2009

Overt Naming fMRI Pre- and Post- TMS: Two Nonfluent Aphasia Patients, with and without Improved Naming Post- TMS

Paula I Martin

Margaret A. Naeser

Michael Ho

Karl W. Doron

Jacquie Kurland, *University of Massachusetts - Amherst*, et al.

Published in final edited form as:

Brain Lang. 2009 October ; 111(1): 20–35. doi:10.1016/j.bandl.2009.07.007.

Overt Naming fMRI Pre- and Post- TMS: Two Nonfluent Aphasia Patients, with and without Improved Naming Post- TMS

Paula I Martin¹, Margaret A. Naeser, Ph.D.¹, Michael Ho, Ph.D.¹, Karl W. Doron¹, Jacquie Kurland, Ph.D.¹, Jerome Kaplan, M.A.¹, Yunyan Wang¹, Marjorie Nicholas, Ph.D.¹, Errol H. Baker, Ph.D.¹, Miguel Alonso, M.D.², Felipe Fregni, M.D., Ph.D.², and Alvaro Pascual-Leone, M.D., Ph.D.²

¹Harold Goodglass Boston University Aphasia Research Center, Department of Neurology, Boston University School of Medicine and the Veterans Affairs Boston Healthcare System

²Berenson-Allen Center for Noninvasive Brain Stimulation, Department of Neurology, Harvard Medical School and Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center

Abstract

Two chronic, nonfluent aphasia patients participated in overt naming fMRI scans, pre- and post- a series of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) treatments as part of a TMS study to improve naming. Each patient received ten, 1-Hz rTMS treatments to suppress a part of R pars triangularis. P1 was a ‘good responder’ with improved naming and phrase length; P2 was a ‘poor responder’ without improved naming.

Pre- TMS (10 yr. poststroke), P1 had significant activation in R and L sensorimotor cortex, R IFG, and in both L and R SMA during overt naming fMRI (28% pictures named. At 3 mo. post- TMS (42% named), P1 showed continued activation in R and L sensorimotor cortex, R IFG, and in R and L SMA. At 16 mo. post- TMS (58% named), he also showed significant activation in R and L sensorimotor cortex mouth and R IFG. He now showed a significant increase in activation in the L SMA compared to pre- TMS and at 3 mo. post- TMS ($p < .02$; $p < .05$, respectively). At 16 mo. there was also greater activation in L than R SMA ($p < .08$). At 46 mo. post- TMS (42% named), this new LH pattern of activation continued. He improved on the Boston Naming Test from 11 pictures named pre- TMS, to scores ranging from 14–18 pictures, post- TMS (2 mo. to 43 mo. post- TMS). His longest phrase length (Cookie Theft picture) improved from 3 words pre- TMS, to 5–6 words post- TMS.

Pre- TMS (1.5 yr. poststroke), P2 had significant activation in R IFG (3% pictures named). At 3 and 6 mo. post- TMS, there was no longer significant activation in R IFG, but significant activation was present in R sensorimotor cortex. On all three fMRI scans, P2 had significant activation in both the L and R SMA. There was no new, lasting perilesional LH activation across sessions for this patient. Over time, there was little or no change in his activation. His naming remained only at 1–2 pictures during all three fMRI scans. His BNT score and longest phrase length remained at 1 word, post- TMS.

Lesion site may play a role in each patient’s fMRI activation pattern and response to TMS treatment. P2, the poor responder, had an atypical frontal lesion in the L motor and premotor cortex that extended

© 2009 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Address Correspondence to: Paula I. Martin, Aphasia Research Center, V.A. Boston Healthcare System (12-A), 150 So. Huntington Ave., Boston, MA 02130 U.S.A. Phone: 857-364-4029 FAX: 617 739-8926 paulak@bu.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

high, near brain vertex, with deep white matter lesion near L SMA. P2 also had frontal lesion in the posterior middle frontal gyrus, an area important for naming (Duffau et al., 2003); P1 did not. Additionally, P2 had lesion inferior and posterior to Wernicke's area, in parts of BA 21 and 37, whereas P1 did not.

The fMRI data of our patient who had good response following TMS support the notion that restoration of the LH language network is linked in part, to better recovery of naming and phrase length in nonfluent aphasia.

Keywords

Aphasia; TMS; fMRI; Overt naming; Stroke rehabilitation

Introduction

Brain re-organization supporting recovery of language in aphasia remains unclear. Both the left hemisphere (LH) and the right hemisphere (RH) are thought to support language recovery after stroke (Crosson et al., 2007; Gold & Kertesz, 2000; Price & Crinion, 2005; Thompson, 2000). The exact nature of the contribution from each hemisphere is still debated. Factors including time poststroke when patients are studied (acute or chronic), lesion location and the specific language tasks examined may affect the mechanisms involved in recovery (Price & Crinion, 2005; Thiel et al., 2006).

Since the 1870's, some reports have suggested that the RH can support some recovery of language after LH stroke (Barlow, 1877; Basso, Gardelli, Grassi, & Mariotti, 1989; Gowers, 1886; Kinsbourne, 1971). Some functional imaging studies have observed RH activation during different language tasks in a variety of aphasia patients. In these studies, the RH activation was considered to be compensatory (Blasi et al., 2002; Musso et al., 1999; Peck et al., 2004; Thulborn, Carpenter, & Just, 1999; Weiller et al., 1995). Fernandez et al., 2004 suggested that RH participation in the acute recovery stage of LH stroke may be followed later, by LH activation corresponding to further recovery and that the RH may play a larger role in supporting recovery when there is greater damage to LH language areas. New RH activation has been observed following speech therapy with some aphasia patients (Cherney & Small, 2006; Crosson et al., 2005; Peck et al., 2004; Raboyeau et al., 2008).

It is possible that unusually high RH activation, as observed in some functional imaging studies, is related to transcallosal disinhibition, leading only to partial, or incomplete recovery. This increased RH activation may be 'maladaptive' and lead to a 'dead-end', inefficient strategy for recovery, particularly in nonfluent aphasia patients (Belin et al., 1996; Lefaucheur, 2006; Naeser et al., 2004; Price & Crinion, 2005; Rosen et al., 2000).

The LH may be important for better language recovery after stroke (Cao, Vikingstad, George, Johnson, & Welch, 1999; Gold & Kertesz, 2000; Heiss, Kessler, Thiel, Ghaemi, & Karbe, 1999; Karbe et al., 1998; Naeser et al., 2004; Perani et al., 2003; Rosen et al., 2000; Warburton, Price, Swinburn, & Wise, 1999; Zahn et al., 2002). Heiss & Thiel (2006) have suggested that for long-term recovery, RH recruitment may be less efficient than restoring the LH network. Patients with better recovery have been observed to have higher activation in L superior temporal gyrus (STG) and L supplementary motor area (SMA) (Karbe et al., 1998; Saur et al., 2006). Recovery of naming has been associated with reperfusion of L Brodmann area (BA) 37 in acute stroke cases studied with perfusion weighted imaging (Hillis et al., 2006). After speech therapy with chronic stroke patients, new LH activation has been associated with improvement in language (Cornelissen et al., 2003; Leger et al., 2002; Meinzer et al., 2008; Small, Flores, & Noll, 1998).

Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation

Repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) allows painless, noninvasive stimulation of the cortex. It utilizes magnetic fields to create electrical currents in cortical regions of interest (ROIs). Repetitive TMS can be used to produce changes in cortical excitability (Lefaucheur, 2006). When rTMS is delivered to the same cortical region at 1 Hz, it generally decreases cortical excitability, even beyond the duration of stimulation itself (Chen et al., 1997; Maeda, Keenan, Tormos, Topka, & Pascual-Leone, 2000).

When applied to a specific ROI in the undamaged hemisphere, low-frequency (1 Hz) rTMS may suppress the inhibitory process of that area, permitting reactivation of some areas within the damaged hemisphere, promoting some functional recovery (Lefaucheur, 2006). This is similar to the phenomenon of 'Paradoxical Functional Facilitation' or PFF (Kapur, 1996). The phenomenon of PFF suggests that direct or indirect neural 'damage' to a specific area in the central nervous system may result in facilitation of behavior (Kapur, 1996).

Our TMS research with chronic stroke patients who have nonfluent aphasia has shown that suppression of part of the R Broca's homologue area, R pars triangularis (R PTr), approximately BA 45 (Nishitani, Schurmann, Amunts, & Hari, 2005) with ten, 20-minute, 1 Hz rTMS treatments over a 2-week period resulted in a significant improvement in naming pictures at 2 mo. post-treatment (Naeser, Martin, Nicholas, Baker, Seekins, Kobayashi et al., 2005). We hypothesized that 1 Hz rTMS to suppress a targeted RH ROI in nonfluent aphasia, would have an overall modulating effect on elements of the distributed neural network for picture naming, resulting in behavioral improvement.

