San Jose State University

From the SelectedWorks of Aaron J. Romanowsky

2013

Planetary Nebula Spectrograph survey of S0 galaxy kinematics – II. Clues to the origins of S0 galaxies

A. Cortesi, University of NottinghamM. R. Merrifield, University of NottinghamL. CoccatoM. ArnaboldiO. Gerhard, et al.



Available at: https://works.bepress.com/aaron_romanowsky/14/

MNRAS **432**, 1010–1020 (2013) Advance Access publication 2013 May 1

Planetary Nebula Spectrograph survey of S0 galaxy kinematics – II. Clues to the origins of S0 galaxies

A. Cortesi,^{1,2,3}★ M. R. Merrifield,¹ L. Coccato,² M. Arnaboldi,² O. Gerhard,³ S. Bamford,¹ N. R. Napolitano,⁴ A. J. Romanowsky,^{5,6} N. G. Douglas,⁷ K. Kuijken,⁸ M. Capaccioli,⁹ K. C. Freeman,¹⁰ K. Saha³ and A. L. Chies-Santos¹

¹School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham NG7 2RD, UK

²European Southern Observatory, Karl-Schwarzschild-Strasse 2, D-85748 Garching, Germany

³Max-Planck-Institut für Extraterrestrische Physik, Giessenbachstrasse, D-85741 Garching, Germany

⁴Istituto Nazionale di Astrofisica, Osservatorio Astronomico di Capodimonte, Via Moiariello 16, I-80131 Naples, Italy

⁸Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, PO Box 9513, NL-2300 RA Leiden, the Netherlands

⁹Dipartimento di Fisica, Università 'Federico II', Naples, Italy

¹⁰Research School of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia

Accepted 2013 March 21. Received 2013 March 21; in original form 2013 February 19

ABSTRACT

The stellar kinematics of the spheroids and discs of S0 galaxies contain clues to their formation histories. Unfortunately, it is difficult to disentangle the two components and to recover their stellar kinematics in the faint outer parts of the galaxies using conventional absorption line spectroscopy. This paper therefore presents the stellar kinematics of six S0 galaxies derived from observations of planetary nebulae, obtained using the Planetary Nebula Spectrograph. To separate the kinematics of the two components, we use a maximum-likelihood method that combines the discrete kinematic data with a photometric component decomposition. The results of this analysis reveal that: the discs of S0 galaxies are rotationally supported; however, the amount of random motion in these discs is systematically higher than in comparable spiral galaxies; and the S0s lie around one magnitude below the Tully-Fisher relation for spiral galaxies, while their spheroids lie nearly one magnitude above the Faber-Jackson relation for ellipticals. All of these findings are consistent with a scenario in which spirals are converted into S0s through a process of mild harassment or 'pestering,' with their discs somewhat heated and their spheroid somewhat enhanced by the conversion process. In such a scenario, one might expect the properties of S0s to depend on environment. We do not see such an effect in this fairly small sample, although any differences would be diluted by the fact that the current location does not necessarily reflect the environment in which the transformation occurred. Similar observations of larger samples probing a broader range of environments, coupled with more detailed modelling of the transformation process to match the wide range of parameters that we have shown can now be measured, should take us from these first steps to the definitive answer as to how S0 galaxies form.

Key words: galaxies: elliptical and lenticular, cD – galaxies: evolution – galaxies: kinematics and dynamics.

1 INTRODUCTION

The origin of lenticular, or S0, galaxies, and in particular whether they are more closely related to spiral galaxies or elliptical galaxies, remains obscure. They display the bulge-plus-disc morphology that we associate with spiral galaxies, but they lack the young stars in spiral arms that we associate with such systems, and tend to be more dominated by their spheroidal bulge components, making it tempting to associate them with elliptical galaxies.

Moving away from purely morphological considerations, we might hope that kinematic information can help to ascertain which other galaxies are S0s closest cousins, since the traditional view

⁵Department of Physics and Astronomy, San José State University, One Washington Square, San Jose, CA 95192, USA

⁶University of California Observatories, 1156 High St., Santa Cruz, CA 95064, USA

⁷Kapteyn Astronomical Institute, University of Groningen, PO Box 800, NL-9700 AV Groningen, the Netherlands

^{*}E-mail: aricorte@gmail.com



Figure 1. Plot showing a measure of specific angular momentum as a function of radius for a sample of early-type galaxies. The black solid lines are S0s from Cortesi et al. (2011), black dashed lines are S0s from Coccato et al. (2009) and red lines are ellipticals from Coccato et al. (2009). The dotted line shows the suggested separation between slow and fast rotators as a kinematic classification scheme (Emsellem et al. 2007).

