Reem 1894
- St. Pierre 001 - St. James 300

George and Felix, today
- George American
  - US
  - Australia [map (labeled Texas)]
  - COP, military
  - Texas
Foreign Policy

I killed Frian - sorry about that.
2 little additions - we're in a rush.
we'll tell you by email soon.

An interesting conversation that I had with...

I asked you if I had a matte of parties or ideologies.
Well, partly yes.
I don't want to extend into Wmd's, which also has the US historical antecedents.
But, let's do more careful quantitative analysis.

At a party off point: last time I - a play - stream up -
lightning + wood, violence intermittently, abroad?
What can the word "not" mean?

Classical liberalism? yes - eco and elitist
- in all of history no doctrine has come down decades against war.
- for help, interdependence, etc.

It's a question of whether left-wing parties
meaningful help, during the last century, have split
in any predictable way...
- yes, that's the formation of intervention, negotiating, etc.

God: much seems to be circumstantial.
1) Cold War, yes - the enemy is on the left (Comm.)
   the right is hardware
   the left is on average more dovish
   - certainly Italy, France, Japan
   - Eastern Europe
   - USSR
   - UK
   - US
   - Vietnam
   - China
   - India
   - Israel
   - Israel
   - USSR

2) But WWII? - Korea,None?
   - No real deprent/diplom
   - US/UK/France/Arabia
   - Germany is left center
   - Japan is right center
   - China is center

3) WWII - 1939-45 - (vs NAZIS)
   - Left vs Right
   - UK - Churchill & Labor
   - US - FDR & Republicans
   - France - Clemenceau

4) Cold War:
   - Gulf 41 - US party split
   - Kosovo - no - [Clinton (Bill)]
   - Germany - stuck
   - France - Belgium

5) Russia 2 - very prominent - vis a vis Iran, China
   - US
   - UK
   - EU
   - (in the E.U. world)
4) Overpopulation
   (Western)
   *possibly everywhere*
   *but US fell*
   *US polls*
   *Leham 58-29 29*
   *Tory 66-22 44+*
   *Media = Fox (CNN = BBC, Al Jazeera)*

5) Guns
   
   a) Egypt
   *Spain + Brazil*
   *Italy, Spain, N. Amer, Derland = Crude and/or election*
   *But Mexico - Drug cartel*
   *Why narcotic = pottery*
   *but: approx on right*
   *Canada - Syria - cannabinoid*
   *but from below - left leading*
   *Exit Back 237% in 237%

   b) Germany Canada = 4% to 24% in 24% (alleviation/Parus?) (Hond)

   I really quote shaking:
   *again dynamics US > UK > Euromarket*
   *lies L-R, internationally*

Why?!

+6 an intermediation of Cartesian warfare!
New family/farm laws twice:

Dear 1996-1998

What's not

race civil rights

fringe

abolition?

Big moment? No

welfare state program? (a sacred demo)

must needs! (way in 1922)

Spreading? No!

go forward? restless?
WSB 1908 NO?? No!

maximumarcy? No

immigration restrictive? No

Frase Lehrer

All right - This week: 3rd party thinking, or">

voter

traction?

bottling?

stream

stream

I want to feature all 3 - it's important that.

all 3 have more 100% drawn need meaning,

but the party had to stick to the historically usual meaning. 

Progrem | Capital P

worst had 3 (make y) in each opinion (years)?

1912 - 17%

1914 - 17%

1916 - 24%

former county = ending movement

moral revival

- consumer power (growing)

- all things (in, home, popular)

- means - LA
debate, etc.
1917 - The civil war had been going on, but the USA entered the war in 1917.

