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Abstract

In this study, cobalt ferrite/mesoporous graphitic carbon nitride (CoFe$_2$O$_4$/mpg-C$_3$N$_4$) nanocomposites were successfully synthesized by using a two-step protocol. Firstly, monodispersed CoFe$_2$O$_4$ nanoparticles (NPs) were synthesized via thermal decomposition of metal precursors in a hot surfactant solution and then they were assembled on mpg-C$_3$N$_4$ via a liquid phase self-assembly method. The sonocatalytic performance of as-synthesized CoFe$_2$O$_4$/mpg-C$_3$N$_4$ nanocomposites was evaluated on the methylene blue (MB) removal from water under ultrasonic irradiation. For this purpose, response surface methodology (RSM) based on central composite design (CCD) model was successfully utilized to optimize the MB removal over CoFe$_2$O$_4$/mpg-C$_3$N$_4$ nanocomposites. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was applied to investigate the significance of the model. The results predicted by the model were obtained to be in reasonable agreement with the experimental data ($R^2 = 0.969$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.942$). Pareto analysis demonstrated that pH of the solution was the most effective parameter on the sonocatalytic removal of MB by CoFe$_2$O$_4$/mpg-C$_3$N$_4$ nanocomposites. The optimum catalyst dose, initial dye concentration, pH, and sonication time were set as 0.25 g L$^{-1}$, 8 mg L$^{-1}$, 8, and 45 min, respectively. The high removal efficiency of MB dye (92.81%) was obtained under optimal conditions. The trapping experiments were done by using edetate disodium, tert-butyl alcohol, and benzoquinone. Among the reactive radicals, $OH$ played a more important role than $h^+$ and $O_2^-$ in the MB dye removal process. Moreover, a proposed mechanism was also presented for the removal of MB in the presence of CoFe$_2$O$_4$/mpg-C$_3$N$_4$ nanocomposites under the optimized sonocatalytic conditions. Finally, a reusability test of the nanocomposites revealed a just 9.6% decrease in their removal efficiency after five consecutive runs.
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Introduction

Human beings and aquatic life are exposed to harmful effects caused by toxic organic dyes present in aqueous environments. Furthermore, light diffusion into aqueous phase is hindered by organic dye sewages discharged into waterways (Gholivand et al. 2015; Gürses et al. 2014). The printing, paper, textile, pulp mill, carpet, cosmetics, and food industries extensively use those substances containing substantial coloring capability (Hassani et al. 2014). Many efforts have been spent on the development of an effective way for the removal of organic dyes from the water phases because of the detrimental impacts of dye pollutants on aquatic life and human health (Darvishi Cheshmeh Soltani et al. 2016; Moura et al. 2016; Shankaraiah et al. 2014). Nonetheless, the efficiency of conventional biological treatment technologies is questionable for the treatment of synthetic organic dyes since synthetic
dyes are stable, less biodegradable, and contain abundant quantities of aromatic compounds (Grčić et al. 2013). Consequently, attempts have been made to use traditional physicochemical techniques such as adsorption, nanofiltration, and coagulation-flocculation processes for the elimination of dye pollutants (Hassani et al. 2018c). However, these treatment approaches are considered to be nondestructive for transferring dye molecules only from aquatic phase to another one, which leads to the formation of secondary pollutants (Wang et al. 2007). In order to eliminate toxic chemicals, remarkable endeavors have now focused on developing more effective solutions (Hassani et al. 2018a; Taherian et al. 2013). In this regard, numerous investigations have recently been dedicated to the use of advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) generating hydroxyl radicals (·OH) as one of the most potent oxidizing agents for the elimination of various organic contaminants from water phase (Meijide et al. 2017; Modirshahla et al. 2012; Sabri et al. 2018). Additionally, electrocatalytic hydrogenation and hydrogcnolysis (ECH) have been recognized as a promising technology for the elimination and decomposition of recalcitrant compounds. Especially for water purification, ECH has been demonstrated with high efficiency in treating the persistent contaminants (Jiang et al. 2017; Jiang et al. 2018). Sonocatalytic processes that use suitable catalysts under ultrasonic irradiation are one of the more favorable technologies among the AOP techniques for the production of ·OH in aqueous solution and thus effective exclusion of refractory organic compounds (Areerob et al. 2018; Darvishi Cheshmeh Soltani et al. 2016). Recently, sonochemical removal of organic pollutants in aqueous solution has been reported as a novel AOP in which reactive species including ·OH, hydrogen (H·), and perhydroxyl (HO2·) radicals are formed via acoustic cavitation described as the cyclic formation, growth, and implosive collapse of tiny bubbles in aqueous phase subjected to high-intensity ultrasound (Chadi et al. 2018; Khataee et al. 2018a). The ultrasonic irradiation produces positive holes and free radicals, which supports to the catalyst for the generation of more ·OH radicals in water (Khataee et al. 2018b; Weng and Huang 2015). Nevertheless, full organic contaminant elimination using ultrasound alone is time-consuming and energy demanding. These drawbacks can be surmounted by combining sonolysis with an appropriate heterogeneous catalyst being activated under ultrasonic irradiation gaining ground recently. The use of a proper catalyst noticeably promotes the formation of ·OH and efficient sonocatalytic pollutant elimination, which is probably caused by a synergistic effect between the ultrasonic irradiation and the solid semiconductor catalyst (Hassani et al. 2018a). Existing evidence indicates the use of various semiconductors as sonocatalysts including CeO2-biochar (Khataee et al. 2018b), CoFe2O4@ZnS (Farhadi et al. 2017), Fe2.8Ce0.2O4 (Khataee et al. 2018c), Ce/ZnTiO3 (Eskandarloo et al. 2016), TiO2/MMT (Hassani et al. 2017), Ni-ZnO (Saharan et al. 2015), LaFeO3 (Dükkanç et al. 2018), KNbO3 (Zhang et al. 2016), CdSe/QDs (Sajjadi et al. 2017), WO3 (Li et al. 2018), CdS (Song et al. 2018), and β-Bi2O3 (Cheng et al. 2016). Thus, it is necessary to develop new magnetic sonocatalysts with elevated catalytic activity.