In the present study, we report serial, overt naming functional MRI (fMRI) studies, pre- and post- ten, 20-minute, 1 Hz rTMS treatments to suppress part of the R PTr, in two chronic nonfluent aphasia patients. One patient was considered a 'good responder' to TMS treatment with improved naming at 2 mo. post-treatment, which has lasted almost 4 years. The other patient was considered a 'poor responder', with no change in naming post- TMS.

We hypothesized that over time, in patients who have a good response to TMS, during overt naming fMRI, there would be a gradual shift to increased LH activation in perilesional language areas and the L SMA. We further hypothesized that during overt naming fMRI, in patients who have a poor response to TMS, there would be little or no change in LH perilesional activation.

Methods

Participants

Two chronic nonfluent aphasia patients participated in overt naming fMRI studies, pre- and post- TMS treatments, where part of the R PTr was suppressed with rTMS. Patient 1 also participated in overt propositional speech/story-telling fMRI post- TMS. Institutional Review Board approval and signed informed consent were obtained.

Patient 1, Good Responder

Patient 1 (P1) was a R-handed engineer who had an L MCA stroke at age 48; he had a moderate R hemiparesis. Fig. 1a shows the structural T1-weighted MRI for this patient. At 10 yr. poststroke, P1 was treated with rTMS. At Entry, he had mild-moderate nonfluent speech, with a 3-word longest phrase length (Cookie Theft picture, BDAE) (Goodglass, Kaplan, & Barresi, 2001) (Table 1a).

Patient 2, Poor Responder

Patient 2 (P2) was a R-handed high school teacher who had a LH stroke at age 56; he had no R hemiparesis. He had an embolic stroke; the stroke etiology was a patent foramen ovale. He was treated with tissue plasminogen activator (tPA), a blood-clot dissolving agent used with stroke patients in the acute setting. Fig. 1b shows the structural T1-weighted MRI for this patient. At 2 yr. poststroke, P2 was treated with rTMS. At Entry, he was considered to have severe nonfluent speech, with a 1-word longest phrase length (Cookie Theft picture, BDAE) (Table 1b).

Lesion Sites in P1 and P2

P1 and P2 each had lesion in the two subcortical white matter areas associated with lasting, nonfluent propositional speech, see Fig. 1c (Duffau et al., 2002; Naeser, Palumbo, Helm-Estabrooks, Stiassny-Eder, & Albert, 1989): 1) medial subcallosal fasciculus (MScF) area, anterolateral to L frontal horn, deep to Broca's area; plus 2) periventricular white matter (PVWM) area, lateral to body of lateral ventricle, deep to sensorimotor cortex, mouth. P2 had more lesion in the MScF area, than P1; however, P2 had less lesion in the PVWM area, than P1. The absence of paralysis in P2 was compatible with this sparing of the deepest PVWM efferent and afferent pathways, adjacent to body of lateral ventricle, see Fig. 1b and Fig. 1c. P1 had a moderate-severe R paralysis with a more extensive PVWM lesion adjacent to body of lateral ventricle, see green arrow and circle in Fig. 1a and Fig. 1c.

P2 had an atypical LH frontal lobe lesion, where lesion in the motor and premotor cortex areas extended high, near the brain vertex, with deep white matter lesion extension near the L SMA (Fig. 1b and Fig. 2). The atypical lesion distribution in P2 may have been related to use of tPA in his case. This high portion of his lesion may have undercut additional fibers that course from the SMA through anterior cingulate (BA 24) to the head of caudate, deep to Broca's area, forming the MScF. It may have interrupted MScF pathways closer to their origin, the SMA, thus contributing to his limited naming and propositional speech.

In addition, P2 had lesion in the posterior portion of the middle frontal gyrus (MFG). Duffau et al., (2003,2005) studied picture naming in epilepsy patients with low-grade glioma located near or within the left dominant premotor cortex, during local anesthesia with intraoperative real-time sensorimotor and language mappings using electrical stimulation. They observed naming disruption in 92% of their patients, during stimulation of the posterior part of the MFG, at the junction of the superior frontal sulcus with the precentral sulcus. The high frontal lobe lesion extension, in case P2, was located in the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (DLPFC) 'epicenter' for picture naming, described by (Duffau et al., 2005).

Language Outcome Measures

Prior to any TMS, language testing included the first 20 pictures on the Boston Naming Test (BNT) (Kaplan, Goodglass, & Weintraub, 2001) and selected subtests from the Boston Diagnostic Aphasia Exam (BDAE) (Goodglass, Kaplan, & Barresi, 2001). The primary outcome measures following a TMS treatment series were the BNT, the category naming subtests from the BDAE, and the number of words per longest phrase length (Cookie Theft picture, BDAE). Auditory comprehension and repetition were also examined.

Baseline Testing, Snodgrass and Vanderwart Naming

Baseline naming ability for Snodgrass & Vanderwart (S&V) (1980) pictures was established at Entry, for each patient. The baseline S&V naming score was used later, during Phase 1 rTMS sessions (explained below), to establish the best RH cortical ROI to suppress with rTMS, in order to improve naming. The S&V pictures were presented on a G3 Macintosh laptop. For

P1, five, 20-item S&V lists were administered across three separate testing sessions during baseline testing. P2 was administered ten, 20-item S&V lists across three separate testing sessions during baseline testing. For each patient, the baseline mean response time (RT) and the mean number of S&V pictures named correctly across the lists were calculated.

Each patient was requested not to receive any individualized speech therapy throughout the first year of the study. However, at approximately 4 mo. post-Phase 2 rTMS, P2 was given a hand-held augmentative speech device by his speech-language pathologist. He was facile with using the device and it appeared that his own attempts at verbalization became reduced after using this device (personal observation). P1, entered into a Constraint-Induced Aphasia Treatment Program (CIAT), which emphasized verb production (Goral & Kempler, 2008), at 24 mo. post- TMS (12 Yr. poststroke), within a year after the overt naming fMRI session at 16 mo. post- TMS, prior to the overt naming fMRI session at 46 mo. post- TMS.

Imaging Sessions

A 3-Tesla MRI scanner (Philips, Intera) at the Boston University Center for Biomedical Imaging (BU CBI) was used to acquire structural and functional imaging. Structural MRI and overt naming fMRI were acquired prior to TMS treatment and in follow-up sessions beginning at 3 mo. after the TMS treatment series was completed. P1 also participated in overt propositional speech/story-telling fMRI, post- TMS.

Structural MRI

Acquisition parameters for the 3D magnetization prepared rapid gradient echo (MPRAGE) structural images were as follows: TR = 6.85, TE = 3.2, matrix size 256×256 , FOV 256, number of slices = 125, slice thickness = 1.20 mm. Images were acquired in the sagittal view and re-sliced into the axial plane. Fig. 1 presents the 3D MPRAGE MRI scans for P1 and for P2.

Functional MRI

Acquisition parameters for the overt speech fMRI scans were as follows: TR = 3000 ms, TE = 35 ms, matrix size 128×128 , FOV 256, number of slices = 34, slice thickness = 4 mm. Images were acquired in the axial plane.

Overt Naming fMRI Block Design Paradigm

Overt naming fMRIs were obtained and analyzed in the same manner as Martin et al., 2005. Figure 3a shows the schematic diagram of the continuous sample, block design for overt naming fMRI.

The continuous sample, block design, overt naming fMRI paradigm that was utilized took advantage of the hemodynamic response delay where increased blood flow remains for 4-8 seconds after the task (Friston, Jezzard, & Turner, 1994). Therefore, task-related information is obtained after the task, minimizing motion artifact (Barch et al., 1999; Birn, Cox, & Bandettini, 2004; Eden, Joseph, Brown, Brown, & Zeffiro, 1999).

Images were modeled using a box-car reference function for the block design, which consisted of two alternating conditions: silent viewing of black and white patterns (control condition) and overt picture naming. Sixty pictures were presented from the S&V database of black and white line drawings (Snodgrass & Vanderwart, 1980).

There were two runs (30 different pictures, each run) with 104 image volumes. Each run consisted of 10 epochs each, of pattern (silent) or picture (overt speech) conditions, for a total of 20 epochs. An additional pattern epoch was included at the beginning of the run, but was

not included in the statistical analyses. Each pattern or picture was presented for 5 s and was preceded by a 1-s fixation dot for a total trial time of 6 s. The pattern epochs consisted of 2 patterns, lasting 12 s each (4 image volumes), and alternated with picture (overt speech) epochs consisting of 3 pictures, lasting for 18 s (6 image volumes). Each run lasted 5 min 12 s, with a short break given between runs.

Most words were monosyllabic and presentation was pseudo-randomized so that no two consecutive stimuli began with the same phoneme or belonged to the same semantic category. Stimuli were projected onto a backlit screen and each participant viewed them through a mirror located over the head in the scanner. A noise-reduction acoustical microphone and headset (FOMRI; Phone-Or Ltd., Or Yehuda, Israel) were used, and the verbal responses were transmitted to and recorded with sound filtering software on a laptop computer in the MRI control room. Overt responses were transcribed at the time of scanning, and available later, from the recorded output. Prior to participation, subjects were trained on a set of practice pictures (not shown during scanning), outside the scanner on an iMac G4 for up to three visits, and on the mock MRI scanner at the BU CBI.

