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PUBLIC OPINION and PARTICIPATION in the EUROPEAN UNION
Birol A. Yeşilada
EUSA Public Opinion and Participation Section Chair

The link between public opinion and participation has never been more important for the future of the European Union. Politicians want to know citizens' satisfaction with government policies and the state of the economy and their policy preferences. Surveys of public opinion tap into people’s degree of satisfaction and help decision makers adjust their policies and strategies to ensure success in upcoming elections. As such, public opinion polling has become an important tool of governments everywhere whether European Commission or member states or private individuals and organizations conduct them. In addition to electoral issues, public opinion surveys provide valuable information on peoples’ changing attitudes, beliefs, and values.\(^1\) Today, the EU faces surmountable challenges that threaten the future of the Union. These include economic and financial problems of many member states, institutional shortcomings at the EU level to provide effective policies for economic recovery, two-track EU integration (Eurozone and others), and democratic deficits to name a few.

EU Quo Vadis?
There is no denial that “ever closer union”\(^2\) must be achieved economically as well as politically if the EU is to survive the domestic and external challenges it faces. On the economic front, a deepening of integration will enhance the advantages of EU’s internal market (yet to be completed) and protect its members against external and domestic shocks by creating symmetry across the regions. Politically, a deeper union will legitimize governance of a more united EU and bring its institutions closer to the people. Such deepening of political integration will also provide protection against nationalistic outlooks that favor a dissolution of the Union. At the same time, it will go a long way in legitimizing the EU as a true global actor. Perhaps jumping into Economic and Monetary Union prior to completion of the Common Market (Single Market) was premature but external systemic changes pushed European leaders to make that decision. Subsequent monetary union among some of the states further created division within the union. Add to this the very slow pace of political union and one gets a formula for disaster. As many economists would say “monetary union without a political union would not work.”\(^3\) It only takes one major financial crisis to bring that monetary union down like a house of cards. The EU is currently working hard to ensure that will not happen by pushing ahead with new formulas for fiscal coordination that blends its supranational and intergovernmental decision-making mechanisms. Yet, ordinary citizens are rarely informed of the EU, its institutions, or its policies. In other words, while the EU knows a lot about its citizens through extensive surveys, the same cannot be said the other way around. So where lies the problem?

Public Opinion
The Eurobarometer (EB) has been the instrument of choice for surveying public opinion in the European Union. Jacques-René Rabier, a senior official involved in social sciences at the
Commission, began this work. He studied political economy and law at the Sorbonne University and the École Libre des Sciences Politiques. Between 1970 and 1973, he headed the Press and Information Directorate-General of the Commission. In that position, he started working on the first Eurobarometer public opinion studies in 1973 and was influenced by Ronald Inglehart of the University of Michigan. This collaboration allowed Rabier to tailor the surveys to tap into peoples’ values, attitudes, and beliefs. Upon his retirement in 1973, he became a special advisor to the Commission and served until 1986. The Eurobarometer is conducted twice a year in all member and candidate countries and provides EU leaders with valuable information, on the EU and national level, about people living in these countries. Political leaders pay attention to these results when they address key policy challenges. However, the survey remains almost unknown outside academic and scientific circles. European citizens rarely find Eurobarometer opinion polls available for them through traditional or new forms of social media. As Salvatore Signorelli notes “The Commission does not have the means to “disseminate” poll results for public consumption. This work is done through colloquia and conferences mostly organized in institutional bodies (the same goes for the Parliament) and it is therefore difficult for citizens to be aware of it.”

The same can also be said about two other surveys which are equally important for academics and policy makers. These are the European Values Survey and its sister World Values Survey studies. An informal group of academics (the European Value Systems Study Group or EVSSG) in the late 1970s initiated the European Values Study. Today, it is carried on in the setting of a foundation, using the (abbreviated) name of the group: European Values Study (EVS). Numerous scholarly works used these data to examine causal factors behind various economic, social, and political developments. Similar to the EVS, the World Values Survey (www.worldvaluessurvey.org) is a global network of social scientists studying changing values and their impact on social and political life, led by an international team of scholars, with the WVS association and secretariat headquartered in Stockholm, Sweden. The WVS is the largest non-commercial, cross-national, time series investigation of human beliefs and values ever executed. The WVS seeks to help scientists and policy makers understand changes in the beliefs, values and attitudes of people throughout the world and data obtained have been used to test for causal relationships and main assumptions of human development theories. These data and other similar surveys have also been widely used by government officials, journalists and students, and groups at the World Bank have analyzed the linkages between cultural factors, economic development, support for integration, religion and identity, religiosity, and trust.

Citizens’ apathy in EU elections is one example of this disconnect between the EU and European citizens that is highlighted by EB data. Throughout EU history, people have participated in national elections at a much higher level than at the EU level (i.e., EU parliamentary elections or citizen initiatives in Brussels). Today when the EU is experiencing its most challenging economic and financial difficulties, the May 2014 EU election witnessed the lowest voter turnout on record – 42.54% which is significant fall from the initial election in 1979 (62%). The trend has been a steady decline in people’s interest in EU elections when deepening of integration means more EU level decisions that affect everyone’s lives! Another trend that should be of concern is people’s trust in EU institutions. Data obtained from the EB surveys show that European
officials should be very concerned about the lack of trust at a time when they are moving towards greater union among member states (see Figure 1).