is that disc-dominated spiral galaxies will reflect that morphology in rotationally dominated kinematics, while elliptical galaxies are largely supported by random motions. However, more recent data suggests that the situation is somewhat subtler. The importance of rotation was quantified by Emsellem et al. (2007), who defined the quantity λ_R , the cumulative specific angular momentum of galaxies as a function of radius, seeking to draw a distinction between discand bulge-dominated systems via this parameter. Unfortunately, they show that there is no clear dichotomy in this quantity, with a broad spectrum of degrees of rotational support. Coccato et al. (2009) calculate the same quantity for a sample of elliptical galaxies, but using planetary nebulae (PNe) as tracers of the velocity field and, in this way, exploring a wider range of radii. They show that the recovered λ_R smoothly join the quantity obtained with the Spectrographic Areal Unit for Research on Optical Nebulae data, which describe the central regions of the galaxies, where PNe are not detectable. This radially extended reconstruction of the specific angular momentum for a sample of elliptical (Coccato et al. 2009) and S0 (Cortesi et al. 2011) galaxies, shown in Fig. 1, displays the same continuous range of profiles, seemingly unrelated to the galaxy morphology. It also presents a surprising degree of variation in profile shape at the large radii probed by PNe, with some dropping dramatically, apparently changing from rotationally supported systems to being dominated by random motions in their outermost parts.

We first encountered this phenomenon in an analysis of the S0 galaxy NGC 1023, where a pilot study of PNe with the Planetary Nebula Spectrograph (PN.S) showed a major decrease in rotational motion at large radii (Noordermeer et al. 2008). This drop in angular momentum was initially interpreted as evidence that a normal rotationally supported stellar disc had been dramatically heated into random motion by a merger in its outer parts. However, by more careful modelling of separate bulge and disc components, we were able to show that this behaviour was in fact caused simply by the superposition of a cold rotating disc and a hot spheroidal component, whose relative contributions to the observed kinematics varied with both radius and azimuth around the galaxy (Cortesi et al. 2011).

This discovery underlined the importance of treating S0 galaxies as multicomponent systems (see also Kormendy & Bender 2012) and taking care to separate out the distinct stellar bulge and disc elements kinematically as well as photometrically. Once this decomposition has been performed properly, we have access to a range of diagnostics that can be used to provide clues to the formation history of these galaxies. For example, if they are simply spiral galaxies that have quietly ceased forming stars, one would expect their stellar discs to have the same kinematic properties as those in spirals; if, on the other hand, a more violent event such as a minor merger led to the transition from spiral to S0, one might expect the disc, if not destroyed in the process, to at least have had its random motions increased significantly (Bournaud, Jog & Combes 2005). Equally, with reliable kinematic parameters for the individual components, we can see where they lie relative to the usual kinematic scaling relations followed by spirals and ellipticals, to see if they could plausibly have evolved from such progenitors.

In this paper, we therefore apply the kinematic bulge–disc decomposition technique developed in Cortesi et al. (2011) to the sample of six S0s with suitable PNe data presented in Cortesi et al. (2013). This sample was selected to span a range of environments in order to see if the evolutionary properties depend systematically on surroundings: NGC 7457 and NGC 3115 are isolated; NGC 1023 and NGC 2768 are the dominant galaxies of two small groups (5–6 members); while NGC 3384 and NGC 3489 are satellite galaxies of the Leo Group (30 members). The Hubble types of these galaxies and the adopted distance moduli are listed in Table 1.

The remainder of this paper is laid out as follows. In Section 2, we present the photometric bulge–disc decomposition that underlies the analysis, and confirms the nature of these galaxies as composite S0 systems. Section 3 then uses this decomposition to model the spheroid and disc kinematics of each system, and Section 4 looks at the characteristic kinematics of the separate components for indications as to their origins. Section 5 brings this evidence together to present the emerging picture as to the steps leading to the formation of a lenticular galaxy.

2 PHOTOMETRIC DECOMPOSITION

In order to correctly assign each PN's observed velocity to disc or bulge kinematics, we must first calculate the relative contributions of disc and bulge to the total light at its location. To do so, we follow the same photometric decomposition procedure described in Cortesi et al. (2011), briefly summarized here for completeness.

Where possible, the galaxies' images used for the decomposition are *K*-band data from the 2MASS survey (Skrutskie et al. 2006), since these near-infrared images minimize the influence of any modest amounts of dust extinction present in these S0 systems. For NGC 3489, the 2MASS image did not go deep enough to carry out the decomposition, so we instead used the reddest Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) optical image, and for NGC 1023 we also employed a deep *R*-band image (Noordermeer et al. 2008), which allowed us to mask the companion galaxy NGC 1023A while performing the decomposition (Cortesi et al. 2011). The details of the images and other input data used in the fitting process are presented in Table 1.

