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I. INTRODUCTION

In 1948, Julius Cohen wrote,

There are signs of an unusual ferment in American legal education. Law faculties all over the country are busily engaged in re-examining, overhauling, and revamping their . . . curricula; special committees have been created by the Association of American Law Schools for the express purpose of revaluing the
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objectives of legal education; and even the American Bar Association has just launched a comprehensive study of the legal profession to determine, among other things, just "what we are educating [law] students for, and whether the type of education we offer prepares our students for the tasks they perform in the profession." 1

Professor Cohen wrote the above in reference to a supposed legal education crisis. The sentiments or observations Professor Cohen mentioned nearly sixty-five years ago still ring true today.

Once again, legal education is in an apparent crisis and at a crossroads. 2 Law faculties and administrations are scrambling to attract and retain quality law students and preparing their students to make it past the biggest test for entry into the legal profession: the bar exam.

In April 2013, the American Bar Association's (ABA) Task Force on the Future of Education held a meeting. 3 The committee debated particular challenges and anxieties present in legal education, including whether there should be greater heterogeneity among law schools related to missions, goals, and program structures, *inter alia*, costs and economics of legal education, and the delivery and regulation of legal education. 4 The impetus for the mini conference is multi-faceted, but

---

2. Lincoln Caplan, *An Existential Crisis for Law Schools*, N.Y. TIMES, July 15, 2012, at SR10, ("A growing list of deans acknowledge that legal education is facing an existential crisis, but the transformation to a more sustainable model will be difficult and messy."); Philip J. Closius, *American Law Schools in Crisis*, BALT. SUN, June 4, 2012 (declaring that the "Golden Age of American legal education is dead"); *see also* Paul Campos, *The Crisis of the American Law School*, 46 U. MICH. J.L. REFORM 177, 179 (2012) (noting that the shrinkage of the new lawyer employment market in addition to swelling education costs "produce[s] what many now recognize as a genuine crisis for both law schools and the legal profession").
mainly to review and, hopefully, strengthen the quality of legal education.5

The ABA Task Force met to focus on the future of legal education.6 The Task Force discussed the following relevant topics: (1) the primary influences motivating “the current challenges and anxieties in legal education” and forecast for the next four years; (2) the role and structure of the task force to remedy imperfections in the system of legal education as it currently exists; (3) how to draft or modify ABA Standards to tackle the criticisms of the current legal education system; and (4) whether the task force should make recommendations for change, and how those recommendations may be structured in a manner which “promote continuing, institutionalized treatment of challenges and changes in legal education.”7

Undoubtedly law schools share these concerns. Virtually all law schools wish to develop programs that inevitably endow their students with a broad range of skills that enable them to be competent members of the legal profession. However, due to multiple factors, such as “generational shifts” or educational gaps, some law students are unable to successfully pass a bar exam.8 To address these low bar passage rates, and not run afoul of ABA standards, many law schools, but not all, have restructured their curricula to offer bar exam preparation courses.9

---

5. See Am. Bar Ass'n, infra note 92.
7. Id.
8. Denise Riebe, A Bar Review for Law Schools: Getting Students on Board to Pass Their Bar Exams, 45 Brandeis L.J. 269, 270, 285 (2007) (identifying students groups with higher risks of failing a bar exam, including minority students, older students, students who financially support themselves, students with families, students without financial resources to take a commercial bar review course, students who attempt to take two states’ bar exams within one test taking administration, and re-takers).
This Article analyzes the legal education crisis. It argues that law schools must squarely identify the issues causing the crisis and then set forth a survival action plan. From time to time, legal educators must ask themselves, “Are we arming our students with the necessary tools for success?”, whether it is in the classroom or beyond. A solution may include offering bar preparation programs for credit.\textsuperscript{10} It may not be enough to simply teach doctrinal classes without something further for some current (and future) students. Although there may be some trepidation that devoting too many resources to bar passage programs may result in law schools having to “teach to the test,”\textsuperscript{11} I argue that offering such programs is a necessary evil, especially in this climate and for the students who are now walking the halls of many law schools.

Part II of this Article focuses on the evolution and causes of the present legal education crisis. Crises precipitate change, which can be


\textsuperscript{11} Letter from Co-Presidents of SALT & President of CLEA, infra note 121.
beneficial. This crisis may require law schools to make systematic adjustments as well. Part III has a dual purpose. First, it offers a short history of the bar examination and the metamorphosis of law school-sponsored bar preparation programs. Second, Part III discusses the American Bar Association standards that drive law schools’ concern for bar passage rates. Part IV showcases the wide array of bar preparation programs that several U.S. law schools offer. Part V concludes by arguing that bar preparation programs are, indeed, necessary if one takes into account all external and internal forces at play.

II. LEGAL EDUCATION IN CRISIS

A. The Legal Education “Perfect Storm”

The legal education crisis in many ways mirrors the current U.S. mortgage foreclosure crisis. For example, there was once a “boom” in legal education, with a high number of applicants applying to law school and law students attaining gainful employment upon graduation. Subsequently, however, there was a “bust.” The law school bust happened when permanent, full-time legal jobs shrank, tuition costs skyrocketed, and applications began to fall. Similar to the U.S. mortgage foreclosure crisis, this legal education crisis is escapable—with the passage of time and after reformatory efforts.

There are numerous complications that play a role in this current crisis. One major contribution is disillusionment by law students and


alumna.\textsuperscript{15} Law students are graduating with historically high debt loads.\textsuperscript{16} Although some students receive aid from parents, many pay for their legal education with federal and private loans.\textsuperscript{17}

U.S. News & World Report reported that the following ten law schools have the highest graduate debt load in 2013.\textsuperscript{18} Students at Thomas Jefferson School of Law in San Diego, California ranked first on the list with a typical debt load of $168,800.\textsuperscript{19} 98% of Thomas Jefferson graduates left law school with educational debt.\textsuperscript{20} California Western School of Law placed second, where its graduates had debt of up to approximately $167,867.\textsuperscript{21} Approximately 89% of California Western graduates have some sort of debt.\textsuperscript{22} Arizona Summit Law School (formerly Phoenix School of Law) ranked third, with students typically graduating with $162,627 in debt; 97% of its graduates have debt upon graduation.\textsuperscript{23} Fourth, Northwestern University's students averaged $156,791 in post-law school debt; 82% of its students graduate with debt.\textsuperscript{24} Fifth, students at New York Law School leave law school with roughly $154,647 of debt; 83% its students graduate with debt.\textsuperscript{25} Sixth, American University's students may graduate with approximately $152,659 of debt, with 77% of graduates with debt.\textsuperscript{26} Seventh, New York University may graduate students with $149,336 in debt; 81% of its students graduate with debt.\textsuperscript{27} Southwestern Law School in Los Angeles,