Recently, graphic carbon nitride (g-C3N4), a polymeric semiconductor, composed of C, N, and H atoms, has been in the focus of widespread catalytic uses (Hassani et al. 2018b; Zhu et al. 2014). However, g-C3N4 has several drawbacks such as fast recombination, limited surface area, and low conductivity (Dong et al. 2014). To suitably resolve these drawbacks, mesoporous g-C3N4 (mpg-C3N4) are prepared with a far greater surface area in which mpg-C3N4 is combined with various semiconductors containing ideal band gaps to enlarge the absorption range of mpg-C3N4 (Erdogan et al. 2016). Nevertheless, separation of sonocatalysts from treated water is difficult because their typical usage is in the form of nanoparticles (NPs). The practical uses of magnetic separation of sonocatalysts have rendered them a desirable and beneficial method. By keeping this in mind, the spinel structure and extraordinary properties of cobalt ferrite (CoFe2O4) NPs have presented them an effective magnetic material for environmental purification (Hassani et al. 2018a; Yao et al. 2016). Magnetic ferrite NPs are combined with mpg-C3N4, making it possible to prevent agglomeration and deactivation of the sonocatalyst within the regeneration process; moreover, the synergistic impacts in the hybrid structure might further improve the sonocatalytic activity of mpg-C3N4 (Yao et al. 2015; Zhang et al. 2013). To the best of our knowledge, there is no report on modeling and optimizing the organic dye elimination from water via a sonocatalytic process using CoFe2O4/mpg-C3N4 nanocomposites as catalyst. The process modeling pays a substantial role in the development and enhanced identification of sonocatalytic processes. Therefore, the present study mainly focuses on the performance optimization of CoFe2O4/mpg-C3N4 nanocomposites for the elimination of methylene blue (MB) dye in water via sonocatalytic process. Response surface methodology (RSM) based on central composite design (CCD) was examined to further analyze the impacts of different operational parameters. The influence of catalyst dose, initial dye concentration, pH, and sonication time on the removal of MB via the sonocatalytic technique were examined to further analyze the impacts of different operational parameters. To determine the effectiveness of an experimental system, the current research selected RSM as an operative statistical and mathematical methodology (Hassani et al. 2015b; Khataee et al. 2013). RSM with a minimum number of experiments was employed to simultaneously evaluate a variety of parameters. Thus, application of RSM in a study help lessen the cost, reduce process variability, and lower the time needed comparing to the traditional one factor at a time statistical approach (Khataee et al. 2011a; Zolgharnein et al. 2014).
Materials and methods

Chemicals

Guanidine hydrochloride (≥ 99%), Ludox® HS40 colloidal silica (40 wt% suspension in H2O), ammonium hydrogen difluoride (NH4HF2, 95%), cobalt(II) acetylacetonate (Co(acac)2, 97%), iron(III) acetylacetonate (Fe(acac)3, 97%), oleic acid (OAc, 90%), oleylamine (OAm, > 70%), benzyl ether (BE, 99%), 1,2-tetradecanediol (1,2-TDD, 97%), hexanes (97%), isopropanol (99%), ethanol (99%) and acetone (97%), edetate disodium (EDTA-2Na), tert-butyl alcohol (t-BuOH), and benzoquinone (BQ) were provided from Sigma-Aldrich and used as they are. The MB dye was provided from Alvan Sabet Co. (Iran). The chemical structure and characteristics of the dye are represented in Table 1.

Instrumentation

Transmission electron microscope (TEM) images were obtained using a Hitachi HT7700 TEM instrument equipped with the EXALENS HR-TEM lens operated at 120 kV. High-resolution scanning electron microscope (HR-SEM) was implemented by means of a Zeiss Sigma 300 SEM instrument. X-ray diffraction (XRD) pattern was analyzed using a Panalytical Empyrean diffractometer with Cu-Kα radiation (40 kV, 15 mA, 1.54051 Å). Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area and Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) pore size analyses were examined by a Micromeritics 3Flex instrument. The photoluminescence (PL) spectra were recorded with a fluorescence spectrophotometer (Varian, Cary Eclipse) using a Xe lamp as the excitation source.

