

**University of Barcelona**

---

**From the SelectedWorks of Clàudia Pons-Moll**

---

Winter January 21, 2014

# Prestressing suffixes in Catalan. A challenge for OT-CC with Optimal Interleaving? (second part)

Clàudia Pons-Moll



SELECTEDWORKS™

Available at: [http://works.bepress.com/claudia\\_pons-moll/17/](http://works.bepress.com/claudia_pons-moll/17/)

Tableaux

(33) *Stress assignment precedes PS affixation AND Vowel lowering precedes PS affixation*

/ROOT+PS-AFFIX/	Prec [DEP(Stress), PS-affixation]	Prec [IDENT(ATR), PS-affixation]	STW	DEP(stress)	IDENT(ATR)	*é, *ó	*é, *ó
a. <esf[e]r-affix, esF[e]R-affix, esF[e]R-ic, esf[ɛ]r-ic> LUMSeq: <DEP(stress), INSERT-PS, IDENT(ATR)>		W*(!)	*	*	*		*
b. <esf[e]r-affix, esf[e]r-ic, esf[e]RI-C, esF[ə]Ri-C> LUMSeq: <INSERT-PS, DEP(Stress)>	W*(!)		L	*	L		L
c. <esf[e]r-affix, esF[e]R-affix, esF[e]R-ic> LUMSeq: <DEP(Stress), INSERT-PS>		W*(!)	*	*	L	W*	L
? d. <esfer-affix, esF[e]R-affix, esF[ɛ]R-affix, esf[ɛ]r-ic> LUMSeq: <DEP(stress), IDENT(ATR), INSERT-PS>			*	*	*		*

Problem: candidate chains *a* and *d* are not harmonically improving, given IDENT(ATR) >> \*é, \*ó (see Appendix B).

(34) *Stress assignment precedes INFL affixation*

/ROOT+INFL-AFFIX/	Prec [Dep(Stress), INFL-affixation ]	STW	Dep(stress)	Ident(ATR)	*é, *ó	*é, *ó
a. <esf[e]r-affix, esF[e]R-affix, esF[e]R-a, esF[ε]R-a> <Dep(Stress), INSERT-INFL, Ident(ATR)>			*	W*(!)	L	W*
☞ b. <esf[e]r-affix, esF[e]R-affix, esF[e]R-a> <Dep(Stress), INSERT-INFL>			*		*	
c. <esf[e]r-affix, esf[e]r-a, esf[e]RA> <INSERT-INFL, Dep(Stress)>	W*(!)		*		*	
d. <esf[e]r-affix, esf[e]r-a, esf[e]RA, esf[ə]RA> <INSERT-INFL, Dep(Stress)>	W*(!)		*		L	

Remaining problem (not crucial here): candidate chain *a* is not harmonically improving, given IDENT(ATR) >> \*é, \*ó (see Appendix-B).

(35) *Stress assignment precedes PS affixation AND Stress Assignment precedes Vowel lowering*

/ROOT+PS-AFFIX/	Prec [DEP(Stress), PS-affixation]	Prec [DEP-Stress, IDENT(ATR)]	STW	DEP(stress)	IDENT(ATR)	*é, *ó	*é, *ó
a. <esf[e]r-affix, esF[e]R-affix, esF[e]R-ic, esf[ɛ]r-ic> LUMSeq: <DEP(stress), INSERT-PS, IDENT(ATR)>		OK	*	*	*!		*
b. <esf[e]r-affix, esf[e]r-ic, esf[e]RI-C, esF[ə]Ri-C> LUMSeq: <INSERT-PS, DEP(Stress)>	*!	OK		*			
● <sup>*</sup> c. <esf[e]r-affix, esF[e]R-affix, esF[e]R-ic> LUMSeq: <DEP(Stress), INSERT-PS>		OK (vacuously satisfied)	*	*		*	
⊕ d. <esfer-affix, esF[e]R-affix, esF[ɛ]R-affix, esf[ɛ]r-ic> LUMSeq: <DEP(stress), IDENT(ATR), INSERT-PS>		OK	*	*	*!		*

(36) *Stress assignment precedes PS affixation AND Vowel lowering precedes Stress Assignment precedes*

/ROOT+PS-AFFIX/	Prec [DEP(Stress), PS-affixation]	Prec [IDENT(ATR), DEP(Stress)]	STW	DEP(stress)	IDENT(ATR)	*é, *ó	*ε, *ɔ
a. <esf[e]r-affix, esF[e]R-affix, esF[e]R-ic, esf[ɛ]r-ic> LUMSeq: <DEP(stress), INSERT-PS, IDENT(ATR)>		*!	*	*	*!		*
b. <esf[e]r-affix, esf[e]r-ic, esf[e]RI-C, esF[ə]Ri-C> LUMSeq: <INSERT-PS, DEP(Stress)>	*!	OK (vacuously satisfied)		*			
☛ c. <esf[e]r-affix, esF[e]R-affix, esF[e]R-ic> LUMSeq: <DEP(Stress), INSERT-PS>		OK (vacuously satisfied)	*	*		*	
☹ d. <esfer-affix, esF[e]R-affix, esF[ɛ]R-affix, esf[ɛ]r-ic> LUMSeq: <DEP(stress), IDENT(ATR), INSERT-PS>		*!	*	*	*!		*

## Appendix-A: Data

---

(10a); p. 5: more data

est[é]rii	mat[é]ria	el[ó]gi	mem[ó]ria
d[é]bil	Val[é]ncia	[ó]li	n[ó]ia
inc[é]ndi		[ó]rdi	b[ó]ia
n[é]ci		p[ó]di	
mist[é]ri			
in[é]dit			
obs[é]qui			

