

November 5, 1999

Comments at "Roundtable - A Silver Anniversary Retrospective on David Mayhew's Congress - The Electoral Connection," at Southern PSA conference, Savannah

David R Mayhew

Seventy-first Annual Meeting

SOUTHERN POLITICAL SCIENCE ASSOCIATION



*November 3-6, 1999
Marriott Riverfront Hotel
Savannah, Georgia*

Panel Set 5
Friday, November 5, 1999
8:30 a.m. to 10:00 a.m.

**A-5 ROUNDTABLE: COMPARATIVE POLITICS ON THE EVE OF
THE MILLENNIUM**

Academy Room

Chair: **John W. Books**, *University of North Texas*

Paper: The Evolution of the Field in the Post WWII Period
 John Ryan, *University of North Texas*
 John W. Books, *University of North Texas*

Respondents: **B. Guy Peters**, *University of Pittsburgh*
 John A. Booth, *University of North Texas*
 Lawrence C. Mayer, *Texas Tech University*
 Paul Abramson, *Michigan State University*

**B-5a ROUNDTABLE: A SILVER ANNIVERSARY
RETROSPECTIVE ON DAVID MAYHEW'S CONGRESS:
THE ELECTORAL CONNECTION**

Plaza Room

Chair: **Jon R. Bond**, *Texas A&M University*

Participants: **Alan Abramowitz**, *Emory University*
 David R. Mayhew, *Yale University*
 John Aldrich, *Duke University*
 Larry Dodd, *University of Florida*
 Patricia Hurley, *Texas A&M University*

B-5b/H-5b STATE LEGISLATIVE ELECTIONS

Johnson Room

Chair: **Lee Bernick**, *University of North Carolina at Greensboro*

Campaign Techniques in State Legislative Elections
Fred M. Monardi, *University of Wisconsin, Parkside*

Race to the Golden Dome: An Analysis of the Effects of Campaign
Contributions, Incumbency, and Party Affiliation on State House of
Delegate Elections in West Virginia
Jeannie Underwood, *Virginia Tech University*

Split Ticket Voting Versus Abstentions in Uncontested State Legislative
Contests: The Case of Indiana, 1992-1998
John D. Cranor, *Ball State University*



Sarahannah SBA

11/5/89

C:EC
Janet R C:EC

15 minutes to "present my thoughts" on the book - fine

Here are ~~5~~ ⁵ observations

- of various kinds

2 re: what kind of a book is it?

how do I think of it in

4 re: ~~how do I think of it in~~ ^{light of} succeeding
events + schump -

1) It's a caricature -

~~It's a caricature~~ - a theoretical work that goes too far with its reduction motif
can that explain everything? only - ^{I'm stepping back, now}

- it reflects ~~an~~ an impulse that was reaching New Britain in the early 1970s
(Down, esp - that was its genesis)

2) It's an exaggerated caricature.

- Based on partial - months on equities for a year - what were they doing

- let me put in a plug for the CFellows Program, or some other device.

- I think it's a good idea to see before theory

- as did KK before PTSC

3) PI - I meant this seriously - (people misread or overlook)

^{They} - the original program ~~the~~

Constructing / cause + effect relations -

(as a matter of electrical intensity)

Open not a research bureau, ~~not~~

nor is it likely to be a research bureau

(Committee or theory)

We do this all the time

a) gun control

b) ^{save} 30C sec

- it's a serious impediment to statistical writing

4) Electoral incentive means "cater to voters"?

(p 1/3) "What argument has to be made to ensure that in
primary & general elections, the resource balance
(with all other deployed resources finally translated
into votes) favors himself rather
than somebody else".

So - 1980s PAC/CF based

now = party splitting (odd!)

5) Parties: I don't see much difference

— tougher than (as parties are various said to be merged)

— clearest (or plateau now)

C:EC line will be: MPs don't disappear as individual actors & schemes.

— Perhaps, yes, the homogenization

— CF#, activists: ~~you defect from your party (or general)~~

— you defect from basic p. loyalty at your peril

(SDs = teachers' union
vocal minorities
and many)

— Shelly 1986!

— Yd: centrist deputy — ~~fact~~ — even at a cost...

— The New Union / Church's steps further

— HMU, now, CP etc.

Jackman & Beighton

— loyalty is a violation of C:EC

— Because it ~~is~~ paid (2 or 3%) — it's measurable

It's still