Synthesis of mpg-C3N4 and monodispersed CoFe2O4 NPs

The detailed procedure for the synthesis of mpg-C3N4 via a silica templating method was reported elsewhere (Erdogan et al. 2016). Monodispersed CoFe2O4 NPs were synthesized by using a surfactant-assisted chemical decomposition of metal precursors in a hot organic solution, which is reported elsewhere. The MB dye and sonocatalyst were introduced into the reactor to start the experiment. Next, the pH of the suspension was adjusted to the desired values by using HCl/NaOH (0.1 M) and measuring the pH of solution with a pH meter (Mettler Toledo). Next, the suspension was stirred for 10 min in dark place before the sonication is performed to achieve the saturated adsorption between the MB dye and sonocatalyst. To ascertain the role of only adsorption in the removal process, the suspension was stirred magnetically. The catalyst dose, initial dye concentration, the pH of the solution, and the sonication time were chosen as the main operational factors. At given sonication times, the 4-mL sample was taken out, centrifuged (Universal 320 Hettich) at 9000 rpm for 10 min, and then MB concentration analyzed by UV-vis spectrophotometer (Varian Cary 100) at λmax = 665 nm. Equation (1) was used to calculate the removal efficiency (%) of MB.

\[
\text{Removal efficiency} \% = \left( \frac{A_0 - A_f}{A_0} \right) \times 100
\]

where \(A_0\) and \(A_f\) refer to initial and final MB absorbance, respectively.

Experimental design

A CCD was employed to determine the optimal conditions for the main parameters. For the sonocatalytic process, significant variables, such as the catalyst dose, initial dye concentration, \(pH\), and sonication time, were regarded as independent and designated as \(X_1\)–\(X_4\), respectively. A catalyst dose (\(X_1\)) range of 0.1–0.3 g L\(^{-1}\), initial dye concentration (\(X_2\)) range of 4–20 mg L\(^{-1}\), \(pH\) (\(X_3\)) of 2–10, and sonication time (\(X_4\)) range of 15–75 min were chosen, as given in Table 2.

The number of experiments was evaluated using Eq. (2):

\[
N = 2^k + 2k + x_0
\]

where \(N\), \(k\), and \(x_0\) represented the number of experiments, variables, and replications, respectively (Hassani et al. 2015a). Therefore, 31 experimental runs were designed by centrifuged at 7000 rpm for 10 min after ethyl alcohol addition to separate the yielded CoFe2O4/mpg-C3N4 nanocomposites from the solution.
the CCD \((k = 4, x_0 = 7)\). The relation between the variables \((X_i)\) were coded as \(x_i\) using Eq. (3):

\[
x_i = \frac{(X_i - X_0)}{\delta X}
\]

(3)

where \(X_0\) and \(\delta X\) were the values of \(X_i\) at the center point and step change, respectively (Hassani et al. 2015a; Hassani et al. 2015c). The relationships between the response \((Y)\) and the four input parameters were explained by using a quadratic equation as follows:

\[
Y = b_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i x_i + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{ii} x_i\right)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} b_{ij} x_i x_j
\]

(4)

where \(Y\) was the predicted removal efficiency and \(b_0, b_i, b_{ii}\), and \(b_{ij}\) were the constant, linear, interaction, and quadratic coefficients, respectively (Hassani et al. 2015c). Furthermore, \(x_i\) and \(x_j\) were the coded values for the experimental parameters. The Design-Expert Software (version 10) and the Minitab Software (version 16) were used to data analysis and factorial optimization.

**Results and discussion**

**Characterization**

Monodispersed CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4\) NPs were synthesized by the thermal decomposition of metal (II or III) acetylacetonates in the solution of oleylamine, oleic acid, 1,2-tetradecanediol, and benzyl ether at 295 °C, which is a well-established protocol reported elsewhere (Sun et al. 2004). Figure 1a depicts a TEM image of colloidal CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4\) NPs indicating the highly monodispersed particle size and morphology of the NPs with a mean particle size of 10 nm. As-prepared CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4\) NPs were then supported on mpg-C\(_3\)N\(_4\) via a liquid phase self-assembly method and the structures of yielded CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4/\)mpg-C\(_3\)N\(_4\) nanocomposites were analyzed by TEM, HR-SEM, XRD, and ICP-MS. Figure 1b shows a TEM image of CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4/\)mpg-C\(_3\)N\(_4\) nanocomposites revealing the successful assembly of CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4\) NPs over mpg-C\(_3\)N\(_4\) by preserving almost their initial particle size and morphology. Moreover, there were no agglomerated NPs encountered by the investigation of almost whole TEM grid. The CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4\) loading ratio of CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4/\)mpg-C\(_3\)N\(_4\) nanocomposites was found to be 12.3 wt% as a result of ICP-MS analysis. Figure 1c, d shows representative HR-SEM images of CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4/\)mpg-C\(_3\)N\(_4\) nanocomposites showing the plenty of nanosheets with an aggregated structure of mpg-C\(_3\)N\(_4\) and the nearly homogeneous distribution of CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4\) NPs over mpg-C\(_3\)N\(_4\) sheets which is well-consistent with the TEM image.

