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Abstract

Perennial grasses are being considered as candidates for biofuel feedstocks to provide an alternative energy source to fossil fuels. Miscanthus × giganteus (miscanthus), in particular, is a grass that is predicted to provide more energy per sown area than corn ethanol and reduce net carbon dioxide emissions by increasing the storage of carbon below-ground. Miscanthus uses more water than Zea mays (maize), mainly as a result of a longer growing season and higher productivity. Conversion of current land use for miscanthus production will likely disrupt regional hydrologic cycles, yet the magnitude, timing, and spatial distribution of effects are unknown. Here, we show the effects of five different scenarios of miscanthus production on the simulated Midwest US hydrologic cycle. Given the same historic precipitation observations, our ecosystem model simulation results show that on an annual basis miscanthus uses more water than the ecosystems it will likely replace. The actual timing and magnitude of increased water loss to the atmosphere depends on location; however, substantial increases only occur when miscanthus fraction cover exceeds 25% in dry regions and 50% in nearly all of the Midwest. Our results delineate where large-scale land use conversion to perennial biofuel grasses might deplete soil water resources. Given the fact that some watersheds within the Midwest already have depleted water resources, we expect our results to inform decisions on where to grow perennial grasses for biofuel use to ensure sustainability of energy and water resources, and to minimize the potential for deleterious effects to water quantity and quality.
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Introduction

Miscanthus × giganteus (miscanthus) has been proposed as an ideal biomass feedstock for bioenergy production in the Midwest United States (Heaton et al., 2008). Miscanthus is a naturally existing sterile hybrid native to Southeast Asia that was first grown in Europe in the 1930s (Lewandowski et al., 2000) and has been considered a biomass energy candidate since the 1960s (Venendaal et al., 1997). Miscanthus is a C₄ perennial rhizomatous grass (Lewandowski et al., 2000) that is more productive than Zea mays (maize; Dohleman & Long, 2009) and requires fewer inputs (Beale & Long, 1997; Christian et al., 2008; Miguez et al., 2008). Because of the combination of high productivity and the ability to convert all of the aboveground cellulosic biomass to ethanol, miscanthus is predicted to reduce net carbon dioxide emissions (Stampfl et al., 2007), produce more than double the renewable fuel per unit area than maize, and could help meet the Advanced Energy Initiative (AEI) goals by producing cellulosic ethanol from agriculture using ~9% of US cropland (Heaton et al., 2008).

The effects of miscanthus production on the environment at the large scale are unknown. One of the primary concerns with miscanthus production is its potential to alter the hydrologic cycle through increases in evapotranspiration (ET; Stephens et al., 2001; Hall, 2003; National Research Council Committee on Water
Implications of Biofuels Production in the United States, 2008; Rowe et al., 2009; Smeets et al., 2009; Tilman et al., 2009). Miscanthus has been shown to have higher ET during the growing season relative to maize (Hickman et al., 1999), longer growing season (Beale & Long, 1995; Heaton et al., 2004), and higher leaf area index (LAI; Heaton et al., 2008). The potential impacts of increased water loss to the atmosphere as a result of land use change for biofuel production include but are not limited to decreases in streamflow (Donner et al., 2002), water table depth, and soil water storage (McIsaac et al., 2010, in press). To date, there is no direct assessment of the impacts of miscanthus production on the hydrologic cycle in the Midwest. Furthermore, no study has incorporated measurements of ET from miscanthus in the Midwest to evaluate model predictions. Given the expected differences in water use between miscanthus and current land cover, it is important to consider the potential changes in regional hydrology that are likely to occur if millions of hectares of land are converted to miscanthus production.

The goal of this study is to evaluate changes in Midwest US hydrology resulting from large-scale conversion from the existing land cover to one that contains miscanthus. We predict that altering the species composition from the existing land cover to include miscanthus will increase ET and alter the hydrologic cycle. Because of the scale in question and the lack of widespread measurements over the area of interest, we test this prediction through the use of the Integrated Biosphere Simulator – agricultural version (Agro-IBIS). Agro-IBIS is a dynamic global vegetation model (DGVM) that simulates the growth of natural vegetation and major US crops (i.e., maize, soybean, and spring and winter wheat). We modified Agro-IBIS to include a perennial biofuel feedstock system to represent miscanthus. This is the first such representation of a dedicated biofuel feedstock in a DGVM.