Overt Propositional Speech fMRI Block Design Paradigm

Only P1, who had mild-moderate nonfluent speech, participated in overt propositional speech/story-telling fMRI. P2, who had severe nonfluent speech (1-word phrase length), was considered too severe for participation in the overt propositional speech/story-telling paradigm.

The continuous sample, block design for overt propositional speech was similar to the overt naming block design and consisted of two alternating conditions: silent viewing of black and white patterns (control condition), and overt propositional speech (picture description/story telling). Pictures were black and white line drawings that depicted a story (four sequential pictures per story) (Naeser et al., 2004). Each picture was on the screen for 9 s (See schematic in Fig. 3b). Two pictures were presented per epoch. Two epochs of propositional speech made a complete story. There were two runs of 104 image volumes. Each run lasted 5 min 12 s, with a short break between runs.

fMRI Analyses

Analyses were completed using SPM99 (Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology, London, UK). Post-processing of the images included: 1) motion-correction, using a rigid body 6-parameter realignment algorithm where the first image after the dummy scans was used as a reference; 2) realignment and co-registration to the 3D MPRAGE scan of all time series scans; 3) spatial normalization of the 3D MPRAGE scan to the MNI T1 template image where the resulting spatial transformation parameters were then applied to the EPI time series; and 4) smoothing of the EPI time series with a 6-mm FWHM Gaussian filter. The size of the voxels after normalization was $2 \times 2 \times 2 \text{ mm}^3$.

The fMRI data were considered acceptable if the amount of motion after correction was within a range of less than 0.5 mm in any direction across a run of 104 images. The amount of motion for each patient fell within an acceptable range and no data for these patients were excluded.

As shown in Fig. 3, at the beginning of each silent 12-s pattern epoch, there continued to be approximately 6 s of hemodynamic response from overt speech (hemodynamic delay naming, hdN). The first 6 s of the pattern condition could then be compared to the last 6 s of the pattern condition. A t-contrast of overt speech (hdN) compared to pattern was set up to determine task-related functional activation.

Analysis was initially completed with a whole brain analysis method. A threshold for signal amplitude of $p < 0.001$ (uncorrected) and $p < 0.05$ (corrected at the cluster level using Family-

Wise Error) (Worsley et al., 1996) was utilized. Statistical t-maps showing functional activation at the corrected level for the whole brain were superimposed on the matched structural images using MRIcro software (Rorden & Brett, 2000).

In addition, in order to perform statistical comparisons of pre- and post- TMS fMRI sessions for each patient, we completed an ROI analysis that computed the mean effect size, using the MarsBaR toolbox within SPM99 (Brett, Anton, Valabregue, & Poline, 2002). This avoids threshold effects when making comparisons from one session to another in an individual patient. Other methodologies exist for examination of fMRI activation over time, across multiple sessions, such as intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC), but they are often utilized in group studies to examine between-subject and within-subject effects, not individual case studies (Meltzer, Postman-Caucheteux, McArdle, & Braun, 2009). Such studies examining magnitude of signal change may be useful for group studies, but caution should be taken when examining within-subject effects (Chee, Lee, Soon, Westphal, & Venkatraman, 2003).

ROIs were chosen to encompass the following regions within the neural network for naming including R IFG (PTr and POp); R sensorimotor cortex; and the L and R SMA for both patients. We also examined L sensorimotor cortex for P1. P2 had extensive lesion in this region, which did not allow us to create a L sensorimotor ROI. All ROIs were identified using anatomical landmarks (see below), hand drawn on each patient's individual, normalized brain in MRIcro and then converted to analyze format for use in the MarsBaR toolbox.

The location of the R IFG (which included both the POp and PTr) was defined by the following anatomical landmarks: 1) Inferior frontal sulcus, dorsal border; 2) Sylvian fissure, inferior border; 3) Inferior precentral sulcus, posterior border for POp; and 4) Horizontal ramus, anterior border of PTr (Amunts et al., 1999; Amunts et al., 2004; Anwander, Tittgemeyer, von Cramon, Friederici, & Knosche, 2006; Keller et al., 2007; Nishitani, Schurmann, Amunts, & Hari, 2005).

The L and R sensorimotor ROIs were defined by the following anatomical landmarks: 1) Central sulcus; 2) Precentral sulcus, anterior border; 3) Postcentral sulcus, posterior border; 4) Superior border was determined by the highest axial slice, where the central sulcus clearly formed an omega, extending about 4/5ths dorsally along the central sulcus.

The L and R SMA were defined by the following anatomical landmarks: 1) the ROIs extended from the brain vertex (superior) to the cingulate sulcus (inferior) and from the precentral sulcus (caudal) to the vertical anterior commissure (VAC) line (rostral) (Lehericy et al., 2004).

rTMS Treatment Protocol

Each patient participated in two phases of the rTMS treatment protocol. During each phase of the study, the intensity of TMS that the participant received was adjusted for each TMS session (daily during the two week treatment) and applied at 90% of motor threshold. Motor threshold is the intensity of magnetic stimulation needed to elicit a muscle twitch in the thumb, L first dorsal interosseus muscle (L FDI), in 5 out of 10 trials when using single-pulse TMS applied to the primary motor cortex of the contralateral hemisphere. Motor threshold is reported as a percent of maximum output, in this case, 1.5T, of the TMS device. Published guidelines for safety parameters of rTMS are based on stimulation intensities expressed as a percent of the individual's motor threshold (Wassermann, 1998).

Phase 1 rTMS, Establish Location of Best RH Cortical ROI

The location of the single, best RH cortical ROI to suppress with rTMS to improve picture naming was determined individually for each patient. During this phase, 1 Hz rTMS was applied at 90% of motor threshold (for L FDI) for 10 min, using a figure 8-shaped TMS coil

(7 cm diameter), which directly affected a cortical target about 1 cc in size. See Fig. 4. This rTMS protocol was applied in separate sessions, to four different RH cortical ROIs. These included: M1, mouth (orbicularis oris, verified with motor evoked potential); and 3 subregions within Broca's area: PTr anterior, PTr posterior, and POP, as defined below.

Broca's area is classically defined as the PTr and POP portions of the inferior frontal gyrus (IFG). Although PTr and POP are sometimes considered to represent BA 45 and BA 44, respectively, only cytoarchitectonic studies from post-mortem brain examination can determine the borders that define these Brodmann areas.

The subregions within Broca's area become more complex when a diagonal sulcus is present. A RH diagonal sulcus was present for each patient in this study. The diagonal sulcus is located caudal to the vertical ascending ramus, within the IFG. See Fig. 5c. Amunts et al. (2004) observed in a study where structural MRI and cytoarchitectonics were examined in the same 10 brains, that a diagonal sulcus was present in only every second hemisphere. They observed that the diagonal sulcus could either mark the border between BA 44 and 45 or it could be inside BA 44. Although it is understood that without cytoarchitectonics, it was not possible to know whether the diagonal sulcus was a border between POP and PTr or if it was within POP, in the present study it was used to delimit POP from PTr for each case.

The location of PTr and POP were identified on each patient's brain using the following anatomical landmarks (sulcal and gyral boundaries): 1) POP: gyrus that is rostral to the inferior precentral sulcus and caudal to the diagonal sulcus; 2) PTr posterior: gyrus that is rostral to the diagonal sulcus and caudal to the vertical ascending ramus; 3) PTr anterior: gyrus that is rostral to the triangular sulcus and caudal to the horizontal ramus (Amunts et al., 1999; Amunts et al., 2004; Anwender, Tittgemeyer, von Cramon, Friederici, & Knosche, 2006; Keller et al., 2007; Nishitani, Schurmann, Amunts, & Hari, 2005). See Fig. 5c.

The S&V picture naming was tested immediately before, and after, each ROI was suppressed with 10 min of 1 Hz rTMS. The single RH cortical ROI associated with at least a 2 SD improvement above Baseline S&V naming obtained at Entry (see Methods, Baseline Testing, p. 10), immediately following 10 min of rTMS, was considered to be the Best Response RH cortical ROI for that patient. The Best Response RH ROI for P1 was the PTr posterior, where he named 15 pictures post- TMS (baseline mean S&V=10.59, SD=1.87, +2 SD=14.33). The Best Response RH ROI for P2 was the PTr anterior, where he named 3 pictures post- TMS (baseline mean S&V=0.9, SD=0.567, +2 SD=2.035). Fig. 5 shows the location of each Best Response RH ROI for each patient. Immediate post- TMS testing for each of the other three ROIs did not reach criterion for Best Response.