Figure 2a shows the XRD patterns of as-prepared CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4/\)mpg-C\(_3\)N\(_4\) nanocomposites. The peaks arose at the 2\(\theta\) value of 13.6° that corresponded to the (100) diffraction peak, which is related to interplanar structural packing. Moreover, the peak at 27.62° was due to the (002) plane of g-C\(_3\)N\(_4\), and it showed interplanar graphitic stacking (JCPDS card 01-087-1526) (Erdogan et al. 2016; Heidari et al. 2018). The interlayer stacking distance for mpg-C\(_3\)N\(_4\) was 0.322 nm. Additionally, the diffraction peaks that appeared at the 2\(\theta\) value of 18.31°, 30.04°, 35.66°, 37.43°, 43.10°, 53.41°, 57.00°, 62.50°, 70.92°, 74.00°, and 74.99° (marked with "#") on the CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4\) NPs are readily assigned to the reflections of (111), (220), (311), (222), (400), (422), (511), (440), (620), (533), and (622) planes of the cubic spinel structured CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4\) NPs (JCPDS card 00-022-1086), respectively.

**Table 1** Characteristics of methylene blue dye

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Color index name</th>
<th>Chemical structure</th>
<th>Molecular formula</th>
<th>(M_w) (g L(^{-1}))</th>
<th>(\lambda_{max}) (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Basic Blue 9</td>
<td></td>
<td>C(<em>{16})H(</em>{18})N(_3)SCl</td>
<td>319.85</td>
<td>665</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** Coded and actual values of variables of the experimental design

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Ranges and levels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Catalyst dose (g L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>(X_1)</td>
<td>0.1 0.15 0.2 0.25 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial dye concentration (mg L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>(X_2)</td>
<td>4 8 12 16 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>(X_3)</td>
<td>2 4 6 8 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonication time (min)</td>
<td>(X_4)</td>
<td>15 30 45 60 75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(Hassani et al. 2018a). Plane (311) was applied to CoFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs to determine lattice values (cubic phase, $a = b = c$). The lattice parameter for the CoFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs was 8.342 Å. Based on these findings, the NPs were matched with the CoFe$_2$O$_4$ standards in JCPDS card 00-022-1086 (i.e., $a = b = c = 8.391$ Å).

The photoluminescence (PL) spectra of the mpg-C$_3$N$_4$ and CoFe$_2$O$_4$/mpg-C$_3$N$_4$ nanocomposites were recorded at an excitation wavelength of 325 nm to study the sonocatalytic activities (Fig. 2b). PL behavior demonstrates the separation recombination process of sono-generated electron-hole ($e^- - h^+$). It is observed that the CoFe$_2$O$_4$/mpg-C$_3$N$_4$ nanocomposites have the lowest PL intensity than the pure mpg-C$_3$N$_4$. Hence, sono-generated $e^- - h^+$ separation efficiency was significantly enhanced in CoFe$_2$O$_4$/mpg-C$_3$N$_4$ nanocomposites, which leads to prevent $e^- - h^+$ recombination and efficient sonocatalytic activity.
The BET surface area of mpg-C$_3$N$_4$ is 192.33 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$, and that of bulk g-C$_3$N$_4$ is 12 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$ (Xu et al. 2013), showing that preparation of mpg-C$_3$N$_4$ by silica templating method led to production of sample with high surface area in comparison to those of bulk g-C$_3$N$_4$. Besides the BET surface area, the average pore width and Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) pore volume of mpg-C$_3$N$_4$ catalyst were found to be 14.74 nm and 0.68 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$, respectively. It is well known that the high surface area is helpful for the removal of target pollutant to achieve high sonocatalytic efficiency.

**Removal of MB using different processes**

A comparative study of sonocatalytic performance of pure mpg-C$_3$N$_4$ and CoFe$_2$O$_4$/mpg-C$_3$N$_4$ nanocomposites for the removal of the MB dye was studied. Figure 3 shows the efficiency of all tested materials under different processes for the removal of MB (8 mg L$^{-1}$) from aqueous solution under the reaction conditions of catalyst dose of 0.25 g L$^{-1}$, pH of 8, 400 W ultrasonic power, and 45 min of reaction time. As can be seen in Fig. 3, MB removal efficiency over treatment times of 45 min was less than 10% when only ultrasound (US, 1.46%) and mpg-C$_3$N$_4$ (9.86%) were separately used, which demonstrated the inefficiency of using sonication alone and adsorption in MB removal. The removal efficiencies of MB over mpg-C$_3$N$_4$ and CoFe$_2$O$_4$/mpg-C$_3$N$_4$ nanocomposites were found to be 34.58 and 92.81%, respectively, within 45 min under ultrasound irradiation (Fig. 3). This finding showed that the sonocatalytic activity of pure mpg-C$_3$N$_4$ could be improved by the incorporation of CoFe$_2$O$_4$ NPs along with the US. Moreover, the increment in removal efficiency in the presence of sonocatalyst might be related to the increasing number of cavitation bubbles formed on the surface of the sonocatalyst leading to more cleavage of water molecules and production of further ‘OH radicals. In addition, solid catalysts increase the mass transfer rate of MB molecules from liquid to the catalyst surface (Khataee et al. 2018b; Wang et al. 2010).