\textsuperscript{15} Palazzolo, supra note 14. See, e.g., Elie Mystal, Law Students at a Top School Protest Continued Unemployment, ABOVE THE LAW (Mar. 29, 2011, 4:16 PM), http://avethelaw.com/2011/03/law-students-at-a-top-school-protest-continued-unemployment/ (discussing graduates' protests over high debt loads and unemployment and noting that students were selling t-shirts that read “Virginia Law $40,000 a year and No Job”).

\textsuperscript{16} Debra Cassens Weiss, Average Debt of Private Law Schools is $125,000; It's Highest at These Five Schools, A.B.A. J. (Mar. 28, 2012, 5:29 AM), http://www.abajournal.com/news/article/average_debt_load_of_private_law_grads_is_125k_these_five_schools_lead_to_mv/.


\textsuperscript{19} Id.
\textsuperscript{20} Id.
\textsuperscript{21} Id.
\textsuperscript{22} Id.
\textsuperscript{23} Id.
\textsuperscript{24} Which Law School Graduates, supra note 18.
\textsuperscript{25} Id.
\textsuperscript{26} Id.
\textsuperscript{27} Id.
California was eighth.\textsuperscript{28} Its students may graduate with $147,976 in debt; around 79\% of its graduates leave law school with some debt.\textsuperscript{29} Georgetown University's graduates placed ninth with $146,169 of debt; 78\% of students graduate with debt.\textsuperscript{30} Tenth, at Whittier College in Costa Mesa, California, graduates have $143,536 of debt; at least 92\% of its graduates leave law school with some debt.\textsuperscript{31} For 2010, the reported average debt is $98,500\textsuperscript{32} or $125,000\textsuperscript{33} nationally, whichever report is most believable.

Despite the crushing debts, students who graduate and pass the bar find themselves unable to procure employment.\textsuperscript{34} Some reports maintain that merely 55\% of graduates in 2011 had obtained full-time, permanent legal jobs within nine months after graduation.\textsuperscript{35}

Several law schools reported less than the average numbers.\textsuperscript{36} Schools such as Whittier College, University of the District of Columbia, Golden Gate University, Thomas Jefferson School of Law, and Western New England University posted abysmal numbers. Only 17\% of Whittier College's graduates obtained full-time, permanent legal employment within nine months after graduation.\textsuperscript{37} For the University of the District of Columbia, 21\% of its graduates obtained full-time, permanent legal employment during the golden period following graduation.\textsuperscript{38} Golden Gate University reported that only 22\% of its graduates obtained full-time, permanent legal employment in nine months following graduation.\textsuperscript{39} 27\% of Thomas Jefferson School of Law's graduates found full-time, permanent legal employment after graduation.\textsuperscript{40} And 30\% of Western New England University's graduates attained full-time, permanent employment within nine months following graduation.\textsuperscript{41} A number of graduates have sued their law schools based on misleading

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textsuperscript{28} Id.
  \item \textsuperscript{29} Id.
  \item \textsuperscript{30} Which Law School Graduates, supra note 18.
  \item \textsuperscript{31} Id.
  \item \textsuperscript{32} Caplan, supra note 2.
  \item \textsuperscript{33} Weiss, supra note 16.
  \item \textsuperscript{34} See Campos, supra note 2.
  \item \textsuperscript{35} Caplan, supra note 2; Palazzolo, supra note 14.
  \item \textsuperscript{36} Palazzolo, supra note 14.
  \item \textsuperscript{37} Id.
  \item \textsuperscript{38} Id.
  \item \textsuperscript{39} Id.
  \item \textsuperscript{40} Id.
  \item \textsuperscript{41} Id.
\end{itemize}
employment disclosures. Not all the news is disappointing on the employment front. A few schools reported greater than average employment success. The University of Virginia boasted that 95% of its graduates had acquired full-time, permanent legal employment. 94% of Columbia University's graduates had achieved full-time, permanent employment within nine months following graduation. At Stanford University, 91% of its graduates obtained full-time, permanent legal employment in nine months after graduation. 90% of graduates at New York University and Harvard found full-time, permanent employment within nine months following graduation.

Due to decreased applications, some law schools might need to accept students with lower educational credentials and test scores than they have in more competitive years. As such, some law professors have expressed disenchantment or frustration with the current state of affairs regarding the legal education system. Law professors at some institutions are discovering that students are unable to self-sufficiently navigate the rigors of law school. In a recent article, Professor Michele Goodwin stated that "very bright students now come to college and even law school ill-prepared for critical thinking, rigorous reading, high-level writing, and working independently.

---

43. Palazzolo, supra note 14.
44. Id.
45. Id.
46. Id.
48. Michele Goodwin, Law Professors See the Damage Done by 'No Child Left Behind,' CHRON. HIGHER EDUC. (Mar. 12, 2013), http://chronicle.com/blogs/conversation/2013/03/12/law-professors-see-the-damage-
mentioned that professors at highly ranked law schools had to teach
students very elemental skills, such as writing a business letter. 49
Another complaint is "that grading exams is far more difficult now
because the writing skills of students are so deficient that each exam
requires several reads." 50 These law professors do not believe that current
law students lack the aptitude to do well in law school, but that a federal
education policy known as "No Child Left Behind" hinders the
students. 51 The No Child Left Behind policy has a goal of closing
educational gaps between disadvantaged children and children in other
economic classes. 52 It has been argued that this policy, though noble, has
a misdirected focus in that the focus in determining education equality
has been on outcome measures such as performance on standardized
tests. 53 In essence, under the new policy, elementary and secondary
schools changed their teaching methods to "teach to the test" instead of
teaching students to use "critical thinking, higher-order reasoning and . . .
develop[] . . . creative-writing skills." 54
Similarly, judges and prospective employers persistently argue that
law school graduates are unprepared to practice law. 55 During a recent
speech at a University of New Hampshire Alumni Dinner, Justice
Antonin Scalia expressed his disdain for the current state of legal
education. 56 He asserted that "law schools are increasingly divorced from