Phase 2 rTMS, Suppress Best Response RH ROI Longer, over More Sessions

During Phase 2, the Best Response ROI from Phase 1 was suppressed with 1 Hz rTMS (90% of motor threshold for the L FDI) for 20 min, 5 days per week, across 2 weeks. Each patient received follow-up BNT and BDAE testing at 2 mo. following the 10th rTMS treatment, with additional post- TMS language testing as shown in Table 1a,b.

Results

Language Data (P1, Good Responder)

For P1, language was tested at 2, 6, 16, and 43 mo. post- Phase 2 rTMS (Table 1a). The BNT score increased from 11 pictures named (pre- TMS) to 14, 18, 15 and 15 (post- TMS), Fig. 6a. The number of words for longest phrase (Cookie Theft picture, BDAE) increased from 3 words (pre- TMS) to 5, 5, 5, and 6 words, respectively (post- TMS). Auditory comprehension was largely unchanged.

Overt naming fMRI (P1)

Pre- TMS, P1 named only 17/60 (28%) of the pictures during overt naming fMRI (10 yr. poststroke). At that time, fMRI ROI analyses showed significant activation in the R and L sensorimotor cortex and R IFG. At 3 mo. post- TMS he named 25/60 (42%) of the pictures and ROI analyses showed continued significant activation in both the R and L sensorimotor cortex. At 16 mo. post- TMS his naming improved to his highest score of 35/60 (58%) and the ROI analyses showed increased activation in both R and L sensorimotor cortex, mouth. Increased activation in the L (perilesional) and R sensorimotor cortex, mouth, continued to be present at 46 mo. post- TMS. Although ROI analyses showed there was increased activation over time in the R and L sensorimotor cortex, mouth, the change in activation did not reach a level of significant change over time. See Figs. 7 and 8.

ROI analyses also showed that pre- TMS, there was significant activation in both the L and R SMA. At 3 mo. post- TMS, this activation continued to be present. The mean effect size for the L SMA was significantly greater at 16 mo. post- TMS compared to pre- TMS and 3 mo. post- TMS ($p < .02$; $p < .05$, respectively). There was no significant change over time in the R SMA. At 16 and 46 mo. post- TMS there was greater activation in L SMA than R SMA ($p < .08$; $p < .09$, respectively). See bar graph, Fig. 7.

At 16 mo. post- TMS whole brain analyses showed there was new perilesional L frontal activation in the middle portion of the lateral, superior frontal gyrus (SFG) (L BA 8), and new temporal activation in L and R BA 20, R BA 22 and 37. The perilesional activation in the middle portion of the lateral L SFG (L BA 8) was present at both 16 mo. and 46 mo. post-TMS.

Overt Propositional Speech fMRI (P1)

Only P1 participated in overt propositional speech/story-telling fMRI and this occurred at 16 and 46 mo. post- TMS. On both of these post- TMS fMRI scans during overt story telling, the whole brain analyses for P1 showed perilesional activation in a small remaining portion of L IFG, and in L sensorimotor cortex, mouth.

ROI analyses at 16 mo. post- TMS showed there was significant activation in L and R SMA (Fig. 9, bar graphs). At 46 mo. post- TMS there continued to be significant activation in only the L SMA. His longest phrase length for propositional speech during the fMRI scan at 16 mo. post- TMS was 3 words (e.g., Wait a minute; The fan blowin') and during the fMRI scan at 46 mo. post- TMS, 5 words (e.g., Look at him get busy).

Overt Propositional Speech DSC fMRI Paradigm

During an earlier fMRI research project, 6 yr. pre- TMS (4 yr. poststroke) P1 participated in an overt propositional speech/story-telling imaging study. That study utilized gadolinium with the Dynamic Susceptibility Contrast (DSC) fMRI method to obtain data on relative cerebral blood volume in specific cortical ROIs (Naeser et al., 2004). The sequential pictures used as stimuli for story telling during DSC fMRI, were the same pictures used later, during the overt propositional speech fMRI studies at 11 yr. 5 mo. poststroke; and 13 yr. 11 mo. poststroke. During DSC fMRI, he had produced only a 2-word longest phrase length (e.g., get up, bed) for the picture description/story telling task. At that time, P1 showed greater activation in R SMA than in L SMA (Fig. 10, white arrows).

Language Data (P2, Poor Responder)

Pre- TMS, language testing was performed three times with P2 (1.5 yr. poststroke). At that time, his BNT scores were 1, 3, and 1 (mean=1.67; SD=1.15), and his longest phrase length was 1 word (cookie theft, BDAE). Auditory comprehension for Commands was 8, 10, and 8

(mean=8.67; SD=1.15). At 2 and 6 mo. post- Phase 2 rTMS, his BNT score was only 1 picture named, and his longest phrase length remained at 1 word. Auditory comprehension for Commands improved by 2 SD, from a pre- TMS mean of 8.67, to 11. Auditory comprehension for Complex Ideational Material also improved by 2 SD, from pre- TMS mean, 2.33 (SD=0.58) to 4, at 6 mo. post- TMS. See Table 1b for additional language data.

Overt Naming fMRI (P2)

Pre- TMS, P2 named only 2/60 pictures and the ROI analyses showed significant activation in R IFG. At 3 and 6 mo. post- Phase 2 rTMS, there was little activation in the R IFG. The ROI analyses revealed no significant difference in R IFG activation across sessions and additionally, no significant change in the R sensorimotor activation across all three sessions. This corresponds to no change in the number of pictures named during the fMRI scans (See Fig. 11 and Fig. 12, bar graphs).

On all three overt naming fMRI scans (pre- TMS, and at 3 and 6 mo. post- TMS), ROI analyses showed no difference across sessions in activation for the L or R SMA. Although more activation was seen in the L SMA compared to the R SMA, this difference did not reach a level of significant difference in activation in the L SMA compared to R SMA in this patient. See bar graph, Fig. 11.

Pre- TMS there had been activation in a portion of L BA 37. This did not remain across sessions. At 3 mo. post- TMS, there was new activation in L anterior STG, and in L BA 38. At 6 mo. post- TMS however, no L temporal lobe activation was present any longer. Across all 3 sessions, pre- and post- TMS in this patient, whole brain analyses revealed activation in the middle portion of L lateral SFG (L BA 8). However, there was no new, lasting LH perilesional or perisylvian activation post- TMS and no improvement in naming.

Discussion

This study reported results for overt naming fMRI scans, pre- and post- ten, 20-minute, 1 Hz rTMS treatments to suppress part of R PTR, in two chronic nonfluent aphasia patients. One patient was a ‘good responder’ with improved naming and phrase length in propositional speech, lasting out to almost 4 years post- TMS. The other patient was a ‘poor responder’ with no change in naming or propositional speech post- TMS.

We had hypothesized that in chronic nonfluent aphasia, after rTMS treatment to suppress R PTR, fMRI would show a shift in activation from RH frontal areas to new activation in LH perilesional, perisylvian areas and L SMA, if there was good response with improved naming. For P1, who was a ‘good responder’, at 16 mo. post- TMS, there was significant activity in LH perilesional sensorimotor cortex activation. For P1, significant activation in L sensorimotor cortex continued to be present at 46 mo. post- TMS, with continued improved naming.

In addition, at 16 mo. post- TMS, there was a significant increase in activation in the L SMA compared to pre- and 3 mo. post- TMS ($p<.02$; $p<.05$, respectively). There was also greater activation in L SMA than R SMA at 16 mo. post- TMS, whereas pre- TMS there had been no difference between L and R SMA activation. It is unknown exactly when, post- TMS, the shift to the stronger LH activation occurred for this patient during overt naming, however, it was first observed at 16 mo. post- TMS. There were no intervening overt speech fMRI scans between 3 and 16 mo. post- TMS. The new LH activation remained, however, even at 46 mo. post- TMS (nearly 4 years post- TMS) when the patient was 13 yr. 11 mo. poststroke.

Overt story-telling fMRI obtained for P1 only, at 16 and 46 mo. post- TMS, showed significant activation in L and R sensorimotor cortex mouth and significant activation in L and R SMA.

However, at 46 mo. post- TMS, ROI analyses showed activation in the SMA remained significant for the L SMA only. Data showing greater R than L SMA activation had been obtained for P1 during overt story-telling DSC fMRI, 6 years prior to any TMS. These DSC-fMRI data suggest that this patient had greater RH activation at least as early as 4 yr. poststroke.

For P2, who was a ‘poor responder’, suppression of R PTR with rTMS resulted in no lasting change in LH activation during overt naming fMRI, corresponding with no change in naming or propositional speech post- TMS. Pre- TMS, P2 showed activation in R IFG and R sensorimotor cortex, mouth. At 3 and 6 mo. post- TMS, there was continued activation in these ROIs. However, ROI analyses showed there was no difference across sessions in the activation of the R IFG, or R sensorimotor cortex.