**CCD modeling**

To optimize the removal of MB using a sonocatalytic process, a four-variable CCD was employed. The individual and interactive effects of the input parameters and process output (removal efficiency) were evaluated using the CCD. The experimental and predicted dye removal efficiency for MB can be seen in Table 3.

The relation between the response ($Y$) and corresponding coded values is represented as Eq. (5):

$$Y = 86.93 + 7.26X_1 + 7.76X_2 + 10.01X_3 + 1.92X_4 + 2.03X_{12} + 1.24X_{13} + 0.62X_{14} + 1.89X_{23} + 0.66X_{24} + 0.53X_{34} + 2.52X_{12} + 2.38X_{13} + 6.79X_{34} + 0.27X_{44}$$

An analysis of variance (ANOVA) was carried out to investigate the suitability of the model (Hassani et al. 2014). Figure 4a depicts a favorable conformity between the predicted and experimental values. The regression model had a high coefficient determination ($R^2 = 0.969$), which implies the model is capable of representing the process. There was no remarkable difference between the value of $R^2$ and the value of the adjusted $R^2$ (0.942), which indicates that the experiment’s results agreed with the predicted results (Khataee et al. 2011b).

The adequate precision for the ANOVA was 21.53, which is desirable because it is greater than 4 (Mannan et al. 2007). Moreover, the low coefficient of variation (CV = 4.75%) achieved in this study implied that the model was performed satisfactorily (Table 4).

Residuals, which are the differences between an experiment’s removal efficiency and predicted removal efficiency, are useful for evaluating the significance of a CCD model (Khataee et al. 2012). Figure 4b shows the normal probability compared to the residuals. As depicted, the residuals’ point formed a straight line; this confirmed the applicability of the model.

Furthermore, a random scattering of the residuals can be observed in the plots of the residuals compared to the expected values (Fig. 4c) and run number (Fig. 4d), which demonstrates a satisfactory fit of the model. The $F$ value for the model was 36.03, which was superior to the tabulated $F$ (2.37 at 95% significance), which confirms the validity of the model (Table 4) (Hassani et al. 2016).

A Pareto analysis can yield significant information that can help one interpret the results of a response surface...
model. This analysis was used to estimate the effect of each parameter on the response, as expressed in Eq. (6) (Abdessalem et al. 2008):

\[ P_i = \left( \frac{b_i^2}{\sum b_i^2} \right) \times 100 \quad (i \neq 0) \]  

A Pareto graph analysis of MB can be seen in Fig. 5; the pH of the solution (35.12%) and the initial dye concentration (21.1%) had the greatest effects on removing MB in the sonocatalytic process.

To obtain the simplest model with the best fit for dye removal efficiency, insignificant terms with \( P \) values higher than 0.05 were eliminated from the model and the final quadratic model was rewritten as follows:

\[ Y = 86.93 + 7.26X_1 - 7.76X_2 + 10.01X_3 + 1.92X_4 + 2.03X_{12} - 2.52X_{11} - 2.38X_{22} - 6.79X_{33} \]  

The significance of the regression coefficients

According to Table 5, the linear effects \( X_1, X_2, X_3, \) and \( X_4 \), the quadratic effects \( X_{11}, X_{22}, \) and \( X_{33}, \) and the interactive effect \( X_{12} \) were significant with a confidence level of 95%. Hence, the statistically significant parameters were the linear effect of
all the investigated parameters, the quadratic effect of the catalyst dose, the initial dye concentration, the initial pH, and the interaction effect of the catalyst dose with the initial dye concentration. According to the $t$ and $F$ values, the most effective model parameters were $X_2$, $X_3$, $X_{13}$, $X_1$, $X_{11}$, and $X_{22}$, respectively (see Table 5).

The effect of the parameters and their interactions on the dye removal

To assess the effect of four factors simultaneously on the removal of MB, a perturbation plot was used. A perturbation plot was used to identify the effective parameters on the

---

**Table 4** The results of analysis of variance (ANOVA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Sum of squares</th>
<th>Degree of freedom</th>
<th>Mean square</th>
<th>$F$ value</th>
<th>$P$ value</th>
<th>Significant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regression</td>
<td>6863.60</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>490.26</td>
<td>36.03</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>Significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residuals</td>
<td>217.72</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13.61</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure error</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>7091.33</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$R^2 = 0.969$, adjusted $R^2 = 0.942$, adequate precision = 21.53, coefficient of variation (CV) = 4.75 (%)
response (Fig. 6). The steepest curve showed the most effective factor. However, a relatively flat line shows insensitivity toward response (Hassani et al. 2014).