49. Goodwin, supra note 48.
50. Id.
52. Pub. L. No. 107-110, 115 Stat. 1425. It is widely believed that the No Child Left
Behind policy has been ineffective in other ways. Promise of No Child Left Behind Falls
Short After 10 Years, U.S.A. TODAY (Jan. 17, 2012), http://usatoday30.usatoday.com/news/education/story/2012-01-07/no-child-left-behind-anniversary/52430772/1. One major complaint is that there is far too much stress on math
and reading tests, sacrificing a more well-rounded education. Id. Additionally, schools
have skirted the policy or failed to meet it. Id. According to Representative George
Miller, who is a lead Democrat on the House Education and Workforce Committee,
"schools were being held out as exceeding in their mission, when in fact they were failing
many, many of the children in those schools." Id.
53. Goodwin, supra note 48.
54. Id.
55. See, e.g., BRIAN Z. TAMANAH, FAILING LAW SCHOOLS 160 (2012); ROY STUCKEY
56. See Richard Fogal, Opinion: UNH Law Alum Reacts to Justice Scalia’s Remarks,
issue.asp?id=6818.
the realities of the practice of law” and “law graduates are unprepared for
the practice of law.” Justice Scalia’s assertion echoes the moans of
prospective employers. For several years, prospective employers have
been urging law schools to graduate “practice ready” new lawyers, those
who are able to enter practice running.

As a result, law school applications have steadily declined. Increased competition for the most prized law students means that law
schools must inevitably consider legal education reformation to bow to
the demands of the consumerist market. Schools should focus on how to
retain students, create successful graduates, and attract quality
prospective students. Admission officers and curriculum committees are
now well aware that students are looking to get the biggest bang for their
bucks. The “bang” that students likely seek includes low tuition costs,
scholarship offers, and bar passage preparation programs.

B. Law Schools’ Response

Legal education has undergone curricular reformation since the
professions began. As the economic meltdown continues, law school
costs continue to rise while job opportunities deteriorate. Additionally,
law schools’ tuitions have dramatically increased since 1971. For

57. Id.
58. Id.
59. STUCKEY, supra note 55.
60. Ethan Bronner, Law School’s Applications Fall as Costs Rise and Jobs Are Cut,
N.Y. TIMES, Jan. 30, 2013, at A1, available at
http://www.nytimes.com/2013/01/31/education/law-schools-applications-fall-as-costs-rise-and-jobs-are-cut.html?_r=0 (stating that “applications are headed for a 30-year low”
and noting a 20% decline in applications from January 2012).
61. Id.
62. Anna Smushkovich, Law Schools Are Big Business; They Should Think Like
Businesses When Trying to Attract Students, FATTED GOOSE (Mar. 11, 2013),
63. See supra Part II.A.
64. David Segal, Is Law School a Losing Game?, N.Y. TIMES, Jan. 8, 2011, at BU1,
(stating that “a generation of J.D.‘s face the grimmest job market in decades”); Jordan
Weissman, The Job Crisis at Our Best Law Schools Is Much, Much Worse Than You
Think, ATLANTIC (Apr. 9, 2013), http://www.theatlantic.com/business/archive
/2013/04/the-jobs-crisis-at-our-best-law-schools-is-much-much-worse-than-you-
think/274795/; Campos, supra note 2, at 179-80 (describing tuition costs at the University
of Michigan Law School from 1984 to date and the University of Colorado Law School
from thirty years ago to date and arguing that “[m]any public law schools now charge
more in resident tuition than even the most expensive private schools charged,” putting
public legal education on the nearly extinct list.).
65. Campos, supra note 2 at 180.
example, Harvard Law School cost a mere $12,386 in 1971.66 In 2012, tuition at Harvard Law School increased to $50,880.67 Additionally, the median resident tuition at ABA-accredited public law schools increased from $3,746 in 1985 to $19,788 in 2011.68 Professor Campos also pointed out that since the mid-1980s private law schools’ tuition increased an astonishing 155.8%.69

The ABA and administrators need to ask themselves what is the future of legal education and how can legal curricula be reformed to pander to its “consumers” and meet the current needs of the legal community.70 To respond to these needs, law schools have implemented bar preparation programs.71 Some law schools’ programs are arming students with critical skills to pass the bar examination, while others are arguably “teaching to the test.”72 Good law school bar exam preparation programs reinforce the substantive law previously taught and simulate bar exam conditions. They provide students with tactics and much practice.

Law school administrations are aware that bar passage rates do not merely affect the takers. Bar passage rates affect the law school’s institution, faculty, and staff.73 There are ABA pressures for consistently poor bar results.74 These pressures demand more time and resources to address the problems. Likewise, school rankings might be affected on national law school ranking lists.75 Similarly, faculty might become disillusioned by the quality of “kids these days.” Satisfactory bar passage rates are an important piece of the puzzle in attracting new students and satisfying graduates. Despite fears, law schools must resist the pull to use pedagogical methods that simply educate students on how to pass the bar

66. Id.
67. Id.
68. Id. (quoting AM. BAR ASS’N, REPORT ON LAW SCHOOL TUITION 1985-2011)
69. Id. at 181.
71. See infra Part IV.
72. Some law schools allow students to take bar preparation course for graduation credit on a voluntary basis, while others are considering making (or have made) the courses required for some. See Jones, supra note 9.
74. Id.
75. See id.
exam. Doing so, without more, will leave graduates unarmed to practice the law proficiently.

