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admiringly—by combining confrontational politics with other more elusive forms of protest and by demonstrating that diversity and inclusion are also viable antidotes to oppression and injustice. The concepts of aesthetics and politics produce a field of an irreducible complexity, which generates vast potential for individual, communal, and societal change. This complexity can quite reasonably be approached with a predetermined agenda that may constrain artistic freedom, though ideally an argument would outline such a program; or this complexity can be handled as a productive resource, which accommodates differences and which can support experimentation and playful exuberance, along with the serious redress of grievances.

David S. Mather
University of California, San Diego


Some of the brilliance here shines on the University of North Carolina Press, for what university publisher these days has the nerve and the finances to promise a trilogy? For one thing, it evinces a rare confidence in a literary scholar who will really stay the course. Such academic multi-volume projects that have been permitted are typically reserved for the biographer (think Arnold Rampersad's Langston Hughes or Robert Lucid's prospective Norman Mailer). Perhaps Alan Wald's volumes are in a sense a biography: a generation, compelled to write inventively about its discomposed world, that looked and leaned leftward. Wald is the now surely the preeminent chronicler of that literary generation.

*Trinity of Passion* is the second of three linked books that track a generation of left-wing American writers from the 1920s through the early 1960s. The earlier study, *Exiles from a Future Time*, took us from the concurrent emergence of aesthetic modernism and of post-1917 forms of radical politics to the first months and years of the Depression. The story of that concurrence takes Wald and us to the brink of understanding how and when modernism and communism could and could not converge—a big, important topic that Wald himself has played a major role in raising in other books and essays over the years.) The new work, focusing more on novelists (poets were the emphasis of *Exiles*), takes us through the Popular Front period. The third book, already researched and in states of draft, is to be called *The American Night: The Literary Left in the Era of the Cold War*. Wald is right to claim that each of the three "stands alone as a self-contained book" (xiii) but, when taken together, the three will have coherently introduced dozens of fascinating heretical writers most readers will not have known before, and will have reworked—sometimes with the addition of stunning new information about their political views and affiliations—a number of writers we thought we knew.

One we thought we knew is Arthur Miller. About a book I so much admire I am hesitant to urge readers to skip to the end. But those seeking the sort of thrill that will then induce them to go back and carefully read the whole may want to turn first to chapter 7, "Arthur Miller's Missing Chapter." Characteristic of Wald, this blockbuster of a revelation is written in patient, understated tones. Wald never parades his original research, yet it abounds here. In short: Arthur Miller was Matt Wayne, pro-communist critic and activist. There are as many reasons why this background has been suppressed as there are reasons why centrist and even conservative American theatergoers have come to accept what Miller is saying through his plays. Get thee to the chapter and find out more.

Miller was and is eminent, but Leonard S. Zinberg, a Jewish communist, is alas forgotten. Wald reveals that Zinberg bespoke his radicalism through the multimillion copy-selling, tough-guy mystery writer Ed Lacy, whose protagonists are typified by the black cop Lee Hayes, who, with his Jewish partner Albert Kahn (named for the blacklisted communist, father of Tony Kahn whom many know today through WGBH's *Morning Stories*), is assigned to cool off a hot political situation in Harlem circa 1967.

We are entertained by hearing of Zinberg. Many readers, utterly fascinated by Wald's summary, will lay his book temporarily down and click on their online bookseller to buy a used Ed Lacy paperback or two. Yet serious, compelling questions are raised by this writer's identies, and indeed they are the issues opened by the book as a whole, a study of dozens of Zinberg/Lacys. If Ed Lacy was "a mass-market phenomenon through the entire Cold War era" who sold twenty-eight million copies (4), why did the fact of Zinberg's politics become so thoroughly obscured? First off, Zinberg went literally underground and emerged as Lacy to evade blacklists and subpoena-wielding court officers. Second, for all the recent academic interest in race, identity and narration—and simultaneously in the literary history of the rise and then demise of the Jewish/African American cultural alliance—Zinberg, the Jewish writer who wrote for the mass market from a black subject position, remains forgotten because we have lost or have neglected to teach the basic methods and tools of research. The Zinberg-Lacy connection is only discoverable through the sort of time-consuming and financially draining work in far-flung archives and interviews with political survivors that Alan Wald has mastered.