**Figure 1: Trust in the EU (EU ave.)**

It is painfully apparent that level of trust in the EU fell significantly during the last financial crisis and recovered to its pre-crisis level in 2015. However, the overall trend is a steady decline since 2003. This raises another concern for EU integration, that of legitimacy. Several factors can be identified that result in this low participation: problems of legitimacy, recession, mistrust, anti-EU propaganda of nationalist political parties, migration, etc. It is true that European integration has proceeded in a rather peculiar way as an elite exercise often detached from its constituencies. Developments on the ground (see Figure 1 above) make it clear that it cannot continue this way any longer. In the early years, the political elites set the agenda. Big business elites joined them in the late 1970s and 1980s as these powerful individuals lobbied the Delors Commission to complete the Common Market. However, it was not until the Lisbon Treaty that citizens’ participation took the stage with the European Citizens Initiative (ECI). This is a significant step forward in providing a channel for direct participation in the decision-making mechanism of the EU at the supranational level especially when one considers the fact that most people do not believe that their voice counts in Brussels institutions (see Figure 2). In fact, Europeans have always maintained that the EU does not listen, is often out of touch with the people and is intrusive.
source: Eurobarometer 83, p.143.

Participation

As noted in previous sections, public participation remains a serious challenge in European politics. Public participation is more than casting votes in elections. It is the process by which affected and interested citizens, interest groups, and political figures engage in active communication before political decisions are made in functioning democracies. Formal and informal channels of communication make it possible for voices to be heard at the decision-making level. Presence or absence of such channels could be key determinants of system stability as Samuel Huntington noted many years ago. As the EIPP reported, “Public participation recognises the pluralism of aims and values, and enables collaborative problem-solving designed to achieve more legitimate policies . . . Public participation in this sense is intended to complement conventional modes of policy-making in which elected representatives take decisions based in part on their perception of their constituents’ preferences.” The EIPP further concludes that there are three key requirements for effective public participation in embedded (genuine) democracies. They are:

1. A clearly defined constitutional framework for public participation. This framework must clarify to what degree the outcomes of a participation process will be taken into account by decision-makers.
2. A methodology for choosing adequate methods of public participation. This ought to take the form of an easy to use tool with real added value to the work of the organisers of public participation processes.
3. More consistent and systematic evaluation of participation processes in order to build a knowledge base. Only the development and continuously keeping it up to date will allow a realistic and fruitful use of public participation in manner that realizes its democratizing potential.  

There is no shortage of public participation in the local and national political sphere by individuals in many mature democracies of the EU. Different and more effective mechanisms are developed to engage the public in deliberative discussions by government bodies. However, the EU is lagging behind such developments perhaps due to its much lesser political union than its economic and monetary integration. A large problem behind public disinterest in EU politics is the nature of EU agenda setting that excludes citizens from the deliberative process. This is despite recent efforts to provide a more effective mechanism for public participation (i.e., Lisbon Treaty). In recent years, the Commission started using other qualitative methods of survey research than its EB (quantitative method) to obtain useful public data. This is due to some inherent problems (i.e., cultural biases leading to differing responses to survey questions) associated with survey questions that sociologists have noted. Therefore, the Commission added focus group studies to its public opinion data collection to obtain information with more open ended questions and discussions. These studies are relatively new and will take time to show their impact on EU agenda setting.

A significant development that aims to give citizens direct input to EU agenda setting is the ECI found in the Lisbon Treaty. As of April 1, 2012, European citizens enjoy a new right which gives them a stronger voice. Once formally registered, the ECI gives citizens an opportunity to collect one million signatures from at least one quarter of EU Member States to invite the European Commission to propose a legal act in areas where the Commission has the power. By law, the Commission must decide whether or not it would act, and explain the reasons for that choice. At present there are five open ECIs at the Commission. They include “Mum, Dad, and Kids initiative to Protect Marriage and Family,” “Wake Up Europe,” “Stop Plastic in the Sea,” “Fair Transport Europe,” and “We will at WHO.” They cover a wide range of public policy issues but perhaps the one on “Wake up Europe” goes to the heart of difficulties EU leaders face in supranational and national-level policy coordination. This ECI asks the Commission to trigger sanctions against the Hungarian government for “serious and persistent breach of EU values” as Article 7 of the Treaty on European Union outlined. The issue at hand is Hungarian Prime minister Viktor Orban’s policies that are perceived as undemocratic, xenophobic, and contrary to the principles of the rule of law as well as his unacceptable treatment of migrants. While this ECI raised strong objection of the Hungarian member of the Commission who was not present at the time the Commission’s registration of the initiative on November 30, 2015, many members of the European Parliament support the move. This ECI and the politics surrounding it highlight the complexities of public participation in horizontal and vertical governance structures of the new EU. As more ECIs come forward, they will move the EU in the direction of embedded democracy a step at a time.

**Final Thoughts**

There is no doubt that these are challenging times for the EU. As economic and political problems threaten integration, European leaders need to tap into citizens’ aspirations,
concerns, and changing values in order to address them with effective policies. Different survey instruments provide EU and member states' leaders with ample information on European citizens. Moreover, a rich set of academic publications, some noted in this paper, give valuable insight into how and why people’s aspirations, attitudes, beliefs, and values change. The challenge for agenda setting is that ordinary citizens feel distant to Brussels institutions and do not believe that their voices are heard. As such, they are often alienated from EU decisions. Reversing this trend requires collaborative effort of horizontal and vertical governance between Brussels institutions, national and local governments to engage EU citizens more effectively. Institutionally, recent changes attempt to bridge this gap as seen in the case of ECIs. However, unless more direct citizen participation is achieved, the EU will be destined to be an institutional and not a genuine (effective) democracy. For genuine democracy to flourish in the EU, deeper political union must include clear mechanisms for engaging citizens. Academic research on participation in EU politics has provided valuable insight into present challenges in this field.
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