The images were modelled using GALFIT (Peng et al. 2002) to fit an exponential disc and a Sérsic profile spheroid. For most galaxies, a satisfactory fit was obtained by fixing the Sérsic profile index at the conventional de Vaucouleurs law value of n = 4, but in the case of NGC 2768 this produced a poor fit, so the index was left as a free parameter. The resulting fits to the images are presented in Fig. 2, and the associated values for the best-fitting parameters are given in Table 2. As is apparent from Fig. 2, in some cases this simple two component model fits the galaxy very well, while in others systematic residuals indicate that the system is somewhat more complex. In particular, we find the following:

Table 1. Basic data on the sample and images analysed. From left to right: galaxy name, galaxy type, distance modulus from Tonry et al. (2001) shifted by 0.16 mag (see the text for details), archive, band, angular scale, zero-point.

Name	Туре	Distance modulus (mag)	Archive	Band	Angular scale (arcsec per pixel)	Zero-point (mag)
NGC 3115	S0-edge-on	29.77	2MASS	K	1	20.86
NGC 7457	SA(rs)0 ⁻	30.45	2MASS	Κ	1	20.09
NGC 2768	E6	31.59	2MASS	Κ	1	20.10
NGC 1023	SB(rs)0 ⁻	30.13	2MASS	Κ	1	20.03
NGC 3489	SAB(rs)0 ⁺	30.25	SDSS	Z.	0.4	22.55
NGC 3384	SB(s)0 ⁻	30.16	2MASS	ĸ	1	20.09

(i) NGC 3115 contains an additional very thin disc-like structure as well as the thicker disc component that we have fitted. In addition, the de Vaucouleurs fit is not perfect for the bulge at very small radii.

(ii) NGC 3489 contains a faint but significant ring structure.

(iii) NGC 3384 shows a central dipolar structure in the residuals, seemingly indicative of an off-centre nucleus.

Although all these features are interesting, and tell us that even the plainest looking S0 galaxy can be quite complex, they are all either localized in regions of high surface brightness where we do not detect the PNe used in this kinematic analysis, or they are of low surface brightness compared to the main bulge and disc components. Accordingly, they do not compromise our ability to use the simple two component fit to determine the relative contributions of disc and spheroid to the light at each point in the galaxy.

To illustrate the assignment of PNe to the two components, Fig. 3 shows a grey-scale image of the spheroid-to-total light at each point in the model. The PNe are also plotted and identified by whether they lie in a region where spheroid or disc dominates, but note that, in the kinematic likelihood analysis below, each is assigned an exact value f_i from this image, such that it has a probability f_i that it comes from the spheroidal component and $1 - f_i$ that it comes from the disc component (Cortesi et al. 2011).

3 DISC AND SPHEROID KINEMATIC PROFILES

Having obtained the disc-spheroid light decomposition for each galaxy, we can now fit all the line-of-sight velocities for the PNe shown in Fig. 3 using a maximum-likelihood fit. The method, described in detail in Cortesi et al. (2011), essentially involves fitting in radial bins using a model comprising a simple Gaussian spheroid line-of-sight velocity distribution plus a similar Gaussian velocity distribution for the disc component. For the disc component, we allow a non-zero mean velocity to fit rotation, varying with azimuth as geometrically required for a rotating disc, and also incorporate the fact that its line-of-sight velocity dispersion is a different projection of the disc's coupled radial and tangential velocity dispersions, σ_r and σ_{ϕ} respectively, at different azimuths. We neglect the contribution of the z component of the velocity dispersion: it is intrinsically smaller, and its modest projection along the line of sight further reduces its significance in these inclined systems. We also assume that the disc is not too hot, so we can invoke the epicycle approximation to couple the values of σ_r and σ_{ϕ} . This fitting process thus solves for a simplified model of both disc and spheroid kinematics, and also allows us to identify and reject PNe that do not fit the model, based on their individual contributions to the total likelihood.

Any PNe rejected in this process are highlighted in Fig. 3, and the final resulting best-fitting kinematic parameters as a function of radius are shown in Fig. 4. In each case, the radial bins have been chosen to ensure that each contains at least 30 PNe, as found to be a suitable minimum in Cortesi et al. (2011), which is why the bin sizes and number vary from galaxy to galaxy. The resulting elliptical bin boundaries are shown in Fig. 3. For comparison, Fig. 4 also shows conventional absorption-line kinematics along the major axes from various sources (Simien & Prugniel 1997; Caon et al. 2000; Debattista et al. 2002; Norris et al. 2006). Since the disc light dominates in this region, we compare these data to the derived disc kinematics. In one case there is also published minor-axis data (Norris et al. 2006) where the bulge light dominates, so the absorption-line dispersion profile is compared to the bulge kinematics that we derive. In all cases, the agreement between the two methods is good, but the comparison underlines how conventional absorption-line spectroscopy is typically limited to the bright inner parts of each galaxy.