(Data from Mascaró 2008, 2011; Wheeler 2005: 37-52, *GIEC*)

(10b); p. 5: more data

aster[ó]ide  
b[ó]ira  
cof[ó]i  
est[ó]ic

(Data from Mascaró 2008, 2011; Wheeler 2005: 37-52, *GIEC*)

(13); p. 7: more data

[é]ter	aut[ó]nom	[é]mfasi	d[ó]mino
	ll[ó]brec	etc[é]tera	hip[ó]tesi
	hidr[ó]gen	g[é]nere	n[ó]mada
	pr[ó]leg	g[é]nesi	n[ó]mina
	pr[ó]sper	m[é]tode	[ó]rfena
		r[é]plica	pr[ó]rroga
			s[ó]mines
			pr[ó]stata

(Data from Fabra 1912: 459-460; Mascaró 2011; *GIEC*; see Appendix)

## Appendix-B: Theoretical framework

---

- OPTIMAL INTERLEAVING (Wolf 2008). The basics (simplified).
  - a) Morphological spell-out (morpheme realization) occurs in the phonological component of the grammar.
  - b) A correspondence relation is established between morphemes and morphs. This correspondence relation is regularized through faithfulness constraints of the type MAX-M and DEP-M.

- c) Morpheme realization is, thus, one of the operations that GEN performs, so derivational steps that realize morphemes are interleaved among steps that perform phonological operations.
  - d) Concomitantly, constraints on morpheme realization are interleaved among phonological constraints in the ranking that EVAL applies.
  - e) Spell-out can occur at any location in the phonological representation.
- CANDIDATE CHAINS (McCarthy 2007). The basics (simplified).
    - a) A candidate chain associated with an input /in/ in a language with the constraint hierarchy H is an ordered  $n$ -tuple of forms  $C = \langle f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle$  that meets the following 3 conditions:
      - **Faithful initial form:**  $f_0$  is a faithful parse of /in/. (Specifically, it's the faithful parse of /in/ that's most harmonic according to H.)
      - **Gradual divergence:** In every pair of immediately successive forms in C,  $\langle \dots, f_i, f_{i+1}, \dots \rangle$  ( $0 \leq i < n$ ),  $f_{i+1}$  has all of  $f_i$ 's unfaithful mappings, plus one.
      - **Harmonic improvement:** In every pair of immediately successive forms in C,  $\langle \dots, f_i, f_{i+1}, \dots \rangle$  ( $0 \leq i < n$ ),  $f_{i+1}$  is more harmonic than  $f_i$  according to  $EVAL_H$ .

→ There are various alternative ways of formulating the gradual divergence requirement (*i.e.* in terms of faithfulness, phonological operations, or even perceptual similarity). In this paper, in terms of faithfulness and operations.

→ Important precursor to OT-CC: Prince & Smolensky (2004: 94-95): “some general procedure (Do- $\alpha$ ) is allowed to make a certain single modification to the input, producing the candidate set of all possible outcomes of such modification. This is then evaluated; and the process continues with the output so determined... There are constraints inherent in the limitation to a single operation and in the requirement that each operation in the sequence improve Harmony.”

### BASIC REFERENCES

- BONET, E.; LLORET, M.R. (1998): *Fonologia Catalana*. Barcelona: Ariel.
- FABRA, P. (1912): *Gramática de la lengua catalana*. Barcelona, L'Avenç.
- FABRA, P. (1956): *Gramàtica catalana*. Barcelona, Teide.
- GIEC = *Gramàtica de la llengua catalana (IEC)* [in progress, ms.]
- KAVITSKAYA, D.; STAROVEROV, P. (2010): «When an interaction is both opaque and transparent: the paradox of fed counterfeeding». *Phonology* 27. P. 255-288.
- KIPARSKY, P. (1973) “Phonological representations.” In O. Fujimura (ed.) *Three Dimensions of Linguistic Theory*. Tokyo, TEC, 3–136.
- MASCARÓ, J. (1976): *Catalan phonology and the phonological cycle*. PhD dissertation, MIT.
- MASCARÓ, J. (1985): *Morfologia catalana*. Barcelona: Enciclopèdia Catalana.

- MASCARÓ, J. (2003): «Comparative markedness and derived environments». *Theoretical linguistics*, 29. p. 113-122.
- MASCARÓ, J. (2008): «La distribució de les vocals mitjanes tòniques en català central». *Caplletra*, 44. p. 75-102.
- MASCARÓ, J. (2011): «Efectes accentuals i sil·làbics sobre la distribució de les vocals mitjanes en català central». A: *Noves aproximacions a la fonologia i la morfologia del català. Volum d'homenatge a Max W. Wheeler*. (eds) Lloret, M. R., i Clàudia Pons-Moll, p. 405-426. Alacant: Institut Interuniversitari de Filologia Valenciana.
- MCCARTHY, J. J. (2003): «Comparative markedness and derived environments». *Theoretical linguistics*, 29. pp. 1-51.
- PRINCE, A.; SMOLENSKY, P. (2004): *Optimality Theory: Constraint Interaction in Generative Grammar*. Malden, MA, and Oxford, UK: Blackwell. [Revision of 1993 technical report, Rutgers University Center for Cognitive Science.]
- MCCARTHY, J. J. (2007): *Hidden Generalizations. Phonological Opacity in Optimality Theory*. London: Equinox.
- WHEELER, M. (2005): *The phonology of Catalan*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- WOLF, M. (2008): *Optimal interleaving: serial phonology-morphology interaction in a constraint-based model*. University of Massachusetts, Amherst, PhD dissertation.