**Methods**

A new algorithm for miscanthus was created by integrating the existing Agro-IBIS C₄ grass algorithm with the crop management modules. The new algorithm was calibrated by adjusting relevant model parameters based on observations of miscanthus at the University of Illinois south farms (UISF; Table 1) and evaluated with observations at three locations in Illinois (Table 2). Regional simulations with the current land cover (Fig. 1) and miscanthus land cover scenarios were

### Table 1  Agro-IBIS model parameters modified from default values for C₄ grass or maize to values representative for miscanthus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Default value</th>
<th>New value</th>
<th>Sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$V_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>Maximum rubisco activity at 15°C at top of canopy ($\mu$mol m$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Dohleman &amp; Long (2009)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q^*$</td>
<td>Intrinsic quantum efficiency (dimensionless)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>Dohleman &amp; Long (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLA</td>
<td>Specific leaf area (m² kg$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Dohleman &amp; Long (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAI$_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>Maximum LAI allowed</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>Heaton et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAI$_{\text{cons}}$</td>
<td>LAI decline factor constant for crops</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>This paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hyb$_{\text{gdd}}$</td>
<td>Maximum GDD (base 8°C) required for physiological maturity</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>E. A. Heaton/F. G. Dohleman (unpublished results)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MX$_{\text{matt}}$</td>
<td>Maximum number of days allowed past planting for physiological maturity to be reached</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>E. A. Heaton/F. G. Dohleman (unpublished results)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harv$_{\text{date}}$</td>
<td>Harvest date</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>Heaton et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ztop$_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>Canopy height maximum (m)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Heaton et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St$_{\text{summ}}$</td>
<td>Soil temperature summation GDD (base 0°C) for emergence</td>
<td>1320</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>E. A. Heaton/F. G. Dohleman (unpublished results)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{\text{leaf}}$</td>
<td>Fraction of assimilated carbon to leaves (initial, final)</td>
<td>0.4, 0.05</td>
<td>0.8, 0.1</td>
<td>This paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{\text{stem}}$</td>
<td>Fraction of assimilated carbon to stems (initial, final)</td>
<td>0.4, 0.1</td>
<td>0.1, 0.8</td>
<td>This paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_{\text{root}}$</td>
<td>Fraction of assimilated carbon to roots (initial, final)</td>
<td>0.2, 0.2</td>
<td>0.1, 0.1</td>
<td>This paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{cdays}}$</td>
<td>Consecutive days below killing temperature threshold</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>F. G. Dohleman (unpublished results)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Value adjusted from 25 to 15°C using the temperature correction in Bernacchi et al. (2001).
†An ideal harvest management strategy has not yet been identified. Thus a date representing the end of the calendar year was chosen arbitrarily.

GDD, growing degree days; LAI, leaf area index; SLA, specific leaf area.
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conducted to examine the impact of large-scale miscanthus production on the hydrologic cycle.

Model description

Agro-IBIS is a DGVM adapted from IBIS (Foley et al., 1996; Kucharik et al., 2000) to simulate the growth and management of crops (Kucharik & Brye, 2003). Agro-IBIS and its predecessor have been evaluated extensively at multiple temporal and spatial scales representing most climate regimes. Evaluations include comparisons with satellite estimates of vegetation phenology (Twine & Kucharik, 2008) and regional and local measurements of soil moisture and temperature (Delire & Foley, 1999), surface energy fluxes (Delire & Foley, 1999; El Maayar et al., 2001; Kucharik & Twine, 2007),

Table 2  Summary of data available for model evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Temporal resolution</th>
<th>Growing season(s)</th>
<th>Location(s)</th>
<th>Sources</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LAI</td>
<td>Biweekly</td>
<td>2005, 2006</td>
<td>UISF</td>
<td>Heaton et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yield</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>2004–2008</td>
<td>UISF, SB, SP</td>
<td>Heaton et al. (2008); F. G. Dohleman (unpublished results)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


![Fig. 1](image-url) Potential vegetation land cover classification and fraction cover as simulated by Agro-IBIS (a; valid 2002); fraction crop cover circa 1992, adapted from Donner (2003) for corn (b) soybean (c) spring wheat (d), and winter wheat (e).
crop yield (Kucharik, 2003), and river discharge and water balance (Kucharik et al., 2000; Lenters et al., 2000; Donner et al., 2002; Vano et al., 2006).