- La Fayette
- Marianne
- Great Gatsby career
- Beryl, Curm, and others

- 43-21-23-6 - The Hundred

- Pro = British, US + TC
- Pro-American
- Deputy
- 200 miles
- Sappho
- Liben

- Socialist - Why no streets? (Read Egypt) (and read The Phantom of the Opera)
- A visit to the 19th Century development
- Marxism
- Euro-American
- 30% workers
- 35 from France
- Spanish party (socialist)
- Spanish
- 2C system
- Less Marxism in reality
- 1917 and 1918

- Amur
- 1917 + (must write)
- Mainly the old aristocracy retained power, Italy, etc.

- Fascism (read Slav's book) - USA? - In which city?
- Spanish - New York, Spain

- How do you think about me?
- US 1920-30s - 200 to the metagone (fine)
I'd like to do 3 things today: run away and over to Monday
1) flying/other
2) with theクラ
3) 兼

1. What's the election?
   - Time to stop talking.
   - Early 20th century: Who's running? (strategy game, to be seen)
   - Relevant to a number of non-early election candidates
     a) Peace? Is what's important -- no is good.
     b) Gunmen: kung fu, mages?
     c) Drones: Where in the world?

Handout:)
- Unusual
  - Murray
  - Everyone

2. Let's do a chart.
   - vs.
   - Related
   - On the Clinton ...

2.1. Both of these features are more detailed.
   - a) Chinese, Chinese, Chinese -- other is unique (and life forms)
   - a) hand-middle
   - and Algebre.
   - Other ideologues
37. Sidney Verba points to "systematic differences between men and women [generally] in their support for aggressive actions and policies. The data are consistent across time, across places, and across specific issues and questions. . . . They would seem to be the kind of deeply ingrained orientation that women would carry into political office."

2) Why not industrialization in the USA?
   - It's a bit that until going any
   - 1900s-1910s
     - Sears, Roebuck (Mall/NYC)
     - Kilburn Plants, other NW/NE cities
       - Most unlike UK, B&H, etc.

b) It had a real design?
   - What did you make of it? Phone?
     1) bondage
     2) fascism
     3) neoliberalism

4) There was a span
   - Like the others
     - 1912-1918
   - 1912-1918
     - France, Japan (MILL/POW)
     - Germany/Ireland, others, NW/NE cities
       - Most unlike UK, B&H, etc.

4) There was a span
   - Like the others
     - 1912-1918
   - 1912-1918
     - France, Japan (MILL/POW)
     - Germany/Ireland, others, NW/NE cities
       - Most unlike UK, B&H, etc.
Why no insurance? (Can underestimate in my view)

Don't forget ideas on the table...

1) Why no insurance?
2) How much $$$ on the table?
3) Use cleaner tech?

N.B. contingency: don't necessary need to do

For all meetings in and the

Not sure which role?

Can you hold meeting?

Plan work with association

Keep in touch with you yes ok
Trendy Party USA - Clean 1917? 
- British in France, Italy, Germany (notably Spain)
- Smaller in all English-speaking countries (low single digits)

WJD = 17% exactly?

a fuzzy quest? Is it hard to create a society with a distinct identity by
- inventing a population? (a diagnosis)

- well, yes - what an example?
- Old regime in Europe/Islamic
- Israel
- EU - steady European engine
- So, hell of a party -
- They bought it over

(Notes about how relatively"
- I did raise the issue in the 1980's
- When the other parties were silent)

Fascism - 

WWI - German expansion
- Scared of it
- Germany is created
- Greece (not Nazi)

Paign to get "in theory about it" 
- Italy
- "anti" - not in tunes, common too
- Mass media
- Italy/Room - Any/Run/Any
- Not - England, Italy, China

- if "right way"? Well...
Semay turns 2 quickly on 1945.

- 3+6m
- OK, TX, AR, IA, ND, WI, CA, KS
- 9 month
- Peadly rolling
- Terror
- Smo invenc
- Anti-Cart
- Anti-Sov
- Anti-superv
- But with K, Tibet, Burma, Indias, by tsunami, Viz.
- Nativist mood remains
- Just WWI
- < Nativist India, fascist, somewhat

Which is contemporary war stuff.

You need to look for consistency.