Judging only from the many books in which Wald is thanked—typically for sharing his personal archive, providing leads, and teaching his method—we know that he is among those who believe that the survival of such research has a political and ethical efficacy. And if he has conveyed the sense of this style to a few young scholars, he is also aware of his debt to predecessors: Walter Rideout for his 1956 book on the radical U.S. novel (daring for its time), Daniel Aaron for *Writers on the Left of 1961*, and James Gilbert for *Writers and Partisans of 1968*. Wald's work stands in a literary-historical tradition. But his trilogy is already better and more
coherent than the three just named. Why? Because the archives are open wider than they were during the Cold War, and because veterans of American literary communism were ready to talk at least somewhat honestly by the time Wald (especially in the 1980s and 1990s) traveled to them with his tape recorder.

After the Miller revelations, the most exciting portion of *Trinity of Passion* for this reviewer is in the acknowledgments and list of sources. Where hasn’t Wald been in the past twenty years, and to whom hasn’t he spoken, in his massive effort to get this story right? The energy implicit there flows background into main body of the work, a description of the antifascist imagination in its almost infinite individualized forms. Only the most recalcitrant generalizer about radicalism can read this book and then go on dubbing all U.S. communists uncritical dupes. Some were—to be sure—and Wald doesn’t hesitate to say so. But when one goes this deeply into a narrative that has been too often told without fine-grained knowledge, one learns that there were as many different literary responses to fascism, racism, and economic crisis in this period as there were people with the urge to write about them.

Alan Filreis
University of Pennsylvania


Since World War II scholars and popular culture have associated the American Dream with middle-class whites owning single-family homes on spacious lots in the suburbs. These two books, in different ways, challenge that dominant association. Margaret Garb excavates the late-nineteenth century to understand how homeownership became a predominant middle-class phenomenon in the subsequent century. Andrew Wiese examines African American suburbanization in the twentieth century to show that suburbs and ‘suburban dreams’ were neither exclusively white nor middle-class. While these scholarly accounts take different forms—Garb’s book is a tightly-woven monograph of a single city while Wiese’s work is a national history—they share themes and methodologies. Both books document and explain working-class participation in homeownership and suburbanization, the role of race and class in stratifying housing markets and informing residential choices, and the role of government in brokering the housing interests of social classes and racial groups. As astute social historians, both focus on the agency of African Americans or immigrant white workers as they achieve homeownership and sub-

ban residence against the backdrop of racial and class-segmented housing markets in a capitalist political economy.

Both Garb and Wiese, drawing on the work of geographer Richard Harris and others, discuss how immigrant white and migrant black wage workers made great sacrifices to acquire their own homes and show how they valued and used property differently from the middle class. In an erratic economy characterized by wage cuts, discrimination, and unemployment, both groups of workers, against the disapproval of middle-class society, used their houses to supplement their unstable and inadequate incomes, renting to boarders, cultivating gardens and raising animals, taking in laundry, and sending women and children out to work. Both historians argue persuasively that past experience with oppression heightened the value of property ownership to immigrant and migrant workers as a bulwark against an unforgiving economy. Wiese, in particular, shows how black migrants’ southern and rural past not only influenced their valuation of homeownership, but was decisive in their choice of separate and unequal semi-rural landscapes outside the city limits to enjoy open spaces, fresh air and build family-centered communities (84).

Garb’s history demonstrates how immigrant workers aspired to homeownership as a way to achieve “an American standard of living” that compensated for their proletarianization in industrializing Chicago (22). Intertwoven in her account, against a backdrop of republican political theory and a history of corporate capitalism, is a conflict between wage workers for whom property rights in housing meant independence and economic survival, and land speculators, subdivision developers, banks, and middle-class home buyers interested in perpetually rising property values that sustained profits (103). Throughout her study, Garb juxtaposes the practice of using housing as sites of domestic production against the emerging ideal of a “family home” separate from market relations (3), presenting an intriguing account of the “strategic use of debt” by white immigrant property owners’ who used their property as collateral to procure loans to purchase additional property, fund small businesses, or lend to co-ethnic neighbors or recent arrivals from the homeland as part of the communal credit network that allowed immigrants to endure Chicago’s low-wage economy (46). Garb is clear, however, that home ownership did not catapult immigrant workers or their children into the middle-class in the late nineteenth century (53). Wiese elaborates on Garb’s briefer depiction of blacks’ low homeownership levels (57), showing how higher rents, lower wages and greater restrictions on access to home loans constrained the ability of African Americans to use their property to support themselves or generate an internal credit market for communal progress before World War II (254).

While Garb’s discussion of working class ‘property rights in housing’ adds substantially to the field of urban history, her core argument and the main value of her book is her depiction of the social actors who inadvertently conspired at the beginning of the twentieth century to make homeownership a middle-class phenomenon, both as an ideal and empirical reality. She demonstrates how labour