As shown in Fig. 6, the catalyst dose (A), initial dye concentration (B), pH (C), and sonication time (D) were the control parameters to obtain the maximum efficiency for removing MB. A relatively steep curvature for the pH of the solution, catalyst dose, and the initial dye concentration indicated that the MB removal efficiency was sensitive to these parameters. The relatively flat curves for the sonication time showed that the influence of this factor was less on the dye removal than on the pH of the solution and the initial dye concentration. The sonication time curve was gradual, which indicates that this factor had a negligible effect on the response. The MB removal efficiency increased as the catalyst dose and pH increased and decreased, respectively, and the initial dye concentration increased (Fig. 6).

To assess the interactions of all four parameters, three-dimensional (3D) and two-dimensional (2D) were designed for the predicted responses based on quadratic model. Response surface plots are often used to estimate removal efficiencies for different values of tested parameters. In addition, contour plots are helpful in distinguishing types of interactions between tested parameters. Figure 7 shows the interaction between the initial MB concentration and the catalyst dose. The other two factors, the pH and the sonication time, were constant at 6 min and 45 min, respectively.

The 3D and 2D plots show a gradual increase in the removal efficiency (%) of MB as the dose of CoFe$_2$O$_4$/mpg-C$_3$N$_4$ increased from 0.1 to 0.25 g L$^{-1}$. As shown in Fig. 7, the

### Table 5 Estimated coefficients and corresponding $F$, $t$, and $P$ values

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Coefficient estimate</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
<th>$F$ value</th>
<th>Student $t$</th>
<th>$P$ value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$X_0$</td>
<td>86.93</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>62.349</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_1$</td>
<td>7.26</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>93.08</td>
<td>9.648</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_2$</td>
<td>-7.76</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>106.26</td>
<td>-10.308</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_3$</td>
<td>10.01</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>176.72</td>
<td>13.294</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_4$</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>2.544</td>
<td>0.0217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_{12}$</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>4.85</td>
<td>2.202</td>
<td>0.0427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_{13}$</td>
<td>-1.24</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>-1.340</td>
<td>0.1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_{14}$</td>
<td>-0.62</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>-0.668</td>
<td>0.5136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_{23}$</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>2.049</td>
<td>0.0573</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_{24}$</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.716</td>
<td>0.4846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_{34}$</td>
<td>-0.50</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>-0.539</td>
<td>0.5975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_{11}$</td>
<td>-2.52</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>13.32</td>
<td>-3.649</td>
<td>0.0022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_{22}$</td>
<td>-2.38</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>11.89</td>
<td>-3.449</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_{33}$</td>
<td>-6.79</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>96.98</td>
<td>-9.848</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X_{44}$</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>-0.397</td>
<td>0.6966</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
removal efficiency (%) increased as the dose of CoFe₂O₄/mpg-C₃N₄ increased, up to a specified value. Further dose increases did not lead to meaningful changes to the removal efficiency (%). Increasing the catalyst dose created additional nuclei for the cavitation bubbles to increase the production of free radicals (Song et al. 2012). The aggregation of CoFe₂O₄/mpg-C₃N₄ particles at higher doses resulted in a reduction of active surficial sites, which were generated in the solution. In addition, the excess sonocatalyst amount lowered the number of ultrasonic waves, which passed into the solution (Darvishi Cheshme Soltani et al. 2016; Hapeshi et al. 2013).

The pH of the solution was a vital parameter that influenced the physico-chemical properties of the solution and the surface of the nanocomposites. Figure 8 shows the interaction of the initial pH and the initial catalyst dose on the response, in which the initial dye concentration and the sonication time were constant at 12 mg L⁻¹ and 45 min, respectively. The pHzpc value for the CoFe₂O₄/mpg-C₃N₄ nanocomposites was 6 (Hassani et al. 2018b). This value confirms the optimal pH ranges for removing dye from aqueous solutions. The pHzpc of the nanocomposites indicated that the surface of nanocomposites was positively charged at a pH of less than 6 and negatively charged at a pH of more than 6. As can be observed from Fig. 8, the removal efficiency reached its maximum at a pH 8.

It should be noted that MB is a cationic dye, and its removal on a CoFe₂O₄/mpg-C₃N₄ surface is not possible in an acidic solution because of repulsive forces between the nanocomposite surface and the MB dye. However, with high pH values, the conditions for forming active species are favorable, as the values improve not only transfers of holes to adsorbed hydroxyls but also electrostatic effects between negatively charged CoFe₂O₄/mpg-C₃N₄ and MB dye. However, in alkaline solutions (pH > 8), there is a repulsion between negatively charged surfaces of nanocomposites and OH⁻ anions. This fact can hamper OH radicals from forming and can thus decrease the removal efficiency of dye (Rasouliard et al. 2016).