One simplification that we have made in this analysis is in assuming that the spheroidal component is non-rotating. In general, there is not sufficient data to allow us to relax this assumption, but in the case of NGC 2768, Forbes et al. (2012) found that the larger number of PNe allowed this extra degree of freedom to be introduced. However, the resulting spheroidal component was found to be completely dominated by random motions, so the difference was negligible, justifying the assumption in the case of this galaxy and rendering it plausible for the other galaxies where there were not sufficient data to test it directly. This assumption also fits with the findings in spiral galaxies, where classical bulges tend to be very slowly rotating (MacArthur, González & Courteau 2009) while pseudo-bulges with low Sérsic indices display more rotational support (Fabricius et al. 2012); the high Sérsic indices for these galaxies (see Table 2) suggests that we might expect a corresponding lack of rotation.

One further assumption we made is that the galaxies have only two components, a disc and a spheroid. As discussed above, the images show some departures from this simple model, although in most cases these extra features are fairly minor. The only exception is NGC 3115, which seems to contain two discs of different thickness. This object would clearly be interesting to study in its own right with a more sophisticated model, but for the current analysis, it makes more sense to treat it in a manner consistent with the other galaxies, fitting only the spheroid and the brighter disc. It is interesting to note that this model results in more PNe being rejected from the fit than in other galaxies, which once again illustrates the power of the likelihood approach to reject discrepant objects and home in on the components being fitted, as was originally seen in Cortesi et al. (2011).

As is apparent from Fig. 4, this analysis reveals a remarkably consistent kinematic picture amongst these galaxies. The one exception seems to be NGC 3489, although it is notable that this



Figure 2. GALFIT analysis of the S0 sample. Left-hand panels: galaxy image; middle panels: GALFIT model image; right-hand panels: difference image, scaled to emphasize any residuals in the fit. The ratio between the flux in the residual image and in the scientific image is around 5 per cent. From top to bottom: NGC 3115 in a [800 arcsec \times 800 arcsec] box, NGC 7457 in a [600 arcsec \times 600 arcsec] box, NGC 2768 in a [800 arcsec \times 800 arcsec] box, NGC 3489 in a [\simeq 300 arcsec \times 300 arcsec] box and NGC 3384 in a [\simeq 600 arcsec \times 600 arcsec] box. The dimension of the box corresponds to the dimension of the image in which the fit was performed, apart from NGC 3384, which was fitted simultaneously with the two companion galaxies appearing in the 2MASS [1200 arcsec \times 1200 arcsec] image, in order to remove any residual contamination.

galaxy contains the smallest number of available kinematic tracers, which may be compromising the results somewhat. However, apart from this galaxy, all the systems here display kinematics with many features in common: the spheroidal components have a dispersion that varies very little with radius, and the disc components rise to flat rotation curves with ordered motions dominating over random velocities at all radii. It is interesting to compare this situation with the much more heterogeneous picture presented in Fig. 1; it would appear that at least some of the variety in that plot arose from the net effect of superimposing multiple kinematic components whose respective contributions vary from galaxy to galaxy. This new consistency provides some confidence in the analysis, but also offers

Table 2. Results from GALFIT fit. From left to right: (1) galaxy name, (2) disc apparent magnitude, (3) disc scale length, (4) disc axes ratio, (5) galaxy inclination, (6) disc position angle, (7) spheroid apparent magnitude, (8) effective radius, (9) Sérsic index, equal to 4 where this value fitted well, (10) spheroid axes ratio, (11) spheroid position angle and (12) bulge-to-total light ratio. For NGC 3489 the recovered magnitudes in z band have been colour corrected.

		Disc					Spheroid			I	B/T
Name	m _D (mag)	R_d (arcs)	b/a	incl (deg)	PA (deg)	<i>m</i> _B (mag)	R_e (arcs)	n	b/a	PA (deg)	
NGC 3115	8.34	53.69	0.39	67	45.00	7.17	26.19	4	0.31	45.00	0.74
NGC 7457	8.56	27.07	0.48	62	-57.28	9.49	11.62	4	0.62	-46.04	0.30
NGC 2768	8.19	42.93	0.29	73	-86.25	7.23	50.46	4.65	0.66	-85.39	0.71
NGC 1023	7.02	59.08	0.26	74	84.12	6.9	17.86	4	0.75	75.59	0.53
NGC 3489	8.18	24.98	0.49	61	-15.05	8.05	7.64	4	0.72	-19.50	0.54
NGC 3384	7.92	63.73	0.34	70	52.50	7.29	15.20	4	0.83	60.51	0.64

at least the possibility that these galaxies are sufficiently homogeneous for some common underlying formation mechanism to exist.