III. LAW SCHOOL CURRICULAR REFORM

"Law schools bear a fiduciary responsibility to prepare students to pass the bar exam."76

A. History of Bar Preparation Programs

Prior to the 1800s, one became a lawyer through apprenticeships or oral examination.77 Those interested in practicing law learned the law under the guidance of a practicing attorney; no examination or specific education was required.78 In 1855, Massachusetts initiated the first bar examination.79 Historically, law students passed the bar exam through lectures and independent study.80 It was noted that “[a]t one time, new law graduates prepared themselves for the bar exam by studying on their own or, in some instances, attending ad hoc lectures given by a local lawyer or judge.”81

In a 2008 survey, it was uncovered that 38% of students who responded said their schools did not offer any formal bar exam preparation programs.82 Nearly “one-third of aspiring lawyers,” according to the survey, “gave their law schools a ‘D’ or ‘F’ in bar exam prep.”83 Students at these schools are left to pursue bar studies on their own or through a commercial bar preparation course, such as BARBRI or Kaplan.84

In the 1980s law schools began building academic support programs.85 The primary aim of academic support programs was to provide additional support for the influx of minority law students.86 By

76. Sloan, supra note 3 (quoting Jeffrey Lewis, a professor at Saint Louis University School of Law).
78. Id. at 366.
79. Id. at 374.
80. Id. at 370.
81. Id.
83. Id.
84. See Jarvis, supra note 77.
85. Riebe, supra note 8, at 290.
86. Id.
the mid-1990s, however, more law schools set up academic support offices and broadened the focus to encapsulate all at-risk students and academic issues in general.\textsuperscript{87} Bar exam preparation courses were naturally born out of academic support programs.

Pace Law School was one of the first law schools to offer a bar preparation course for credit in 2005.\textsuperscript{88} Pace’s offering came three years before the ABA permitted such an offering.\textsuperscript{89} Prior ABA Standard 302(f) specified that “[a] law school may offer a bar examination preparation course, but may not grant credit for the course or require it as a condition of graduation.”\textsuperscript{90} Following the repeal of ABA Standard 302(f), more law schools got on board and offered bar preparation courses.\textsuperscript{91}

\textbf{B. ABA Standards}

The ABA created a standing committee that dealt with legal education and admission to the bar in 1879.\textsuperscript{92} In 1921, the ABA unveiled its initial ABA Standards for Legal Education.\textsuperscript{93} States soon required applicants for bar admission to have graduated from a law school accredited by the ABA.\textsuperscript{94} Since 1952, the ABA has received the U.S. Department of Education’s stamp of approval to make rules and standards granting law school accreditation.\textsuperscript{95} Law schools incorporated ABA Standards on Legal Education or risked being de-accredited.\textsuperscript{96} The ABA set forth new methods of training new lawyers, abandoning the

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{87} Id.
\item \textsuperscript{88} See Jones, supra note 72.
\item \textsuperscript{89} Id.
\item \textsuperscript{90} AM. BAR ASS’N SECTION OF LEGAL EDUC. AND ADMISSIONS TO THE BAR, STANDARDS FOR APPROVAL OF LAW SCH. & INTERPRETATIONS § 302 (f) (1998) (repealed), quoted in Maureen Straub Kordesh, Reinterpreting ABA Standard 302(f) in Light of the Multistate Performance Test, 30 U. MEM. L. REV. 299, 300 (2000); see also Jones, supra note 9.
\item \textsuperscript{91} See infra Part IV.
\item \textsuperscript{93} Id.
\item \textsuperscript{94} Herb D. Vest, Felling the Giant: Breaking the ABA’s Stranglehold on Legal Education in America, 50 J. LEGAL ED. 494, 497 (2000) ("Between 1927 and 1941—in less than fifteen years—forty-one states came to require applicants to have graduated from an ABA-accredited law school to gain admission to the bar").
\item \textsuperscript{95} ABA STANDARDS FOR APPROVAL OF LAW SCHOOLS, supra note 92, at \textsuperscript{v}.
\item \textsuperscript{96} Id. at 78 (Rule 13 Action Concerning Apparent Non-Compliance with Standards).
\end{itemize}
ways of old. All ABA-accredited law schools now follow uniform rules.

Without question, most law schools have a goal to train students to be competent and practicing attorneys. Once a student completes his law school studies, graduation is the initial step that indicates a student’s competency to practice law. In addition to law school graduation, a student must jump another major hurdle on the road to becoming a competent and practicing attorney: he or she must pass a bar examination in the jurisdiction where he or she wishes to practice. Because this hurdle proves too high for some students, many law schools have adjusted their curriculum to include programs focused on preparing students for passing the bar exam.

Some students struggle to pass the bar exam, especially on the first try. Schools with lower bar exam passage rates run the risk of falling below the ABA standards. The ABA is the central body that regulates the standards for most law schools. It maintains rules by which accredited institutions and those seeking accreditation must adhere. Failure to abide by the ABA standards may result in a variety of sanctions or penalties.

Standard 301(a) of the ABA Standards and Rules states that “[a] law school shall maintain an educational program that prepares its students for admission to the bar, and effective and responsible participation in the legal profession.” According to the ABA Standards and Rules, one of the factors that illustrates a law school’s academic success is a law school’s bar passage rate. “Among the factors to be considered in assessing the extent to which a law school complies with this Standard are the rigor of its academic program, including its assessment of student performance, and the bar passage rates of its graduates.”

97. Vest, supra note 94.
98. Id.
101. ABA STANDARDS FOR APPROVAL OF LAW SCHOOLS, supra note 92, at 19 (Standard 301(a)).
102. Id. at 20-21 (Interpretation 301-6(C)). See id. at 78 (Rule 13(b) Action Concerning Apparent Non-Compliance with Standards).
103. Id.
104. Id.
105. Id. at 19-21 (Standard 301 Interpretations).
106. Id.
To guide law schools, the ABA has created interpretations to the rules.\textsuperscript{107} Interpretation of Standard 301(a) clarifies what is meant by sufficient bar passage rates.\textsuperscript{108} Under Interpretation 301-6, a law school must establish that it has satisfied the tests set forth for two groups: 1) “students who graduated from law school within the five most recently completed calendars years” and 2) law schools’ first time bar takers must be “no more than 15 points below the average” of first time bar takers from ABA-approved law schools taking the bar exam in the same jurisdiction in “three or more of the five most recently completed calendar years.”\textsuperscript{109}

Regarding the first group, at least 75% of law school graduates who took the bar exam must pass it.\textsuperscript{110} Alternatively, at least 75% of graduates must cumulatively pass the bar exam in at least three of the five calendar years.\textsuperscript{111}

In demonstrating compliance under sections (1) (a) and (b), the school must report bar passage results from as many jurisdictions as necessary to account for at least seventy-five percent of its graduates each year, starting with the jurisdiction in which the highest number of graduates took the bar exam and proceeding in descending order of frequency.\textsuperscript{112}

If the ABA determines that a law school failed to comply, then the school has two years to become compliant or be subjected to Rule 13(b) of the Rules of Procedure for Approval of Law.\textsuperscript{113}

Under Rule 13(b), once the ABA finds that a law school failed to comply, it will be called before a committee to determine whether the ABA should take “appropriate remedial action.”\textsuperscript{114} The committee may decide to sanction a law school, place it on probation, or remove it from

\textsuperscript{107} See generally ABA Standards for Approval of Law Schools, supra note 92, at 19-21 (Standard 301 Interpretations regarding the objectives of the requirements of a program of legal education).