On all three fMRI scans (pre- TMS, and at 3 and 6 mo. post- TMS), ROI analyses also showed no difference across sessions in the L or R SMA activation. P2 had severe damage to parts of the neural network important for propositional speech, as well as damage to parts of the neural network important for naming. A discussion of lesion sites in both patients, follows below.

Lesion Sites

Each patient had extensive lesion in Broca’s area (Fig. 1), however, presence of lesion in Broca’s area, alone, would not have accounted for the lasting nonfluent speech in each case, nor for the discrepancy in severity of nonfluent speech between the two cases.

P2 continued to name only 1 picture on the BNT, post- TMS. He had an unusually high, frontal lobe lesion, which is important to consider regarding his severe impairment in picture naming. First, this high frontal lobe lesion may have interrupted MScF pathways close to their origin, the SMA, contributing to his limited speech output.

Second, P2 had lesion in an ‘epicenter’ for naming at the junction of the superior frontal sulcus with the precentral sulcus (Duffau et al., 2003,2005) which may have interrupted connections in the neural network for naming to and from this region.

In addition to differences in their frontal lobe lesion pattern, P1 and P2 had differences in temporal lobe lesion, which may further explain their disparity in picture naming ability. Although each case had lesion in Wernicke’s area (posterior STG), P2 had additional lesion extension inferior and posterior to Wernicke’s area, including parts of MTG (BA 21 and 37) whereas P1 did not. Several imaging studies have noted the importance of BA 21 and/or BA 37 for semantic aspects of naming in normals as well as aphasia patients (Abrahams et al., 2003; Gold & Buckner, 2002; Hillis et al., 2006; Price, Warburton, Moore, Frackowiak, & Friston, 2001).

Thus, for P2, the severe naming deficit (and poor response following TMS) may have been associated with lesion in two major ‘epicenters’ for naming, as described by Duffau et al., (2003,2005): 1) DLPFC in posterior MFG at the junction of the superior frontal sulcus and the precentral sulcus; and 2) the MTG (BA 21). In addition, Price et al. (2001) described functional imaging co-activation between Broca’s area and the posterior MTG during naming. Thus, the severe anomia (and poor response to TMS) in P2 is likely associated with having lesion in Broca’s area plus the two areas described by Duffau et al., (2003,2005).

Connections from the temporal lobe to Broca’s area and precentral gyrus (BA 6) have been reported in recent DTI studies (Frey, Campbell, Pike, & Petrides, 2008; Glasser & Rilling, 2008). White matter lesion was also likely present in fiber bundles connecting these critical regions including the inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus and the arcuate fasciculus (Catani,

Jones, & ffytche, 2005; Duffau et al., 2005). Further studies with DTI, particularly in aphasia patients, would be necessary to better understand these disconnections.

P1 had no lesion in the L MTG (BA 21 and 37), or the posterior MFG (a part of BA 8). Interestingly, P1 showed no consistent activation in these LH regions during overt naming fMRI. Although he showed no activation in the posterior portion of the L MFG, he did activate other parts of L BA 8 (perilesional) during all three overt naming fMRI scans post- TMS. He still had a moderate naming deficit post- TMS, where his scores ranged 42 to 58% correct during overt naming fMRI at 3 mo. to 46 mo. post- TMS. Perhaps increased activation in L posterior MFG or L posterior MTG would have been associated with better naming.

P1 had lesion only in the lowest portion of the L sensorimotor cortex area. Although there was a trend toward increasing activation in this region over time, which continues to increase even at 46 mo. post- TMS, the change was not significant. P2 had extensive lesion in the L sensorimotor cortex mouth area, thus could not activate this region during overt speech.

These fMRI data suggest additional studies with overt naming fMRI in nonfluent aphasia patients are warranted. Activation and presence of lesion in the above-mentioned DLPFC and MTG 'epicenters' for picture naming should be carefully examined.

Auditory Comprehension Post- TMS

P2 improved on auditory comprehension post- TMS, but P1 did not. For P2 pre- TMS, his mean auditory comprehension score for Commands was 8.67, and post- TMS, 11/15. Pre- TMS, his mean score for Complex Ideational Material was 2.33 and this improved to 4/12, at 6 mo. post- TMS. Although some improvements were made in auditory comprehension, a moderate-severe auditory comprehension deficit was still present post- TMS (overall, 51.7 percentile). Why P2 improved on auditory comprehension post- TMS is unknown. Improvement in auditory comprehension lasting out to 6 or 8 months post- TMS has not been an area where significant change was noted in our previous TMS studies with nonfluent aphasia patients (Naeser, Martin, Nicholas, Baker, Seekins, Helm-Estabrooks et al., 2005; Naeser, Martin, Nicholas, Baker, Seekins, Kobayashi et al., 2005). Our fMRI scans only examined overt speech in the present study (not auditory comprehension), therefore no fMRI data are available for auditory comprehension, pre- and post- TMS.

Revision of TMS Entry Criteria

We have studied three other severe, nonfluent aphasia patients with 1-word phrase length, who did not improve post- TMS (data not published, personal observation). We have observed that if, on pre- TMS language testing across three sessions, a patient did not have a mean of at least 3 pictures named correctly (on the first 20 pictures) of the BNT, then there was no improvement in naming, post- TMS. Overt naming fMRI scans are not available for these other cases.

We have now developed a minimum criterion for Entry into the TMS study — i.e., the patient must have a mean score of at least 3 pictures named correctly (on the first 20 items of the BNT) as tested across three test sessions pre- TMS. P2 would not have met this minimum criterion for Entry, as his mean BNT score across three testing sessions pre- TMS was only 1.67 (SD=1.15, range, 1-3). We have entered into the TMS protocol, one severe nonfluent aphasia patient (1-word phrase length) who was a good responder. She named 4 pictures on the BNT pre- TMS; 7 pictures, 2 mo. post- TMS; and 12 pictures, 8 mo. post- TMS. She had primarily a subcortical lesion, and no lesion was present in the DLPFC or the posterior MTG areas (Naeser, Martin, Nicholas, Baker, Seekins, Helm-Estabrooks et al., 2005).

Limitations

The application of the findings in the present study to a more general population of nonfluent aphasia patients may be limited by the following: First, there were only two patients examined with fMRI. Second, P1 entered at 10 yr. poststroke, whereas P2 entered at 2 yr. poststroke. The effect of time poststroke at entry, and potential for increased recovery before entry into the TMS study is a factor that could not be controlled for. Third, the long-term effect of the handheld, augmentative speech device used on a daily basis by P2 (starting about 4 mo. post-TMS) on the language testing and on the fMRI scan performed at 6 mo. post-TMS, is unknown. Patients had been requested not to have individualized speech therapy intervention during the first year of participation in the study. Additionally, for P1, who showed a significant increase in verb production during narrative speech after 2 mo. of Constraint-Induced Aphasia Treatment (CIAT), the effect of CIAT (Goral & Kempler, 2008) on the fMRI activation between 16 mo. and 46 mo. post-TMS is unknown. However, at 16 mo. post-TMS this patient had already shown a shift to his left hemisphere, which continued at 46 mo. post-TMS.

Although physiologically, TMS may have a modulating effect on the bilateral neural network for language, the TMS treatment alone, the change in performance level, or both could have influenced the observed changes in activation patterns for P1.

Future studies may want to include the use of an event-related design, which would allow analysis of responses in greater depth, particularly examination of activation patterns for correct versus incorrect responses or responses within specific categories. Recent studies have demonstrated the use of overt naming fMRI paradigms in longitudinal studies in normal controls (Meltzer, Postman-Caucheteux, McArdle, & Braun, 2009). However, the use of an event-related design, is particularly problematic due to the multiple hesitations and false-starts during overt speech in aphasia patients. Sensitivity is also an issue, particularly with more severe nonfluent aphasia patients, who may only correctly name fewer than 3 or 4 items.

Summary

Suppression of R PTr with rTMS in the good responder may have promoted inhibition there, permitting better modulation of regions within the bilateral premotor, sensorimotor and temporo-parietal network important for naming (Damasio, Tranel, Grabowski, Adolphs, & Damasio, 2004; Gold & Buckner, 2002; Price, Warburton, Moore, Frackowiak, & Friston, 2001). The role of the L SMA in conjunction with this shift was associated with sustained, improved naming up to almost 4 yr. post-TMS in P1. These results for the good responder are compatible with other functional imaging studies where activation of remaining LH language regions were associated with better recovery (Heiss, Kessler, Thiel, Ghaemi, & Karbe, 1999; Heiss & Thiel, 2006; Perani et al., 2003; Warburton, Price, Swinburn, & Wise, 1999) and with studies that show new LH activation after speech therapy is associated with language improvement (Cornelissen et al., 2003; Leger et al., 2002; Meinzer et al., 2008; Small, Flores, & Noll, 1998).