The number of soil layers is variable in Agro-IBIS, with each layer described by one of 11 soil texture classes (for this study eleven layers totaling 2.5 m in thickness were used). Agro-IBIS simulates water usage by depth (i.e., trees take up water from deeper soil thickness were used). Agro-IBIS simulates water usage classes (for this study eleven layers totaling 2.5 m in thickness were used). Agro-IBIS simulates water usage by depth (i.e., trees take up water from deeper soil thickness were used). Agro-IBIS simulates water usage classes (for this study eleven layers totaling 2.5 m in thickness were used). Agro-IBIS simulates water usage classes (for this study eleven layers totaling 2.5 m in thickness were used).

Simulations of leaf-level photosynthesis (Farquhar et al., 1991) are scaled to the canopy to simulate fluxes of carbon, water, and energy based on the land atmosphere physics presented in the land-surface transfer scheme (LSX) model (Thompson & Pollard, 1995a, b). Growth and development is dictated by phenological stages that are based on growing degree days (GDD).

The hydrologic cycle is simulated in Agro-IBIS as

\[ T_{run} = P - ET - \text{storage}, \]

where \( T_{run} \) is the sum of drainage through the soil column and surface runoff, \( P \) is precipitation, and storage is the sum of moisture stored in the soil column and on the canopy and ground surfaces. \( ET \) is the sum of transpiration from the vegetation canopy and evaporation from the soil surface and vegetation canopy. The same initial conditions (e.g. soil properties) and climate forcing for each independent grid cell as determined by the respective input datasets were used for each simulation in this study. Therefore, any changes in the hydrologic cycle are a result of differences in land cover in the respective simulations.

**Implementation**

**Site-specific.** We conducted the UISF simulations for a 4-year period using site-specific soil properties and meteorological driving data (i.e., air temperature, wind speed, radiation, precipitation, and relative humidity) from 2004 to 2007. A full description of the UISF site has been provided previously (Heaton et al., 2008; Dohleman & Long, 2009). Precipitation data were collected from Willard Airport (40.04N, –88.27W) while

\[ \text{ETTOT} = 0.25 \times \text{ETMXG} + 0.75 \times \text{ETCUR}, \]

where \( \text{ETTOT} \) is the total grid cell value, \( \text{ETMXG} \) is miscanthus ET, and \( \text{ETCUR} \) is current vegetation ET. The current vegetation value is comprised of weighted.
values of each land cover type that may include both natural vegetation and annual crops.

Parameterization. Key model parameters modified to represent miscanthus include specific leaf area (SLA), maximum rate of rubisco activity, intrinsic quantum efficiency, maximum canopy height, maximum leaf area index, and carbon allocation to leaf, stem, and root. Modeled carbon allocation fractions vary with development and were based on measurements of SLA, LAI, and aboveground biomass throughout the growing season. When possible, parameter values were chosen based on observations published previously (Table 1).

Evaluation. Key simulated variables evaluated include leaf photosynthesis ($A_n$), LAI, yield, and latent heat flux. Previously published data of biomass sampled several times per year (Heaton et al., 2008; Dohleman & Long, 2009; F. G. Dohleman, unpublished results) were used to evaluate simulated annual values for 2004–2008. Hourly $A_n$ was evaluated with observations taken several times throughout the growing season for 2005–2007 (Dohleman & Long, 2009; Dohleman et al., 2009). Biweekly measurements of LAI (Heaton et al., 2008) were used to evaluate simulated values throughout the 2005 and 2006 growing seasons. Simulated daily mean latent heat flux was evaluated with measurements collected over the 2007 growing season (Hickman et al., 2010, in press).