\textsuperscript{108} Id. at 19 (Interpretation 301-1).

\textsuperscript{109} Id. at 20 (Interpretation 301-6(A)).

\textsuperscript{110} Id. (Interpretation 301-6(A)(1)(a)-(b)). Interpretation 301-6 states that “(a) 75 percent or more of these graduates who sat for the bar passed a bar examination, or (b) in at least three of these calendar years, 75 percent of the students graduating in those years and sitting for the bar have passed a bar examination.” Id.

\textsuperscript{111} Id.

\textsuperscript{112} ABA Standards for Approval of Law Schools, supra note 92, at 20 (Interpretation 301-6(A)).

\textsuperscript{113} Id. at 78 (Rule 13 Action Concerning Apparent Non-Compliance with Standards).

\textsuperscript{114} Id.
the list of ABA-approved law schools.\textsuperscript{115} Even if a law school is not in compliance, it will be given an additional period of time, not exceeding two years, to show compliance.\textsuperscript{116} If a law school cannot show compliance after this additional period of time, then the ABA will remove the law school from the list of ABA-approved law schools unless it can show good cause.\textsuperscript{117}

In 2013, the ABA announced that it is considering raising the minimum passage percentage for first time takers from 75\% to 80\%.\textsuperscript{118} Under this rule, at least 80\% of graduates must pass the bar exam within two years of graduation.\textsuperscript{119} If a law school fails to meet these standards, it will be out of compliance with Standard 301(a).\textsuperscript{120}

C. Minority Law Students Bar Passage Concerns

In response to this announcement by the ABA, the presidents of the Society of American Law Teachers (SALT) and the Clinical Legal Education Association (CLEA) wrote a letter to Jeffrey Lewis, Chairperson of the ABA’s Standards Review Committee.\textsuperscript{121} In the letter, the co-presidents expressed their concerns with making the bar passage standard more rigorous.\textsuperscript{122} Among their fears is that higher bar passage standards would detrimentally modify law school curricula in ways that would deprive law students of a well-rounded education.\textsuperscript{123} The co-presidents stated that a higher bar pass rate standard would push law schools “to ‘teach to the test’ rather than develop their programs to teach and assess the broader ranges of skills for the competent practice of
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Additionally, SALT and CLEA further discussed their trepidations related to a negative effect on student body diversity. The co-presidents argued that tougher bar passage rate standards would "undermine efforts to diversify the profession by discouraging schools from seeking to provide access to underrepresented groups." Law schools enhanced diversity efforts in the 1990s when they instituted "special admissions programs." The ABA also tightened the diversity vice grips by requiring all ABA-accredited schools "to take concrete steps to increase the number of minority lawyers in the profession." The Law School Admissions Council (LSAC) completed a survey project in light of arguments that minority law students performed less successfully on the bar exam than their non-minority counterparts. The 1998 LSAC study revealed the following bar passage rates among ethnic/racial groups: 82.2% of American Indians passed, 91.9% of Asian Americans passed, 77.6% of African Americans passed, 88.4% of Mexican Americans passed, 79.7% of Puerto Ricans passed, 89% of Hispanic Americans passed, and 96.7% of Caucasians passed. Later, in 2010, "only 61.4% of African American students" passed the bar on the first attempt. More disturbingly, many students who failed the bar exam on the first attempt did not make a second attempt.

Lack of diversity hurts the legal profession. Ethnic diversity may equal diversity. Additionally, because America is culturally and ethnically diverse, legal professionals will likely help a multitude of individuals with diverse backgrounds. Having an understanding of those backgrounds might inform the legal representation. If a byproduct of bar

124. Id.
125. Id.
126. Id.
128. Id.
129. See generally Ramsey, supra note 127.
130. Ramsey, supra note 127, at viii.
131. Michelle, The Diversity Challenge, Nat’l Jurist (Jul. 1, 2010), http://www.nationaljurist.com/content/diversity-challenge (citing a 1998 study by Law School Admission Council (LSAC)).
132. Id.
preparation programs increases all law students’ performance on the bar exam, then the legal profession would benefit overall as well.

IV. MODERN BAR PREPARATION PROGRAMS

In 2002, the American Association of Law Schools (AALS) published a survey it conducted concerning programs and courses designed to enhance bar exam performance to all member and fee-paid law schools.\textsuperscript{134} Of the 108 schools that answered the survey, nearly 39% answered that they sponsored courses, activities, or programs designed specifically to improve bar examination performance.\textsuperscript{135} According to the AALS, the programs that schools implemented were supplemental to commercial bar review programs and included (1) school-sponsored and administered bar review programs; (2) bar review programs offered with commercial bar review providers; (3) lectures on bar exam strategies; and (4) “mentoring and counseling programs.”\textsuperscript{136} Approximately 39% of law schools offering programs believed that their programs improved bar exam passage rates.\textsuperscript{137} A decade later, perhaps because the stars are all aligned (poor economy, the product of a failed federal education policy, and concerns of more stringent ABA standards on the horizon), law schools must turn their focus to how they prepare students for passing bar examinations.