4 ANALYZING THE CHARACTERISTIC KINEMATICS

4.1 Deriving characteristic values

Having determined the kinematic profiles of the spheroid and disc components of these S0 galaxies, we can now start to use these data to seek archaeological evidence as to how they formed. As a starting point, we translate these profiles into characteristic values for the kinematics of each component. For the disc, we determine the mean streaming motion, V_* , and the two components of velocity dispersion, σ_{ϕ} and σ_{r} , at three disc scale lengths as determined by the photometric parameters (see Table 2), since by this radius they seem to have settled to their asymptotic values. The only exception is NGC 3489, where the limited amount of kinematic data restricts us to calculating these quantities at $2R_d$; in practice this makes little difference, as in the other galaxies the parameters do not change significantly over this radial range. The resulting values are presented in Table 3. In the spheroids, the velocity dispersion does not change significantly with radius, so we simply calculate a luminosity-weighted average value,

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\rm sph}^2 = \frac{\sum_i L_{\rm sph,i} \sigma_{\rm sph,i}^2}{\sum_i L_{\rm sph,i}},\tag{1}$$

where $L_{\text{sph},i}$ is the luminosity of the spheroid in each radial bin, as ascertained from the photometric fit. The resulting values of $\hat{\sigma}_{\text{sph}}$ are also listed in Table 3.

The other kinematic quantity we need to derive is the characteristic circular speed of each galaxy, V_c , which provides a measure of the system's mass. Because of the presence of significant random motions, we cannot simply use the mean streaming speed of the stars, but must correct these motions for asymmetric drift via the equation

$$V_c^2 = V_\star^2 + \sigma_\phi^2 - \sigma_r^2 \left(1 + \frac{\mathrm{d}\ln\nu}{\mathrm{d}\ln r} + \frac{\mathrm{d}\ln\sigma_r^2}{\mathrm{d}\ln r} \right)$$
(2)

(Binney & Tremaine 2008). If the random motions are not too large and the rotation curve is close to flat, then the epicycle approximation implies that $\sigma_r = \sqrt{2}\sigma_{\phi}$. If we further use the photometrically derived exponential disc profile (see Section 2) to determine v(r) and fit the observed variation in velocity dispersion with radius using a further exponential with its own scalelength,

$$\sigma_r^2 = \sigma_r^2(0) \exp\left(-\frac{r}{R_2}\right),\tag{3}$$

the asymmetric drift equation simplifies to

$$V_c^2(r) = V_\star^2 + \sigma_\phi^2 \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{r}{R_d} + \frac{r}{R_2} \right).$$
(4)

We can hence estimate V_c at any radius using this equation; Table 3 lists the derived characteristic value of this quantity for each galaxy at $3R_d$, which matches the fiducial radius used for the other kinematic parameters; it is also at large enough radii that the circular speed will have converged to its characteristic asymptotic value. A check on the validity of this simplified equation is provided by comparing our results to those of Davis et al. (2011), who carried out full anisotropic Jeans modelling (Cappellari 2008) on two of the sample galaxies. They obtained circular velocities of 310 km s⁻¹ for NGC 2768 and 160 km s⁻¹ for NGC 3489, in good agreement with the values derived here.

4.2 Disc kinematics

As previously mentioned, one key diagnostic of the evolutionary past of a disc is provided by the ratio between its ordered and random motions: if they are simply spiral galaxies that have ceased forming stars, then they should be dominated by mean streaming motions in the same way as their progenitors, whereas any more violent transition such as one precipitated by a merger would tend to heat the disc and hence decrease the dominance of the rotation. Table 3 lists the characteristic values of V_*/σ_{ϕ} for these S0 systems, yielding a mean value of 4.2, with an rms scatter of 0.8. These values contrast with the $V_*/\sigma_{\phi} \sim 1$ obtained by Bournaud et al. (2005) in the simulations that formed S0s from a range of minor mergers, suggesting that this is not the mechanism responsible for the creation of these galaxies.

To determine whether the data are consistent with the alternative hypothesis that these discs are simply 'dead' spiral discs, we consider the kinematics of eight spiral galaxies presented by Bottema (1993) and five shown by Herrmann & Ciardullo (2009), which together form a comparison sample with a range of rotation velocities similar to the S0s presented here. These comparison data are unfortunately somewhat heterogeneous in nature. The Bottema (1993) kinematic values were derived at one disc scale length; since the velocity dispersion tends to fall with radius while rotation increases, this smaller fiducial radius will tend to produce smaller



Figure 3. Probability map that a PN belongs to the disc (darker areas) or spheroid (lighter areas). The locations of detected PNe are also shown, with those more likely to belong to the disc shown as triangles, and those more likely to belong to the spheroid as squares. Colours indicate the line-of-sight velocities of the PNe. Open circles are drawn around PNe rejected by the likelihood fit to the kinematics.