For the mentioned reasons, the removal efficiency of MB dye on CoFe₂O₄/mpg-C₃N₄ attained a maximum and then decreases. It can therefore be deduced that the removal efficiency of MB was considerably influenced by the interaction of the catalyst dose and the pH. This can be verified by the observation that the pH had a higher F value than the other factors. Figure 9 shows response surface and contour plots of the removal efficiency as a function of the sonication time and the initial pH for the catalyst dose of 0.2 g L⁻¹ and the initial dye concentration of 12 mg L⁻¹. As depicted in Fig. 9, the highest removal efficiency occurred when initial pH was kept at 8 under all sonication time conditions.
The effect of the catalyst dose on the removal efficiency of MB is shown in Fig. 10, where the initial MB concentration and the pH were set to 12 mg L\(^{-1}\) and 6, respectively. The figure shows that the removal efficiency had a positive correlation with the catalyst dose. The increased removal efficiency, accompanied by an increased catalyst dose, was due to the increased surface area and the accessibility of more active sites for the isolation of MB dye molecules.

Figure 10 shows that increasing the catalyst dose from 0.25 to 0.3 g L\(^{-1}\) did not have a major impact on the removal efficiency. This was due to a partial aggregation of the catalyst at the high dose, which decreased the effective surface area (Darvishi Cheshmeh Soltani et al. 2016). However, as shown in Fig. 10, unlike the catalyst dose, the effect of the sonication time on the removal efficiency was insignificant. As can be seen in the figure, the effect of the catalyst dose was higher than that of the sonication time in which increasing the catalyst dose increased the removal efficiency. As shown in Table 5, this result can be proven using the smaller F value for the sonication time than for the other parameters. Additionally, the positive coefficients confirmed that these variables affect the sonocatalysis of MB positively, while the negative coefficients affect it negatively (Table 5).

**Process optimization**

One of the major objectives of this work was to identify the optimum condition for maximizing removal of MB dye using the mathematical model proposed. For this aim, numerical optimization was employed to determine the desired values for each factor and to reach the maximum removal efficiency. The results of the optimization for the removal of MB onto CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4\)/mpg-C\(_3\)N\(_4\) nanocomposites are given in Table 6. The results obtained by the numerical optimization revealed that a maximum removal efficiency (%) of 95.12% can be obtained with a
catalyst dose of 0.25 g L\(^{-1}\), an initial dye concentration of 8 mg L\(^{-1}\), a pH of 8, and a sonication time of 45 min. In order to validate the obtained results, an additional experiment was conducted under optimized values. It was found that under the optimum operational parameters, the experiment’s MB removal efficiency was 92.81%. Thus, it displayed the predictability of the model for use in real conditions.

**Table 6** Obtained optimum values of the process variables and responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Optimum value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Catalyst dose (g L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial dye concentration (mg L(^{-1}))</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonication time (min)</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removal efficiency (%) (predicted)</td>
<td>95.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removal efficiency (%) (experimental)</td>
<td>92.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 10** The response surface and contour plots of the removal efficiency (%) as the function of catalyst dose and sonication time (initial dye concentration = 12 mg L\(^{-1}\), pH = 6)

**Fig. 11** Effects of various radical scavengers on the removal of MB over CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4\)/mpg-C\(_3\)N\(_4\) nanocomposites under ultrasonic irradiation. Experimental conditions: [catalyst] = 0.25 g L\(^{-1}\), [MB]\(_0\) = 8 mg L\(^{-1}\), [scavenger] = 2 mM, pH = 8, ultrasonic power = 400 W, and reaction time = 45 min

An analysis of the scavengers’ effect on MB removal

To determine the mechanism for the sonocatalytic removal of MB using CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4\)/mpg-C\(_3\)N\(_4\) nanocomposites, trapping experiments with active species, including superoxide radical (O\(^{2-}\)), hole (h\(^{+}\)), and hydroxyl radical (’OH), using sonocatalytic process was carried out. Different scavengers were used in individual sonocatalytic processes to quench a reactive species. The scavengers used in the study were t-BuOH, for the ’OH scavenger; BQ, for O\(^{2-}\); and EDTA-2Na, for h\(^{+}\) scavenger (Hassani et al. 2018a). It was found that the CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4\)/mpg-C\(_3\)N\(_4\) dose, the initial concentration of MB, the pH, and the sonication time were stable at 0.25 g L\(^{-1}\), 8 mg L\(^{-1}\), 8, and 45 min, respectively. The effects of scavengers on the sonocatalytic process are shown in Fig. 11. As can be seen, adding BQ, EDTA-2Na, and t-BuOH caused the sonocatalytic removal of MB to decrease from 90.91% to 42.40%, 38.68%, and 36.99%, respectively. These results show the participation of the radicals in the sonocatalytic activity. Among the radicals, ’OH was more than h\(^{+}\) and O\(^{2-}\) in removing MB.