In 2010, another ABA survey showed that 49% “of law schools offered bar preparation courses for credit.”\textsuperscript{138} This rise in programs follows the 2008 repeal of ABA Standard 302 and Interpretation 302-7.\textsuperscript{139} Law school curriculum committees’ changes are a clear acknowledgement of the decline in bar passage rates since the mid-1990s.\textsuperscript{140}

A review of contemporary bar exam preparation programs shows that there is a panoply of programs available. They involve any of the following: intensive personal coaching, for credit bar review courses,
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heavy load of required courses, state-focused course offerings, bar review focus throughout law school, post-graduation bar exam boot camps, flagging and releasing at-risk law students, critical skills programs focused on analysis and writing, or collaboration with commercial bar review programs. There is a shift from focusing on bar preparation only during a student’s final year of law school and instead maintaining a program from the onset of a student’s legal studies.

In February 2012, the National Jurist composed a list of “Best Schools for Bar Exam Preparation.” According to the National Jurist, the top ten law schools for bar exam preparation are 1) Louisiana State University; 2) Campbell University; 3) Stanford University; 4) Wake Forest University; 5) University of California, Berkeley; 6) University of Southern California; 7) North Carolina Central University; 8) Widener University (Penn); 9) University of Washington; and 10) Nova Southeastern University. The list is comprised of law schools whose bar passage rates are higher than students’ incoming law school admittance test scores would predict.

Though many were not surprised to see law schools like Stanford University or the University of California, Berkeley on the top ten list, schools like Campbell University, North Carolina Central University, and Nova Southeastern were surprises. Most likely, this is because higher rankings in U.S. News & World Report rankings are viewed as determinative of quality of education and thus skills to pass the bar exam. Perhaps this belief is simply elitist, an unfair assumption, or
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true. Even so, Campbell University has shattered beyond its national "ranking" and earned the second highest ranking for bar exam preparation.

A. Campbell University School of Law

Campbell University is a law school that emphasizes bar exam preparation from the onset of a law student's career. It offers multiple workshops that help law students transition into law school and work on rule synthesis and legal analysis. In 2010, Campbell University introduced a free summer class to help graduates write bar exam essays. In this course, students attend classes for about an hour two afternoons per week, and instructors review the students' written work and grade the students as if a bar examiner graded them. By the end of the program, students write approximately 40 bar exam practice essays.

Additionally, Campbell adheres to a strict grade curve. The school measures grading out of a score of 100, not on a 4.0 scale. Campbell uses this scale to determine a law student's competency and ability to continue with his or her legal studies. At Campbell, an examination score over 75 out of 100 means that a student obtains the same skills as a
competent lawyer.159 On the other hand, a score under 65 requires repetition of the course for receipt of academic credit.160 The difficult curve causes nearly 11% of students to leave Campbell after their first year.161 The school neither offers nor permits re-examinations.162 Furthermore, students with patterns of grades in the range of 65 to 74 may be required, at the discretion of the faculty, to repeat an entire semester or academic year in order to ensure competence in core courses.163

B. North Carolina Central University

North Carolina Central University admits students with lower LSAT scores after successful completion of a “two-week Performance Based Admissions program.”164 This tags at-risk students prior to admission and teaches these students skills for law school success.165 Among its course offerings, North Carolina Central comprised a list of highly recommended courses, which are rooted in subject matters covered on the North Carolina bar exam.166 Also, students may enroll in a “Distinctions Class.”167 The Distinctions Class is a three-credit course that focuses on state law and practices bar exam essay questions.168 Students receive letter grades for their participation.169 The supervisors of the Distinctions program flag and give special attention to students who underperform in the program.170

After law school graduation, students at North Carolina Central may participate in an “Invest in Success” bar preparation program, a free program held in early June.171 This program is a collaborative effort

---
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between "the Faculty and Academic Support staff." 172 "Faculty members conduct weekly substantive law reviews," 173 "Academic support staff works with students to improve their essay . . . writing skills." 174 The program lasts for six weeks. 175 Students submit practice answers each week and are given feedback. 176

C. Nova Southeastern University

Critical thinking is a crucial skill for succeeding as a law student, performing well on bar exam essays, and practicing as an attorney. Nova Southeastern offers a Critical Skills Program. 177 The Critical Skills Program aids students in improving "the essential legal skills of critical reading, thinking and writing." 178 The program is composed of "individual and small group sessions, skills workshops, and writing labs." 179 Instructors of the Critical Skills Program are singularly focused "to assist students in developing and mastering those skills central to success in law school, passing the bar and practicing law." 180

During a law student's first year, he or she must take a mandatory bar exam preparation course. 181 This class includes training on how to write essays and answer multiple-choice questions. 182 One would imagine that students would have garnered these skills prior to law school. However, perhaps this mandatory course serves as reinforcement for those who have simply lost these skills rather than teaching students new skills. After graduation, Nova Southeastern offers "a free, optional, non-credit course where students are tested weekly on skills needed for" successful passage of the bar exam. 183 Personal coaches are available to review students' progress and performance on practice bar exam questions. 184
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Although John Marshall School of Law (John Marshall) did not make the National Jurist’s list of top ten best bar preparation programs, it has a very noteworthy bar preparation program. For a fee, students may participate in a summer or winter bar preparation course. The summer course focuses on tactics and strategies for passing the bar exam. Students have unfettered access to the classes, as they are videotaped and posted online. Instructors encourage students to complete practice exam essays every week.

In addition, prior to graduation, students may participate in six notable programs (among many). The first program is the “Weekly 3L Bar Question” program. Students are e-mailed a multiple-choice question at the beginning of each week during the students’ final semester. An answer and explanation are then e-mailed to the students at the end of that week. The second program is “Master the Multistate (formerly BYOB).” The Master the Multistate is an exam that is comprised of 90 multiple-choice questions. Master the Multistate tests on property, evidence, torts, criminal law, constitutional law, and contracts. The idea behind Master the Multistate is to simulate what the bar exam will be like. Third, a similar and fun program is “Bar