values of V_*/σ_{ϕ} than at our adopted radius of $3R_d$. The comparison is more direct with the data from Herrmann & Ciardullo (2009), as we can interpolate a value at $3R_d$ from the kinematic profiles they present. In both cases, we again use the epicycle approximation to interchange between σ_r and σ_{ϕ} . Fig. 5 shows the resulting estimates of V_*/σ_{ϕ} for both the spiral comparison sample and the current sample of S0s. Although there is a reasonable degree of overlap, implying that these S0s could have formed passively from



Figure 4. Disc and spheroid kinematics of the sample galaxies assuming a spheroid+disc model. For each galaxy, we show the rotation velocity of the disc (top panel), its coupled tangential, σ_{ϕ} , and radial, σ_r dispersions (middle panel), and the velocity dispersion of the spheroid (bottom panel). The vertical error bars indicate uncertainty, while horizontal error bars show the radial binning. Where available, we also show kinematics derived from conventional absorption-line spectra (open circles) (Simien & Prugniel 1997; Caon, Macchetto & Pastoriza 2000; Debattista, Corsini & Aguerri 2002; Norris, Sharples & Kuntschner 2006).

Table 3. Kinematic results. From left to right: (1) galaxy name, (2) rotation velocity in the disc at $3R_d$, (3) ratio between rotation and velocity dispersion along the tangential direction for the galaxy disc calculated at $3R_d$, (4) circular speed in the disc at $3R_d$, (5) light weighted spheroid dispersion velocity.

Name	$(V_*)_{\rm disc}$ $(\rm km \ s^{-1})$	$(V_*/\sigma_\phi)_{\rm disc}$	$(V_c)_{\rm disc}$ $(\rm km \ s^{-1})$	$\hat{\sigma}_{\rm sph}$ (km s ⁻¹)
NGC 3115	220_{-49}^{+41}	$3.3_{-0.7}^{+0.6}$	264_{-61}^{+67}	107^{+36}_{-15}
NGC 7457	113^{+18}_{-22}	$3.7^{+0.6}_{-0.7}$	136^{+23}_{-20}	54^{+85}_{-20}
NGC 2768	$232_{-41}^{+\overline{39}}$	$3.3^{+0.6}_{-0.6}$	316_{-70}^{+79}	123_{-9}^{+11}
NGC 1023	244_{-33}^{+37}	$5.3^{+0.8}_{-0.7}$	274_{-41}^{+47}	112_{-18}^{+42}
NGC 3489	144_{-23}^{+37}	$4.2_{-0.7}^{+0.5}$	171^{+38}_{-27}	57^{+36}_{-17}
NGC 3384	179^{+22}_{-28}	$5.3\substack{+0.6 \\ -0.8}$	196^{+37}_{-30}	89^{+53}_{-19}



Figure 5. Ratio of stellar rotation velocity in the disc to the disc velocity dispersion for the S0 galaxies of this sample (filled), and the corresponding quantity for a comparison sample of spiral galaxies from the literature (diagonally shaded) (Bottema 1993; Herrmann & Ciardullo 2009), normalized to the same number as the S0 galaxies.

spirals, there are also strong indications that the values are systematically lower for the S0s, which is not the sense one would expect if it arose from the possible bias in the smaller fiducial radii used by Bottema (1993). Thus, if these S0s formed from a random selection of comparable present-day spirals, it would seem that some heating of their discs must have occurred during the transition.

Although the sample becomes small when divided in this way, there is no evidence that the degree of heating of the disc depends on environments, since the S0s from isolated, small group and large group surroundings (as described in Section 1) do not display systematically different values of V_*/σ_{ϕ} . We are thus left with a developing picture in which the formation of an S0 from a spiral appears somewhat more violent than a simple shutdown of star formation, but not as extreme as a merger-induced transition, with no indication that the mechanism depends strongly on environment.

4.3 Scaling relations

We can seek further insight into the possible scenario for transformation, and also try to address the question of whether S0s are more closely related to spirals or ellipticals, by looking at where these systems lie on the scaling relations respected by the other galaxy classes.

4.3.1 Tully–Fisher relation

The simplest test we can carry out is to place these S0 galaxies on the Tully-Fisher relation, which displays a very tight correlation between a spiral galaxy's luminosity and its circular rotation speed (Tully & Fisher 1977). Such comparisons have previously been carried out by various authors, with somewhat mixed results (Pahre, Djorgovski & de Carvalho 1998; Neistein et al. 1999; Aragón-Salamanca 2008; Williams, Bureau & Cappellari 2010). One reason for the discrepant results is the difficulty in obtaining the circular rotation speed: for the spiral galaxies, this quantity can be reliably estimated from gas kinematics, but for the SOs we are reliant on stellar motions. The previous studies using conventional absorption-line spectroscopy have therefore faced two significant problems. First, unlike PN data, the absorption-line spectra do not typically reach particularly large radii, so probe regions where the rotation curve has not reached its asymptotic value and where the random motions are still large so the somewhat uncertain asymmetric drift correction is also large (see Section 4.1). Secondly, as we saw in Section 1, the composite nature of the kinematics in these multicomponent systems can significantly affect the inferred rotational properties, and it is only by separating the distinct kinematic components as we have done here that we can expect to get a reliable measure of the disc rotation speed and hence the galaxy's circular speed. We are therefore in a good position to place S0 galaxies reliably on the Tully-Fisher relation.