For P2, extensive lesion in Broca's area, along with subcortical white matter lesion, lesion extension into the DLPFC, plus Wernicke's area and posterior MTG lesion may have created a lesion distribution where TMS could not promote enough bilateral modulation to permit activation of any remaining portions of the neural network for naming, especially in the LH. The consistent, high L SMA activation in P2 may not be working in conjunction with the other parts of the bilateral network for naming, due to extensive DLPFC and white matter lesion. It is possible that not enough of the major phonological or lexical-semantic regions in the LH important for naming were spared in order to promote naming.

These two patients each contribute information toward understanding which nonfluent aphasia cases are likely to improve post- TMS and which cases may not. The overt speech fMRI data with our single nonfluent patient with good response to TMS support the hypothesis that restoration of the LH language network is linked, at least in part, to better recovery of naming and phrase length in propositional speech in nonfluent aphasia.

Acknowledgments

Research supported by NIH grant RO1 DC05672 from the National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders (NIDCD), Bethesda, MD, and a grant from the Medical Research Service, Department of Veterans Affairs, Washington, D.C. (to M.A.N.); a K24 NIH award (RRO18875, to A.P.-L) and the Harvard-Thorndike General Clinical Research Center (NCRR MO1 RR01032); and a P30 DC05207 NIDCD grant to the Harold Goodglass BU Aphasia Research Center.

References

- Abrahams S, Goldstein LH, Simmons A, Brammer MJ, Williams SC, Giampietro VP, et al. Functional magnetic resonance imaging of verbal fluency and confrontation naming using compressed image acquisition to permit overt responses. *Hum Brain Mapp* 2003;20(1):29–40. [PubMed: 12953304]
- Amunts K, Schleicher A, Burgel U, Mohlberg H, Uylings HB, Zilles K. Broca's region revisited: cytoarchitecture and intersubject variability. *J Comp Neurol* 1999;412(2):319–341. [PubMed: 10441759]
- Amunts K, Weiss PH, Mohlberg H, Pieperhoff P, Eickhoff S, Gurd JM, et al. Analysis of neural mechanisms underlying verbal fluency in cytoarchitectonically defined stereotaxic space--the roles of Brodmann areas 44 and 45. *Neuroimage* 2004;22(1):42–56. [PubMed: 15109996]
- Anwander A, Tittgemeyer M, von Cramon DY, Friederici AD, Knosche TR. Connectivity-Based Parcellation of Broca's Area. *Cereb Cortex*. 2006
- Barch DM, Sabb FW, Carter CS, Braver TS, Noll DC, Cohen JD. Overt verbal responding during fMRI scanning: empirical investigations of problems and potential solutions. *Neuroimage* 1999;10(6):642–657. [PubMed: 10600410]
- Barlow T. *Brit Med J* 1877:103.
- Basso A, Gardelli M, Grassi MP, Mariotti M. The Role of the Right Hemisphere in Recovery from Aphasia. Two Case Studies. *Cortex* 1989;25(4):555–566. [PubMed: 2612175]
- Belin P, Van Eeckhout P, Zilbovicius M, Remy P, Francois C, Guillaume S, et al. Recovery from nonfluent aphasia after melodic intonation therapy: a PET study. *Neurology* 1996;47(6):1504–1511. [PubMed: 8960735]
- Birn RM, Cox RW, Bandettini PA. Experimental designs and processing strategies for fMRI studies involving overt verbal responses. *Neuroimage* 2004;23(3):1046–1058. [PubMed: 15528105]
- Blasi V, Young AC, Tansy AP, Petersen SE, Snyder AZ, Corbetta M. Word retrieval learning modulates right frontal cortex in patients with left frontal damage. *Neuron* 2002;36(1):159–170. [PubMed: 12367514]
- Brett, M.; Anton, J-L.; Valabregue, R.; Poline, J-B. Region of Interest Analysis Using an SPM Toolbox [abstract]. 2002. Paper presented at the Conference Name]. Retrieved Access Date]. from URL]
- Cao Y, Vikingstad EM, George KP, Johnson AF, Welch KM. Cortical language activation in stroke patients recovering from aphasia with functional MRI. *Stroke* 1999;30(11):2331–2340. [PubMed: 10548667]
- Catani M, Jones DK, ffytche DH. Perisylvian language networks of the human brain. *Ann Neurol* 2005;57(1):8–16. [PubMed: 15597383]
- Chee MW, Lee HL, Soon CS, Westphal C, Venkatraman V. Reproducibility of the word frequency effect: comparison of signal change and voxel counting. *Neuroimage* 2003;18(2):468–482. [PubMed: 12595200]
- Chen R, Classen J, Gerloff C, Celnik P, Wassermann EM, Hallett M, et al. Depression of motor cortex excitability by low-frequency transcranial magnetic stimulation. *Neurology* 1997;48(5):1398–1403. [PubMed: 9153480]

- Cherney LR, Small SL. Task-dependent changes in brain activation following therapy for nonfluent aphasia: discussion of two individual cases. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc* 2006;12(6):828–842. [PubMed: 17064446]
- Cornelissen K, Laine M, Tarkiainen A, Jarvensivu T, Martin N, Salmelin R. Adult brain plasticity elicited by anomia treatment. *J Cogn Neurosci* 2003;15(3):444–461. [PubMed: 12729495]
- Crosson B, McGregor K, Gopinath KS, Conway TW, Benjamin M, Chang YL, et al. Functional MRI of language in aphasia: a review of the literature and the methodological challenges. *Neuropsychol Rev* 2007;17(2):157–177. [PubMed: 17525865]
- Crosson B, Moore AB, Gopinath K, White KD, Wierenga CE, Gaiefsky ME, et al. Role of the right and left hemispheres in recovery of function during treatment of intention in aphasia. *J Cogn Neurosci* 2005;17(3):392–406. [PubMed: 15814000]
- Damasio H, Tranel D, Grabowski T, Adolphs R, Damasio A. Neural systems behind word and concept retrieval. *Cognition* 2004;92(12):179–229. [PubMed: 15037130]
- Duffau H, Capelle L, Denvil D, Gatignol P, Sichez N, Lopes M, et al. The role of dominant premotor cortex in language: a study using intraoperative functional mapping in awake patients. *Neuroimage* 2003;20(4):1903–1914. [PubMed: 14683696]
- Duffau H, Capelle L, Sichez N, Denvil D, Lopes M, Sichez JP, et al. Intraoperative mapping of the subcortical language pathways using direct stimulations. An anatomo-functional study. *Brain* 2002;125(Pt 1):199–214. [PubMed: 11834604]
- Duffau H, Gatignol P, Mandonnet E, Peruzzi P, Tzourio-Mazoyer N, Capelle L. New insights into the anatomo-functional connectivity of the semantic system: a study using cortico-subcortical electrostimulations. *Brain* 2005;128(Pt 4):797–810. [PubMed: 15705610]
- Eden GF, Joseph JE, Brown HE, Brown CP, Zeffiro TA. Utilizing hemodynamic delay and dispersion to detect fMRI signal change without auditory interference: the behavior interleaved gradients technique. *Magn Reson Med* 1999;41(1):13–20. [PubMed: 10025606]
- Frey S, Campbell JS, Pike GB, Petrides M. Dissociating the human language pathways with high angular resolution diffusion fiber tractography. *J Neurosci* 2008;28(45):11435–11444. [PubMed: 18987180]
- Friston KJ, Jezzard P, Turner R. Analysis of functional MRI time-series. *Hum Brain Mapp* 1994;1:153–177.
- Glasser MF, Rilling JK. DTI Tractography of the Human Brain's Language Pathways. *Cereb Cortex*. 2008
- Gold BT, Buckner RL. Common prefrontal regions coactivate with dissociable posterior regions during controlled semantic and phonological tasks. *Neuron* 2002;35(4):803–812. [PubMed: 12194878]
- Gold BT, Kertesz A. Right hemisphere semantic processing of visual words in an aphasic patient: an fMRI study. *Brain Lang* 2000;73(3):456–465. [PubMed: 10860566]
- Goodglass, H.; Kaplan, E.; Barresi, B. *The Assessment of Aphasia and Related Disorders*. Vol. 3rd Edition. Lippincott, Williams and Wilkins; Philadelphia, PA: 2001.
- Goral M, Kempler D. Training verb production in communicative context: Evidence from a person with chronic non-fluent aphasia. *Aphasiology* Sept;2008 :1–15.
- Gowers, WR. *A Manual of Diseases of the Nervous System*. Churchill; London: 1886.
- Heiss WD, Kessler J, Thiel A, Ghaemi M, Karbe H. Differential capacity of left and right hemispheric areas for compensation of poststroke aphasia. *Ann Neurol* 1999;45(4):430–438. [PubMed: 10211466]
- Heiss WD, Thiel A. A proposed regional hierarchy in recovery of post-stroke aphasia. *Brain Lang* 2006;98(1):118–123. [PubMed: 16564566]
- Hillis AE, Kleinman JT, Newhart M, Heidler-Gary J, Gottesman R, Barker PB, et al. Restoring cerebral blood flow reveals neural regions critical for naming. *J Neurosci* 2006;26(31):8069–8073. [PubMed: 16885220]
- Kaplan, E.; Goodglass, H.; Weintraub, S. *The Boston Naming Test*. Lippincott, Williams and Wilkins; Philadelphia, PA: 2001.
- Kapur N. Paradoxical functional facilitation in brain-behaviour research. A critical review. *Brain* 1996;119(Pt 5):1775–1790. [PubMed: 8931597]