185. Id. at 30.
187. Id.
188. Id.
189. Id.
192. Id.
193. Id. (explaining that “[t]he weekly bar exam question gives students the opportunity to familiarize themselves with typical bar exam questions and the reasoning behind the ‘best’ answer”).
195. Id.
196. Id.
197. Id. Students receive “[a] continental breakfast and box lunch.” Id. The program is free of charge. Id.
Madness" based on the NCAA March Madness competition. Students then receive a multiple-choice question based on multistate tested subject matters. The first person [in] the pair to answer correctly [advances] to the next round. The process continues for six weeks. Semi-finalists receive $50 each, while finalists win $75. The winner of the competition wins a gift certificate for dinner for two. The fourth program offered is the "Bar (exam that is) and Brunch." The program only allows 40 students to participate during "their final semester of law school." Instructors give instructions on bar exam essay answer formatting and provide feedback on students' answers. This program lasts for six weeks. Fifth, "MiniBar" is a program through which instructors administer "six half-hour essay questions and 100 multiple choice questions" in one day. The last is the "Bar Tips" (formerly "Kick the Bar in the Butt") program. The "Bar Tips" program covers topics such as "How is the MBE Scored, Study Tips for the MBE, Healthy Eating to Provide Energy & Stamina, Quick Exercise Plans, Relaxation and Meditation Techniques to Lower Stress, [and] Items to Bring to the Bar Exam." It also includes a question and answer session.
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E. Adapting Pedagogy to Bridge Generational Gaps

There are allegations that the academy has "dumbed down" law school by accepting lower quality students. An argument for not providing bar preparation programs for credit is the concern that adding such courses is another method of "dumbing down" law school. Neither statement is accurate; law schools are simply adjusting to the distinctive generation gap that is predominately represented in the classroom, as they must whenever times require it.

Researchers have discovered that each generation has distinctive personas. A generation "is shaped by events or circumstances according to which phase of life its members occupy at the time." Members in a generation have witnessed the same events, developing a general personality.

It is believed that the Baby Boomers, who were born between 1946 and 1964, have "loudly proclaimed their scorn for the secular blueprints of their parents—organizations, civic participation, and team playing—while seeking inner life, self-perfection, and deeper meaning." Generation X members were born between 1965 and 1980. The breakdown of the family unit due to divorce and failing educational systems formed this generation. The traits of this generation are self-reliance, greater risk taking, distrust of institutions, and entrepreneurship.

The persons in the Millennial Generation were
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born between 1982 and 2000. Members of this generation are in multiple phases of their lives; they are in the first years of their careers, professional and graduate schools, college, or secondary or elementary schools. Because Millennials represent the largest fraction of law students, it is important to know the characteristics of this generation. An effective communicator or teacher needs to know his or her audience. Especially if education is swaying to the bends of the economy, it is more imperative to understand the consumer’s wants and needs.

Social scientists and researchers have narrowed down the top ten core characteristics of the Millennial Generation and the pros and cons of each. The first distinctive characteristic of the generation is that Millennials are technological. Millennials have always had technology in their lives. This is a tremendous benefit in that people view technology as an inseparable aspect of their lives. However, a negative is that generally Millennials have shorter attention spans. The second trait of this generation is that they believe they are special—"vital to the nation and to the reformation of the world." This is a noble and aspirational viewpoint. On the other hand, the general sense of entitlement is a negative aspect. Millennials have high (or even lofty) expectations and become disillusioned if they do not meet those expectations. A third distinction of the Millennial Generation is that they are team-oriented. According to social scientists, collaborative learning is the norm. Millennials have "strong team instincts and tight peer bonds." But the strong teamwork instinct can be a negative aspect at times in that it does not turn off in situations when it is inappropriate, which may result in an accusation of cheating. The fourth
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characteristic is that this generation has "been the focus of the most sweeping youth-protection movement in American history."

239 Fifth, Millennials are confident. 240 Generally, people in this generation are extremely trusting and optimistic. 241 Millennials also have "palpable emotional connections to parents which can carry forward to teachers and mentors." 242 A negative concern of this characteristic is that Millennials' high confidence does not allow them to accurately assess themselves or causes them to create impractical expectations. 243 The sixth characteristic of the Millennial Generation is that they are very tolerant of diversity and social differences. 244 Seventh, persons in this generation feel tremendous pressure to achieve in all aspects of their lives. 245 However, this pressure to sparkle negatively causes performance anxieties and may lead to ethical mishaps in an attempt to excel. 246 The eighth distinguishing feature of Millennials is that they have a sense of justice and societal ails. 247 Ninth, and connected to the seventh attribute, this generation has high standards of accomplishment due to a history of educational competitiveness. 248 Again, these high standards might prompt a Millennial to bypass ethics to reach unrealistic success. 249 Finally, and tenth, the Millennial Generation tends to be conventional—adapting and connecting "with their parents' values" and morals. 250 The negative of this attribute is that "group think" rules and creativity is impaired. 251

239. Id. ("Child abuse and child safety became hot topics and best-seller books taught virtues and values. Hollywood replaced the dark movies characteristic of Gen X childhood years with lighter menu. Cable TV and the Internet came under pressure to provide lock devices for children to protect them from the dangerous excess of the new Wild West media world.").
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F. Supplementary Incentives for Bar Passage Problems

Law schools are getting creative in coaxing students to take advantage of the bar preparation programs offered. The programs usually boil down to cold, hard cash.\textsuperscript{252} A couple of law schools have unveiled new plans for graduates who have attempted and failed to pass the bar exam after at least one try.\textsuperscript{253}

Florida Coastal School of Law and Charlotte School of Law both rolled out similar plans in March 2013 to start in fall 2013.\textsuperscript{254} At Florida Coastal, graduates qualify for a $10,000 refund after meeting several stringent requirements.\textsuperscript{255} To receive the refund, graduates must: 1) attend all writing workshops during their first semester, 2) complete a grammar program, 3) attend 95\% of bar coaching sessions, 4) complete a practice bar exam, and 5) pass a “law school foundations” course.\textsuperscript{256} However, the former dean, C. Peter Goplerud, hoped that the refund policy serves as an incentive to work hard, not reward failure.\textsuperscript{257} He said, “[i]f they do all the things they’re supposed to, we’re not going to be doing anything except saluting successful graduates.”\textsuperscript{258}

Charlotte School of Law offers a program similar to Florida Coastal’s program. Charlotte’s scheme covers graduates who not only fail to pass the North Carolina bar twice but also those who fail the South Carolina Bar twice.\textsuperscript{259} The Associate Dean for Academics at Charlotte School of Law stated that the program allows students to benefit after performing all the requirements.\textsuperscript{260} He said, “If you do [the bar exam preparation requirements] and you’re still not successful, something is wrong.”\textsuperscript{261}
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Both are hedging bets on the “Assured Outcomes Partnership.”\textsuperscript{262} This theory calls for a collaboration between students and faculty in ensuring success on the bar exam.\textsuperscript{263} The $10,000 refund serves as a carrot to encourage students “to take full advantage of the academic support and bar preparation services available” at each school.\textsuperscript{264} Ultimately, both law schools do not want to hand out refunds, but help students work toward a collective goal.\textsuperscript{265} Additionally, neither program is divorced from reality; there is recognition that “[l]aw school is not for everyone.”\textsuperscript{266} Some students flunk out in their first year, while some make it through their third year, or even graduation.