The other element we need for these S0 galaxies are measurements of their absolute magnitudes. We carry out this analysis using the *K*-band photometry introduced in Section 2 to minimize the impact of dust obscuration. There is a risk that the relatively shallow 2MASS exposures might miss some of the flux from the outer parts of the galaxy, so we use the magnitudes derived from the full GALFIT models obtained in Section 2 rather than the values quoted in the published 2MASS catalogue; in fact, this effect turns out to be rather small, with an average offset of only 0.05 mag. For NGC 3489, we had to use an SDSS *z*-band image to carry out the GALFIT modelling, so for this galaxy we calculate a total *z*-band magnitude from the model, then use the SDSS/2MASS integrated colours to convert it to a *K*-band magnitude, which implicitly assumes that there are no strong colour gradients in this galaxy.

To convert these values to absolute magnitudes, we use the distance moduli derived from surface brightness fluctuations from Tonry et al. (2001), systematically decreased by 0.16 mag to take into account the updated Cepheid zero-point of Freedman et al. (2001), as discussed in Coccato et al. (2009) and Cortesi et al. (2013). Here, it is important to use a distance scale that has not itself been based on Tully–Fisher or other kinematic scaling relations, to avoid introducing a circularity into the analysis.

Fig. 6 shows the resulting Tully–Fisher relation for the S0 galaxies analysed here, compared to the relation for spiral galaxies (Rothberg et al. 2000; Tully & Pierce 2000), calibrated to the same absolute magnitude scale. As found previously by Aragón-Salamanca (2008), there is clearly an offset between the S0 and spiral relations, in the sense that the S0s are systematically fainter. This offset fits



Figure 6. *K*-band Tully–Fisher relation for the S0 galaxies analysed here, compared to the relation for spiral galaxies (blue circles and line of best fit) from Tully & Pierce (2000) and Rothberg et al. (2000)

straightforwardly with a picture in which the S0 galaxies ceased forming stars at some point in the past, leading to a steady fading of their stellar populations. Again, there is no indication of any variation in this offset with environment, suggesting that this is not a driving factor in the timing of the transition, but there does seem to be a trend in the sense that the most massive galaxies display the largest offset; in the context of the time since evolving off the spiral Tully–Fisher relation, this trend can be interpreted as another instance of 'downsizing,' in which more massive galaxies go through evolutionary transitions at an earlier stage than less massive galaxies.

4.3.2 Faber–Jackson relation

Having separated spheroid and disc components, we are also in a position to look at connections in the other direction along the Hubble sequence to see how closely S0s might be related to elliptical galaxies. For these systems, the equivalent scaling is the Faber–Jackson relation between the spheroidal component velocity dispersion and its absolute magnitude.

Accordingly we have plotted these quantities for the S0 galaxy spheroids in Fig. 7, using the spheroid dispersions calculated in Section 4.1, and spheroid absolute magnitudes derived as described above. For comparison, we have also plotted the relation followed by elliptical galaxies as derived by Mobasher et al. (1999). These latter data are based on velocity dispersions measured at an effective radius, away from any central spike in velocity dispersion, so should be directly comparable to the dispersions determined for the



Figure 7. *K*-band Faber–Jackson relation plotting spheroid absolute magnitude as a function of its dispersion for the S0 galaxies studied here. Comparable kinematic data for elliptical galaxies from Mobasher et al. (1999) (filled red circles) and Matković & Guzmán (2005) (open red circles) are also shown. The line shows a fit to the brighter elliptical galaxy data.

S0 spheroids. These elliptical data do not span the full range of magnitudes seen for the S0 spheroids, so we supplement them with kinematic data on fainter early-type galaxies in the Coma Cluster (Matković & Guzmán 2005) using K-band magnitudes from Skrutskie et al. (2006). For these fainter galaxies, the kinematics were derived from fibre spectra, but the large 3 arcsec fibres used will cover most of these small faint galaxies at the distance of Coma, so should again be comparable to the luminosity-weighted average values of $\hat{\sigma}_{\rm sph}$ derived for the S0 spheroids. To obtain the absolute magnitude for the Coma galaxies, we placed the apparent magnitudes tabulated in Mobasher et al. (1999) and Skrutskie et al. (2006) at a distance modulus of m - M = 35.06, as also found using surface brightness fluctuations (Thomsen et al. 1997). The fact that the two comparison data sets follow a common relation even though their kinematics were derived with very different radial weightings indicates that the results are unlikely to be sensitive to the exact manner in which the average velocity dispersion is obtained.