- Karbe H, Thiel A, Weber-Luxemburger G, Herholz K, Kessler J, Heiss WD. Brain plasticity in poststroke aphasia: what is the contribution of the right hemisphere? *Brain Lang* 1998;64(2):215–230. [PubMed: 9710490]
- Keller SS, Highley JR, Garcia-Finana M, Sluming V, Rezaie R, Roberts N. Sulcal variability, stereological measurement and asymmetry of Broca's area on MR images. *J Anat* 2007;211(4):534–555. [PubMed: 17727624]
- Kinsbourne M. The Minor Cerebral hemisphere as a Source of Aphasic Speech. *Archives of Neurology* 1971;25(4):302–306. [PubMed: 5110123]
- Lefaucheur JP. Stroke recovery can be enhanced by using repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS). *Neurophysiol Clin* 2006;36(3):105–115. [PubMed: 17046605]
- Leger A, Demonet JF, Ruff S, Aithamon B, Touyeras B, Puel M, et al. Neural substrates of spoken language rehabilitation in an aphasic patient: an fMRI study. *Neuroimage* 2002;17(1):174–183. [PubMed: 12482075]
- Lehericy S, Ducros M, Krainik A, Francois C, Van de Moortele PF, Ugurbil K, et al. 3-D diffusion tensor axonal tracking shows distinct SMA and pre-SMA projections to the human striatum. *Cereb Cortex* 2004;14(12):1302–1309. [PubMed: 15166103]
- Maeda F, Keenan JP, Tormos JM, Topka H, Pascual-Leone A. Modulation of corticospinal excitability by repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation. *Clin Neurophysiol* 2000;111(5):800–805. [PubMed: 10802449]
- Martin PI, Naeser MA, Doron KW, Bogdan A, Baker EH, Kurland J, et al. Overt naming in aphasia studied with a functional MRI hemodynamic delay design. *Neuroimage* 2005;28(1):194–204. [PubMed: 16009568]
- Meinzer M, Flaisch T, Breitenstein C, Wienbruch C, Elbert T, Rockstroh B. Functional re-recruitment of dysfunctional brain areas predicts language recovery in chronic aphasia. *Neuroimage* 2008;39(4):2038–2046. [PubMed: 18096407]
- Meltzer JA, Postman-Caucheteux WA, McArdle JJ, Braun AR. Strategies for longitudinal neuroimaging studies of overt language production. *Neuroimage*. 2009
- Musso M, Weiller C, Kiebel S, Muller SP, Bulau P, Rijntjes M. Training-induced brain plasticity in aphasia. *Brain* 1999;122(Pt 9):1781–1790. [PubMed: 10468516]
- Naeser MA, Martin PI, Baker EH, Hodge SM, Sczerzenie SE, Nicholas M, et al. Overt propositional speech in chronic nonfluent aphasia studied with the dynamic susceptibility contrast fMRI method. *Neuroimage* 2004;22(1):29–41. [PubMed: 15109995]
- Naeser MA, Martin PI, Nicholas M, Baker EH, Seekins H, Helm-Estabrooks N, et al. Improved naming after TMS treatments in a chronic, global aphasia patient--case report. *Neurocase* 2005;11(3):182–193. [PubMed: 16006338]
- Naeser MA, Martin PI, Nicholas M, Baker EH, Seekins H, Kobayashi M, et al. Improved picture naming in chronic aphasia after TMS to part of right Broca's area: an open-protocol study. *Brain Lang* 2005;93(1):95–105. [PubMed: 15766771]
- Naeser MA, Palumbo CL, Helm-Estabrooks N, Stiassny-Eder D, Albert ML. Severe nonfluency in aphasia. Role of the medial subcallosal fasciculus and other white matter pathways in recovery of spontaneous speech. *Brain* 1989;112(Pt 1):1–38. [PubMed: 2917272]
- Nishitani N, Schurmann M, Amunts K, Hari R. Broca's region: from action to language. *Physiology (Bethesda)* 2005;20:60–69. [PubMed: 15653841]
- Peck KK, Moore AB, Crosson BA, Gaiefsky M, Gopinath KS, White K, et al. Functional magnetic resonance imaging before and after aphasia therapy: shifts in hemodynamic time to peak during an overt language task. *Stroke* 2004;35(2):554–559. [PubMed: 14739418]
- Perani D, Cappa SF, Tettamanti M, Rosa M, Scifo P, Miozzo A, et al. A fMRI study of word retrieval in aphasia. *Brain Lang* 2003;85(3):357–368. [PubMed: 12744947]
- Price CJ, Crinion J. The latest on functional imaging studies of aphasic stroke. *Curr Opin Neurol* 2005;18(4):429–434. [PubMed: 16003120]
- Price CJ, Warburton EA, Moore CJ, Frackowiak RS, Friston KJ. Dynamic diaschisis: anatomically remote and context-sensitive human brain lesions. *J Cogn Neurosci* 2001;13(4):419–429. [PubMed: 11388916]

- Raboyeau G, De Boissezon X, Marie N, Balduyck S, Puel M, Bezy C, et al. Right hemisphere activation in recovery from aphasia: lesion effect or function recruitment? *Neurology* 2008;70(4):290–298. [PubMed: 18209203]
- Rorden C, Brett M. Stereotaxic display of brain lesions. *Behav Neurol* 2000;12(4):191–200. [PubMed: 11568431]
- Rosen HJ, Petersen SE, Linenweber MR, Snyder AZ, White DA, Chapman L, et al. Neural correlates of recovery from aphasia after damage to left inferior frontal cortex. *Neurology* 2000;55(12):1883–1894. [PubMed: 11134389]
- Saur D, Lange R, Baumgaertner A, Schraknepper V, Willmes K, Rijntjes M, et al. Dynamics of language reorganization after stroke. *Brain* 2006;129(Pt 6):1371–1384. [PubMed: 16638796]
- Small SL, Flores DK, Noll DC. Different neural circuits subserve reading before and after therapy for acquired dyslexia. *Brain Lang* 1998;62(2):298–308. [PubMed: 9576825]
- Snodgrass JG, Vanderwart M. A standardized set of 260 pictures: norms for name agreement, image agreement, familiarity, and visual complexity. *J Exp Psychol [Hum Learn]* 1980;6(2):174–215.
- Thiel A, Habedank B, Herholz K, Kessler J, Winhuisen L, Haupt WF, et al. From the left to the right: How the brain compensates progressive loss of language function. *Brain Lang* 2006;98(1):57–65. [PubMed: 16519926]
- Thompson CK. Neuroplasticity: evidence from aphasia. *J Commun Disord* 2000;33(4):357–366. [PubMed: 11001162]
- Thulborn KR, Carpenter PA, Just MA. Plasticity of language-related brain function during recovery from stroke. *Stroke* 1999;30(4):749–754. [PubMed: 10187873]
- Warburton E, Price CJ, Swinburn K, Wise RJ. Mechanisms of recovery from aphasia: evidence from positron emission tomography studies. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1999;66(2):155–161. [PubMed: 10071093]
- Wassermann EM. Risk and safety of repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation: report and suggested guidelines from the International Workshop on the Safety of Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation, June 5-7, 1996. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol* 1998;108(1):1–16. [PubMed: 9474057]
- Weiller C, Isensee C, Rijntjes M, Huber W, Muller S, Bier D, et al. Recovery from Wernicke's aphasia: a positron emission tomographic study. *Ann Neurol* 1995;37(6):723–732. [PubMed: 7778845]
- Worsley K, Marrett S, Neelin P, Vandal A, Friston KJ, Evans E. A unified statistical approach for determining significant signals in images of cerebral activation. *Hum Brain Mapp* 1996;4:58–73.
- Zahn R, Huber W, Drews E, Specht K, Kemeny S, Reith W, et al. Recovery of semantic word processing in transcortical sensory aphasia: a functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *Neurocase* 2002;8(5):376–386. [PubMed: 12499412]

Figure 1.

Structural T1-weighted MRI scans for P1, good responder (a); and for P2, poor responder (b). Locations for the two subcortical white matter areas associated with nonfluent speech, e.g., medial subcallosal fasciculus area (MScF), red circles; and middle 1/3 periventricular white matter area (PVWM) green circles, are diagrammed in coronal and axial views (c) (Yakovlev and Locke, 1961; Naeser et al., 1989). Reprinted with author's permission.