New York Law School has also created the “Jump Start” program.\textsuperscript{267} Under the Jump Start program, students will receive $500 in cash for signing up for a pre-bar preparation course.\textsuperscript{268} The program is additional to any commercial bar preparation course.\textsuperscript{269} Students may use the $500 to defray the costs of these commercial courses or any purpose the students deem necessary.\textsuperscript{270} Dean Anthony Crowell stated,

I understand that you all have many demands on you from school and work, but I believe this program is so vital that I have arranged for every student who completes all five sessions to receive $500 in cash, which I hope you will put towards a bar prep program.\textsuperscript{271}

V. CONCLUSION

Nearly half of all law schools believe that bar preparation programs are imperative.\textsuperscript{272} Law schools that have implemented bar preparation programs have accomplished one major thing: their graduates are passing the bar at rates higher than in previous years.\textsuperscript{273} Research reveals two
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outcomes related to bar passage programs. First, some law schools have shown exponential increases in their bar passage rates due to providing bar preparation programs. Second, despite extensive efforts to prepare students to pass the bar examination, some law schools are seeing little improvement. So, what does this mean?

Bar preparations programs are fruitful; students who participate in the programs have a greater chance of passing than if they took no bar preparation program at all. School-sponsored programs are ideally supplemental to commercial bar preparation courses. Thus, students have reinforcements in place. A school-sponsored program can give students an accurate assessment of their standing “in a safe place.” Furthermore, school-sponsored programs close economic disparity gaps. Without bar preparation programs, some students may not have access to any bar preparation programs at all due to financial constraints. Even though schools may not witness astronomical successes in bar passage now, bar passage programs need to evolve to overcome the issues barring bar passage.

One way to survive the legal education crisis is to focus on the students in the classrooms and prospective students. If stereotypes prove to be true, that there are generational barricades to traditional legal learning methods, then bar review preparation courses are all the more essential. Modifying curricula in light of generational shifts is nothing new. It seems as though the ABA modified its standards upon the entry of the Baby Boomer generation into legal education. In 1973, the ABA promulgated new standards, which replaced the 1921 ABA Standards. Likewise, some in the legal education community took a critical look when Generation X entered classrooms.

274. Id.
275. See supra Part IV.
276. See supra Part IV.
277. See supra Part IV (discussing the top ten schools that have the best preparation for passing the bar).
278. See supra notes 134-45 and accompanying text.
279. See supra Part IV (discussing how some law schools offer bar preparation courses for free).
280. See supra Part IV.E.
282. Id.
Rightfully or wrongfully, the legal profession measures competence to practice law by the passage of a bar examination. In law school, people measure competence or learning outcomes by completing the requisite credit hours and obtaining a minimum grade point average. These two measures must work together.

School-sponsored bar preparation courses, for credit or non-credit, represent an adjustment in the curricula that is appropriately adaptive to the great majority of stereotypical Millennials. By sponsoring bar preparation courses, law schools are able to satisfy a majority of the driving motivations of the Millennial Generation in four ways. First, most bar preparation courses implement technology in some manner. John Marshall uses email and the Internet to transmit information related to the bar exam. Second, bar preparation courses may allow teamwork, especially while studying. Third, practice bar exams under bar-simulated conditions would provide students with a more accurate assessment of their abilities. Fourth, because the aspiration to excel at high levels creates test-performance anxiety, creating an environment where Millennials are acclimated to similar pressure will hopefully eliminate test anxiety.

If indeed these are the traits of the majority of today’s new law students, then legal education must adapt to meet their needs. Meeting the students’ needs will have tremendous benefits for law schools and the legal community. Lawyers protect society; they prevent anarchy and preserve justice. This is a job that will not cease. Recognizing generational diversity, understanding the differences in how generations learn, and perceiving a generation’s motivations may furnish the necessary leverage to accomplish institutional goals, such as higher bar passage rates, and provide the impetus to escape the legal crisis.
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Another benefit of offering for-credit bar preparation courses is that these courses would offer more flexibility to focus on other important areas of the curricula. Most law schools are shifting to include more skills-based courses. This shift is vital to make law graduates more practice ready, satisfying the complaints of prospective employers and judges. Furthermore, bar exam preparation programs could help increase diversity in the profession by improving all students’ odds of passing the bar exam.

It is well known that the bar exam does not test students on realistic problems or attorney skills. It merely tests students on rote knowledge of “the law.” Thus, unless the bar exam shifts how it tests applicants, offering bar exam preparation courses permits law schools to not sacrifice teaching its students while offering a broader range of programs that prepare students for practice.

Students are no less qualified than the generations that preceded them. Expectations must be set, though. There are many articles written about teaching Millennials. It is true—their life experiences and previous training differ from Baby Boomers or Gen-Xers. Education has always adapted to meet the students where they are. The problems come when a Millennial is in the courtroom of a Baby Boomer or a junior associate to a Gen-Xer. The expectations will be the same under which that previous generation endured. Law schools need to prepare students for these practical realities.

Likewise, one cannot escape the fact that passage rates are very imperative to the lifeline of a law school. If a law school’s graduates consistently underperform, then it runs the risk of being unaccredited or damaging its reputation in the legal community and to prospective students. At first blush, programs like those at Florida Coastal School of Law and Charlotte School of Law seem outrageous. However, these programs may very well provide incentives for students to use school resources without sacrificing the quality of legal education. Bar preparation programs do not “teach to the test,” but they simply and properly allocate resources that help students uncover tactics and habits to pass the bar.
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