Results

Model evaluation

Simulated hourly $A_n$ corresponds with observed values and captures photosynthetic responses to meteorological forcing and phenotypic changes ($r^2 = 0.85$; slope = 0.99; Fig. 2). Simulated LAI throughout the growing season is similar to observations ($r^2 = 0.93$; slope = 1.02; Fig. 3a) and captures the increase early in the season, the maximal values, and the loss in LAI later in the season (Fig. 3b). The 4-year (2004–2007) mean simulated yield ($30.9 \pm 1.1 \text{ Mg ha}^{-1}$) is similar to the observed value ($32.7 \pm 4.0 \text{ Mg ha}^{-1}$; Fig. 4). Agro-IBIS simulates highest yield in 2006 ($33.6 \text{ Mg ha}^{-1}$), which is also the year with the highest observed miscanthus yield in Central Illinois ($44.1 \pm 2.6 \text{ Mg ha}^{-1}$). The CRU and NCEP/NCAR meteorological dataset ends in 2002 before observations of miscanthus are available for the Midwest US. Therefore, the most robust comparison of our long-term (1963–2002) mean regional values is with the mean of the only multiyear (2004–2008) biomass observation dataset. Across the state of Illinois, simu-
lated values range from 24 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) in the north to 33 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) in the south and correspond well with observed yield data (Fig. 5).

The observed mean daily latent heat flux over the growing season is 153.2 W m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\) and the simulated mean is 105.7 W m\(^{-2}\) day\(^{-1}\). This difference is equivalent to 272 mm of water evapotranspired over the growing season. Variability in simulated daily mean latent heat flux is captured well throughout the growing season, with simulated values closely corresponding to daily maxima and minima (Fig. 6). While simulations capture the physiological responses to day-to-day changes in forcing, there is a consistent low bias in the simulated values.

**Regional simulations**

We present results as differences in 40-year mean simulated hydrologic components between the miscanthus cover scenarios and the current cover. The 40-year mean precipitation as well as the simulated 40-year mean ET and drainage for the current land cover are shown in Fig. 7. There is a general pattern of increased precipitation, ET, and to a lesser extent, drainage from the northwest corner to the southeast corner of the domain.

Differences in ET between the 100% miscanthus cover scenario and the current land cover scenario are evident over nearly the entire study domain (Fig. 8a). There exists variation in the areas of increased ET, with southern Missouri and western Kansas having little to no increase and a region extending from southwestern Minnesota to southern Kansas as well as Michigan showing increases that exceed 200 mm yr\(^{-1}\). Other locations, including the highly productive Corn Belt (the area of high corn fraction cover in Fig. 1b), show increases that range between 50 and 150 mm yr\(^{-1}\). Drainage is less in the 100% miscanthus simulation than for current cover over nearly the entire region, with decreases ranging from 50 to 250 mm yr\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 8b). The spatial pattern of differences in drainage is inverted relative to ET as a result of the current land cover simulation and all miscanthus scenario simulations.
Changes in surface runoff are negligible in the regional hydrological budget (Fig. 8c). For most of the Midwest, the largest differences in ET and drainage between miscanthus and current vegetation occur during the time period when miscanthus is growing, with negligible differences outside of this period. In May, the differences in ET between the 100% miscanthus cover and the current land cover are variable across the domain (Fig. 9a). In drier regions (Fig. 7a) and where miscanthus is replacing annual crops (Fig. 1), increases range from 0.4 to greater than 2 mm day$^{-1}$. During the summer, the 100% miscanthus land cover shows consistent and widespread increases in ET relative to the existing land cover with largest increases in the northern evergreen needle leaf forests and grasslands of Nebraska and Kansas (Fig. 9b). Compared with May, the summer differences are diminished in the south and amplified in the north, which corresponds to emergence patterns. By September and October, a decline in transpiration of all vegetation types results in a homogeneous pattern of increases between 0.4 and 0.8 mm day$^{-1}$ for miscanthus (Fig. 9c).

As was observed with the annual means, the seasonal pattern of increases in ET is generally matched with corresponding decreases in drainage (Fig. 9d–f). However, there is slight variation in the spatial pattern of decreases in drainage relative to the increases in ET, with May and summer having fewer occurrences of statistically significant changes in the southeast region of the domain (Fig. 9d and e).