For P1, subcortical lesion was present in the MScF, deep to Broca's area, with some sparing near the frontal horn (red arrows). Extensive lesion was present in the middle 1/3 PVWM (green arrow), adjacent to the body of the lateral ventricle. Cortical lesion was present in Broca's area (pars triangularis and pars opercularis) and only in the lowest premotor and sensorimotor cortex, with sparing of the mid-to-upper sensorimotor cortex, including mouth (white arrows). Cortical lesion was present in most of Wernicke's area and a portion of the supramarginal gyrus area.

For P2, extensive subcortical lesion was present in the MScF (red arrows), adjacent to the left frontal horn, deep to Broca's area. Lesion was present in only about half of the middle 1/3 PVWM, and lesion was not adjacent to the body of the lateral ventricle. Cortical lesion was present in Broca's area (pars triangularis and pars opercularis) and in most of the premotor and motor cortex areas, extending to near brain vertex (yellow arrow). The high frontal lesion possibly undercut fibers from SMA which form the origin of the MScF. Cortical lesion was present in most of Wernicke's area and the posterior portion of the middle temporal gyrus (BA 21) and part of BA 37. P2 also had lesion in the posterior portion of the middle frontal gyrus, an area recently reported as an 'epicenter' for naming (Duffau et al., 2003; Duffau et al., 2005) (see Fig. 2).

Figure 2. Detailed lateral (a), and vertex (b) views of structural MRIs for P1 and for P2. Duffau et al., (2003,2005) have described the posterior portion of the MFG (at the junction of the superior frontal sulcus with the precentral sulcus), as an ‘epicenter’ for picture naming observed during intraoperative stimulation. For P2, lesion was present in this area, whereas for P1, no lesion was present here (white arrows).

Martin et al., 2005

Figure 3.
Schematic diagram of the continuous sample, block design utilized for overt naming fMRI (a), and overt propositional speech/story-telling fMRI (b).

Figure 4.

Schematic diagram of the Phase 1 rTMS protocol where each patient's Best Response RH ROI was established. Snodgrass & Vanderwart (S&V) (1980) picture naming was tested immediately before, and after, each ROI was suppressed with 10 mins of 1 Hz rTMS. Baseline naming ability for a set of S&V pictures had been established, across 3 sessions, at Entry, for each patient. Naming scores obtained immediately following a 10 mins TMS session had to be associated with at least a 2 SD improvement above Baseline S&V naming (obtained at Entry) to be considered the Best Response RH area for that patient.

Figure 5.

Location of Best Response RH ROI (R pars triangularis) from Phase 1 rTMS for P1, good responder (a), and for P2, poor responder (b). During Phase 2 rTMS this cortical ROI was suppressed for longer treatments, 20 minutes a day, for 10 days. Details of the sulcal boundaries used to visually identify regions targeted for rTMS in Phase 1 are shown for P1 (c).

Figure 6.

Boston Naming Test results for P1, good responder (a), and P2, poor responder (b), before and after the Phase 2 rTMS treatment series.

Figure 7.

Overt naming fMRI scans showing results for whole brain analysis pre- and post- Phase 2 rTMS for P1, good responder. Activation is shown for scans pre-TMS (a), 3 mo. post- TMS (b), 16 mo. post- TMS (c), and 46 mo. post- TMS (d). At 16 mo. and 46 mo. post- TMS new activation was present in the L SMA (white arrows). Bar graph at bottom displays the mean effect size for the L and R SMA activation. The ROI analyses show a significant shift to greater L SMA at 16 mo. post- TMS compared to pre- TMS and to 3 mo. post- TMS.

Figure 8.

Overt naming fMRI bar graphs showing mean effect size for R and L sensorimotor (a) and R IFG (b) in P1, good responder. The ROI analyses revealed an increase over time in activation of both the R and L sensorimotor cortex, mouth. However, this increase was not significant across the three post-TMS sessions (a). There was R IFG activation across all four sessions but no significant change across sessions (b).

Figure 9.

Overt propositional speech/story-telling fMRI scans showing results for whole brain analysis at 16 mo. and 46 mo. post- Phase 2 rTMS for P1, good responder. Bar graphs (right) show the mean effect size for L and R sensorimotor for the mouth (top) and L and R SMA (bottom). There was no significant change over time between 16 mo. and 46 mo. post- Phase 2 rTMS. At both time points the L SMA activation was greater than the R SMA activation but not significantly so.

Figure 10.

Overt propositional speech/story-telling utilizing Dynamic Susceptibility Contrast fMRI, 6 yr. pre-TMS (4 yr. poststroke) for P1, good responder. He produced only a 2-word longest phrase length and showed greater activation in R SMA than in L SMA (white arrows). Note that on fMRI (Fig. 9), at 16 mo. and 46 mo. post- Phase 2 rTMS, there was greater activation in the L SMA than in the R SMA. Reprinted with author's permission. Naeser et al., 2004.

Figure 11.

Overt naming fMRI scans showing results for whole brain analysis pre- and post- Phase 2 rTMS for P2, poor responder. Activation is shown for scans pre- TMS (a), 3 mo. post- TMS (b), and 6 mo. post- TMS (c). The bar graph below shows mean effect size for L and R SMA. On all three scans, slightly more activation was present in the L SMA (white arrows) than R SMA. The ROI analyses show no significant change over time in the L SMA or R SMA. There was no change in the number of pictures named over time.

Figure 12.

Overt naming fMRI bar graphs showing mean effect size for R and L sensorimotor (a) and R IFG (b) in P2, poor responder. There was an increase over time in activation of both the R and L sensorimotor cortex mouth. However, this increase was not a significant change across all three sessions (a). There was significant R IFG activation only pre- TMS (b).

Table 1a,b
Language data pre- and post- TMS, Boston Naming Test and Boston Diagnostic Aphasia Exam for a) P1, good responder and b) P2, poor responder.

a)

	Naming					Auditory Comprehension *					Repetition			
	Age	Time poststroke when tested (Yr.)	Max	Boston Naming Test (First 20 Items)	BDAE Subtest Animals 12	BDAE Subtest Tools/ Implements 12	Longest number of words per phrase length (Cookie Theft 7	Overall Auditory Comprehension Percentile 90	Word Discrimination 72	Commands 15	Complex Ideational Material 12	Single Words 10	High Probability Sentences 8	Low Probability Sentences 8
	48	10 Mo.		-	-	-	2	35.3	46	9	3	8	1 *	0 *
	53	4		-	-	-	4	44.3	62.5	8	9	8	1 *	0 *
	54	6		-	-	-	3	58.25	61	11	7	8	2 *	0 *
	58	9		11	4	6	3	68	60	12	9	9	2	-
<div>TMS</div>														
2 mo. Post-rTMS	58	10		14	5	10	5	75.7	65	15	7	7	2	-
6 mo. Post-rTMS	59	10		18	10	4	5	63	56	13	7	7	3	-
8 mo. Post-rTMS	59	11		17	10	8	4	77.3	68	13	9	9	4	-
16 mo. Post-rTMS	60	11		15	7	6	5	52.8	51.5	11	8	8	3	-
43 mo. Post-	62	14		15	6	4	6	68	64	13	7	7	4	-

a)															
Naming					Auditory Comprehension *					Repetition					
Age	Time poststroke when tested (Yr.)	Max	Boston Naming Test (First 20 Items)	BDAE Subtest Animals 12	BDAE Subtest Tools/ Implements 12	Longest number of words per phrase length (Cookie Theft 7	Overall Auditory Comprehension Percentile 90	Word Discrimination 72	Commands 15	Complex Ideational Material 12	Single Words 10	High Probability Sentences 8	Low Probability Sentences 8	rTMS	
b)															
Naming					Auditory Comprehension *					Repetition					
Age	Time poststroke when tested (yr.)	Max	Boston Naming Test (First 20 Items)	BDAE Subtest Animals 12	BDAE Subtest Tools/ Implements 12	Longest number of words per phrase length (Cookie Theft Picture 7	Overall Auditory Comprehension Percentile 90	Word Discrimination 72	Commands 15	Complex Ideational Material 12	Single Words 10	Sentences 10	Repetition		
58	1.5		1	0	0	1	34.3	49.5	8	2	8	0			
58	1.5		3	0	0	1	41.6	56.5	10	2	8	0			
58	1.5		1	0	0	1	43.3	60	8	3	5	0

2 mo. Post-rTMS	59	2	1	1	0	1	43	52	11	2	6	0		
6 mo. Post-	59	2.5	1	1	0	1	51.7	56.5	11	4	5	0		

b)	Naming			Auditory Comprehension *					Repetition		
	Time poststroke when tested (yr.)	Boston Naming Test (First 20 Items)	BDAE Subtest Animals	BDAE Subtest Tools/ Implements	Longest number of words per phrase length (Cookie Theft Picture	Overall Auditory Comprehension Percentile	Word Discrimination	Commands	Complex Ideational Material	Single Words	Sentences
	Age	Max	12	12	7	90	72	15	12	10	10
rTMS											

*BDAE, 2nd Edition, Goodglass, Kaplan, 1983