**Reaction mechanism of MB removal**

The mechanism of sonocatalytic removal of MB may be explained in terms of two standpoints, namely “hot spot” and “sonoluminescence.” The collapse of the cavitation bubbles in water phase as the first mechanism forms “hot spots” at temperatures as high as 10\(^5\) °C or 10\(^6\) °C and pressures up to about 1000 bar (Wang et al. 2009). The pyrolysis of H\(_2\)O molecules can be stimulated by such hot spots to produce ’OH radicals and hydrogen radicals H\(^{+}\) as presented in Eqs. (8) and
Oxygen molecules are also disintegrated to yield oxygen atoms, which generate 'OH radicals after reacting with water molecules (Eqs. (10) and (11)) (Merouani et al. 2015).

\[
\begin{align*}
H_2O &\rightarrow 'OH + H' \quad (8) \\
'O' + 'OH &\rightarrow H_2O_2 \quad (9) \\
O_2 &\rightarrow 2'O' \quad (10) \\
H_2O + O' &\rightarrow 2HO' \quad (11)
\end{align*}
\]

Besides, utilization of semiconductor catalysts in the sonocatalytic system can improve the efficiency of sonocatalytic system through formation of electron-hole (e\(^-\) - h\(^+\)) pairs after the excitation of e\(^-\) from the valence band (VB) to conduction band (CB). The improvement in the presence of sonocatalyst can be described by the sonoluminescence mechanism. Sonoluminescence involves emission of light via recombination of the free radicals created within cavitation bubbles. 'OH radicals are then produced by oxidation of H\(_2\)O molecules or OH\(^-\) anions adsorbed on the sonocatalyst surface by the h\(^+\), O\(^2-\), and 'OOH radicals are formed by interaction of the CB electrons with adsorbed O\(_2\) being capable of reacting with organic dye molecules and improving the removal efficiency (Eqs. (12)–(17)) (Zhou et al. 2015).

\[
\begin{align*}
CoFe_2O_4/mpg-C_3N_4 &\rightarrow CoFe_2O_4/mpg-C_3N_4 (e^- - h^+) \quad (12) \\
h^+ + H_2O &\rightarrow OH + H^+ \quad (13) \\
h^+ + OH^- &\rightarrow 'OH \quad (14) \\
e^- + O_2 &\rightarrow O_2^- \quad (15) \\
O_2^- + H_2O &\rightarrow 'OOH + OH^- \quad (16) \\
\text{Reactive species + MB} &\rightarrow \text{removal products} \quad (17)
\end{align*}
\]

The reusability of CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4\)/mpg-C\(_3\)N\(_4\)

The reusability of a sonocatalyst is an important aspect and makes the sonocatalyst effective for practical applications (Hassani et al. 2018a). Hence, the reusability of the CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4\)/mpg-C\(_3\)N\(_4\) nanocomposites was tested using the optimized conditions for the sonocatalytic removal of MB. The CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4\)/mpg-C\(_3\)N\(_4\) nanocomposites were recovered by magnet, washed with distilled water, and dried at 80 °C; this process was repeated after every experiment. Two photographs of a vial that contained aqueous CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4\)/mpg-C\(_3\)N\(_4\) nanocomposites are in Fig. 12, and they show a favorable dispersion of the nanocomposites in the aqueous solution (Fig. 12(a)). A magnet placed near the vial resulted in a magnetic separation of the CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4\)/mpg-C\(_3\)N\(_4\) nanocomposites (Fig. 12(b)). Accordingly, it can be concluded that the stable nanocomposites could easily be recycled after being used in solutions. It was observed that the MB removal efficiency of the CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4\)/mpg-C\(_3\)N\(_4\) nanocomposites decreased by 9.6% after five successive experimental runs (Fig. 12(c)). This result clearly shows that the CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4\)/mpg-C\(_3\)N\(_4\) nanocomposites are magnetically separable sonocatalysts that are highly stable for removing organic dyes from aqueous solutions.

Conclusion

To sum up, this study discussed the successful synthesis of highly efficient CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4\)/mpg-C\(_3\)N\(_4\) nanocomposites and
their sonocatalysis performance for the removal of MB from aqueous solution. An RSM based on a CCD was utilized to optimize the removal of MB dye using CoFe₂O₄/mpg-C₃N₄ nanocomposites. The effects of the experiment parameters such as catalyst dose, initial dye concentration, pH, and sonication time on MB removal efficiency were studied. The ANOVA results revealed a favorable reliability for sonocatalytic removal efficiency ($R^2 = 0.969$ and adjusted $R^2 = 0.942$). A Pareto graph analysis demonstrated that among the variables, pH had the largest effect on removal efficiency. Moreover, an optimized removal efficiency of 92.81% was attained with a catalyst dose of 0.25 g L$^{-1}$, an initial dye concentration of 8 mg L$^{-1}$, a pH of 8, and a sonication time of 45 min. A trapping experiment indicated that all radicals participated in the sonocatalytic activity. Among the reactive radicals, $\cdot$OH was more important than $h^+$ and $O_2^-$ in MB dye removal. A possible mechanism was also proposed for the elimination of MB in the sonocatalytic system. Finally, a reusability test of the nanocomposites revealed a just 9.6% decrease in their removal efficiency after five consecutive runs. Thus, this study clearly shows that a response surface methodology with a CCD is a suitable method for optimizing operating conditions and maximizing MB dye removal.
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