Given that more energy is going into ET, areas planted with miscanthus relative to current land cover will likely experience a cooling at the land surface. Furthermore, land cover change alters the reflectivity of the surface and the amount of radiation absorbed by the canopy, thereby impacting the surface energy budget. After accounting for differences in simulated reflectivity of the surface and the dynamical changes resulting from conversion to miscanthus, the model predicts decreases in annual mean sensible heat flux that range from 5 to 15 W m$^{-2}$ over former cropland, to near 20 Wm$^{-2}$ over former forest (Fig. 10a); and decreases in average annual canopy temperature that range from 0.5 $^\circ$C over former cropland, to 0.8 $^\circ$C over former forests (Fig. 10b). In grid cells where miscanthus replaces grassland, average canopy temperature increases in May by nearly 1 $^\circ$C as the miscanthus begins its growing season before the existing grasses and absorbs more solar radiation. The long-term effects of such temperature adjustments are unknown but they have the potential to affect a number of temperature-sensitive ecosystem and biological processes.

When miscanthus is produced at 10% fraction cover, little to no changes occur in ET (Fig. 11a) and drainage (data not shown). At 25% miscanthus fraction cover, increases of 40–80 mm yr$^{-1}$ in ET are found in portions of the Midwest (Fig. 11b). A marked change in ET occurs when fraction cover increases to 50% or greater. With 50% miscanthus cover, the majority of the Midwest shows increases of 40–160 mm yr$^{-1}$ (Fig. 11c). With 75% miscanthus cover, most of the Midwest shows increases $>80$ mm yr$^{-1}$ with maximum increases of 200 mm yr$^{-1}$ in the southwest region (Fig. 11d).
Discussion

Simulations indicate minimal impacts on the hydrologic cycle at 10% miscanthus fraction cover. Considering that the AEI goals could be met by producing miscanthus on <10% of US agricultural land (Heaton et al., 2008), uniform distribution of miscanthus over the Midwest US would have little to no impact on the hydrologic cycle. However, it has been proposed that the energetic and economic costs of producing energy from biomass would be minimized if biomass crops are planted in high fractions surrounding biorefineries in ‘hot spots’ and transported within the radius of US counties (Khanna et al., 2008; Kim & Dale, 2008, 2009; Lambert et al., 2008). Often in the Midwest, corn–soy rotations in agriculturally intense counties compose the majority of land cover. Therefore, if the model for production of corn ethanol is replaced with miscanthus, even given higher productivity, it is likely that fraction covers of miscanthus would exceed 25% or even 50%. If this scenario is realized, the results presented here indicate that significant changes in the hydrologic cycle would occur.

The results of these model scenarios show that the changes in hydrology are a function of differences in growing season length, leaf physiology, and maximum LAI. The higher ET for miscanthus relative to existing land cover is a consequence of miscanthus emergence in mid- to late April, a month or more earlier than most annual crops emerge (Fig. 9a). Higher ET from miscanthus continues into September and October (Fig. 9c) because of the lengthened growing season of miscanthus. In summer, the increased ET (Fig. 9b) is driven by a combination of higher LAI (Heaton et al., 2008) and/or lower water use efficiency (Hickman et al., 2010, in press).

Because Agro-IBIS simulates evaporation from the soil surface and vegetation canopy, simulated ET from miscanthus differs from that of other vegetation types as a result of differences in transpiration, differences in interception of water by the canopy, and differences in canopy shading of the soil surface. Analysis of these components of ET is beyond the scope of this study, however, our simulation results show greater transpiration from miscanthus than maize at the UISF site, which is in agreement with results of Hickman et al. (2010, in press). The model also predicts that evaporation of canopy-intercepted water from miscanthus is greater than that from maize but less than that of deciduous forest. Evaporation rates of canopy-intercepted water from miscanthus, though less than transpiration rates in our simulations, are significant and warrant further study as increases in this component of ET from land cover change imply an increased proportion of rainfall that is not available to the plant (Finch & Riche, 2010).

The results reported here are consistent with a number of previous studies representing a range of land use changes showing significant impacts on large-scale

Fig. 8 Difference (100% miscanthus – current vegetation) in simulated 40-year (1963–2002) mean evapotranspiration (a), drainage (b), and surface runoff (c). Hatching indicates a statistically significant ($P < 0.05$) difference according to Student’s $t$-test.
hydrology (Bosch & Hewlett, 1982; Bonan, 1997; Baron et al., 1998; Snyder et al., 2004; Twine et al., 2004; Foley et al., 2005). Twine et al. (2004) suggested that the large-scale conversion from native vegetation to the current annual crop-dominated cover may have reduced ET by ~75 mm yr\(^{-1}\) in the Corn-Belt. The increases in ET shown in our simulations suggest that miscanthus water use may be more similar to the past vegetation cover than the current cover. Changes in ET due to miscanthus production have also been predicted to

![Fig. 9](image1.png)  
**Fig. 9** Difference (100% miscanthus – current vegetation) in simulated 40-year (1963–2002) mean evapotranspiration (a, b, c) and drainage (d, e, f) for May (a, d), combined June, July, and August (b, e) and combined September and October (c, f). Hatching as in Fig. 8.

![Fig. 10](image2.png)  
**Fig. 10** Difference (100% miscanthus – current vegetation) in simulated 40-year (1963–2002) annual mean sensible heat flux (a) and canopy temperature (b). Hatching as in Fig. 8.
affect local and regional climate by reducing sensible heat flux and near surface air temperature during the summer (Georgescu et al., 2009). It is anticipated that a variety of biofuel feedstocks, chosen based on climate, soils, and hydrology, will be best suited to meet biomass needs, with miscanthus production focused in areas where water is less limiting (Heaton et al., 2004).

While simulations agree well with most observations, latent heat flux is underestimated by the model (Fig. 6). The residual energy balance method for estimating latent heat flux used in this model evaluation forces closure in the energy budget and lumps error into latent heat flux. The most accurate measures of canopy fluxes seldom achieve $> \sim 80\%$ energy balance closure (Twine et al., 2000; Wilson et al., 2002; Oncley et al., 2007); therefore, it is likely that ET estimated via the residual energy balance approach is biased high by at least 20\%. Given this bias, it is possible that the simulations are closer to the actual fluxes than the evaluation presented here indicates. To test this, we regressed simulated latent heat flux with observations collected over a nearby cornfield and found Agro-IBIS to slightly overestimate LE (Agro-IBIS = 1.08 \times \text{obs} – 6.88; $r^2 = 0.80$) relative to eddy covariance observations, and to underestimate LE (Agro-IBIS = 0.82 \times \text{obs} – 16.95; $r^2 = 0.78$) relative to estimates from the residual method. While for the most part the simulations agree well with the variety of observations collected for miscanthus, the lack of mature field trials within the study domain could potentially limit the scalability of our results.

In this study we focus on changes in surface hydrology, yet alterations to the surface energy budget due to large-scale miscanthus production could potentially impact atmospheric processes and alter the intensity and distribution of precipitation (Jackson et al., 2005). The potential feedbacks between miscanthus and the environment could drive large-scale changes in the hydrologic cycle over time and should be accounted for in future simulations.

**Conclusion**

The Agro-IBIS model was adapted to simulate the production of miscanthus, a proposed bioenergy feedstock for the Midwest US, to assess the impacts on hydrology. Simulations indicated statistically significant increases in ET and decreases in drainage over much of the Midwest for moderate cover fractions of miscanthus, however the extent varied based on percent miscanthus coverage and location. While we were able to quantify changes in hydrology for varying fraction covers, the long-term consequences to water resources and crop productivity for the Midwest remain uncertain. Future work should include coupled simulations of atmospheric and groundwater processes to improve the understanding of the impacts of biofuel production on hydrology and to assess the sustainability of biofuel production. The results of this analysis show that as the biofuel industry transitions to highly productive cellulosic feedstocks, it will be integral to account for the role

---

**Fig. 11** Simulated difference (miscanthus – current vegetation) in 40-year (1963–2002) annual mean evapotranspiration for 10\% (a), 25\% (b), 50\% (c), and 75\% (d) fraction covers of miscanthus. Hatching as in Fig. 8.
of water as a potential limiting factor in sustainable biofuel production.
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