The spheroids of the S0s follow a trend similar to the Faber– Jackson relation for ellipticals, although they seem to lie along its upper envelope. One possible explanation for such an offset might be that the influence of the disc surrounding the S0 spheroid might in some way decrease its velocity dispersion. However, simulations by Debattista, Kazantzidis & van den Bosch (2013) show that the formation of a disc around such a spheroidal component would in fact serve to compress it and increase its velocity dispersion, so the presence of a disc does not explain the difference. A simpler explanation is that the offset is along the other axis, due to some enhancement of the spheroid light in S0s when compared to other elliptical systems.

5 DISCUSSION

In this paper, we have analysed the kinematics of a sample of six lenticular galaxies using the PNe data presented in Cortesi et al. (2013) to determine their dynamical properties out to large radii. This analysis has emphasized the importance of treating the spheroidal and disc components of these systems as distinct entities both photometrically and kinematically, and doing a careful job of separating them. Through this process, the rather heterogeneous properties of S0s overall start to be resolved into more consistent sub-components, and a reasonably coherent picture begins to emerge.

The common factors we have uncovered are as follows.

(i) The discs of S0 galaxies are comparable to those of spirals, with similar flat rotation curves and falling velocity dispersion profiles, but with a larger amount of random motions.

(ii) The spheroids of S0 galaxies show flat dispersion profiles, similar to what is found in some ellipticals.

(iii) S0 galaxies follow the Tully–Fisher relation, but offset to fainter magnitudes than spiral galaxies, with a greater offset for more massive galaxies.

(iv) S0 galaxy spheroids follow the Faber–Jackson relation, somewhat offset to brighter magnitudes than elliptical galaxies.

(v) There is no strong evidence that any of these effects depend on the current environment of the galaxy.

The Tully–Fisher and Faber–Jackson findings are summarized in Fig. 8, which shows the offset from each relation for the S0 sample. In the absence of any systematic effect, we would expect these points to appear equally in all four quadrants, but in fact they appear strongly clustered in the region of the plot where the spheroids are too bright but the overall galaxies are too faint.



Figure 8. Plot showing how the S0 galaxies are offset in magnitude from the mean Tully–Fisher and Faber–Jackson relations for spiral galaxies and elliptical galaxies, respectively.

With this level of detail starting to become available, we can begin to sketch out a plausible evolutionary sequence leading to the formation of S0 galaxies. If these systems began their lives as spiral galaxies, at some point they underwent a transition that removed their gas supply and hence cut off star formation. The process responsible does not depend strongly on current environment, but does seem to have acted earlier on more massive galaxies as another example of downsizing. The hotter discs of S0s could indicate that their high-redshift spiral progenitors had less ordered discs (Kassin et al. 2012), and that this larger amount of random motion became locked in when star formation ceased. However, if the transformation occurred at a point when these systems had settled down to today's cold spiral systems, the hotter discs would then indicate that the galaxies had undergone a process sufficiently disruptive to heat the system significantly, but less violent than a merger.

This aggressive process might well also dump some fraction of the gas being stripped from the disc into the centre of the galaxy, causing a last burst of turbulent star formation there, enhancing the spheroid's luminosity significantly, shifting it off the Faber–Jackson relation. Such a scenario would also fit with the recent finding that the spheroids of S0 galaxies have systematically younger stellar populations than their discs (Johnston et al. 2012).

Of the various mechanisms advanced for causing galaxy transformation, perhaps the most plausible to realize such a scenario is a form of the 'harassment' invoked by Moore et al. (1996) as a process for transforming galaxies in clusters. In their paper, they demonstrated that this process of repeated high-speed encounters could strip gas from a galaxy while dumping some at the centre of the system under transformation as we require here. However, we also know that the process needs to be somewhat gentler than they modelled because we need to preserve the disc structure of the galaxy with only a fairly modest amount of heating. It remains to be seen whether such mild harassment, perhaps better thought of as 'pestering,' could be made to work across the range of environments in which S0s are found, but we do at least now have a growing number of observational constraints against which any such model can be tested.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

AC acknowledges the support from both ESO (during her studentship in 2011 and the visitor programme in 2012) and MPE (visitor programme 2012). LC acknowledges funding from the European Community Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013/) under grant agreement No 229517. AJR was supported by National Science Foundation grant AST-0909237. We thank the referee for the supportive and constructive report. The PN.S team thanks both the UK and NL time allocation committees and the staff of the WHT for their strong support in acquiring the data used in this research. This research has also made use of the 2MASS data archive, the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED), and of the ESO Science Archive Facility, for which we are grateful.

REFERENCES

- Aragón-Salamanca A., 2008, in Bureau M., Athanassoula E., Barbuy B., eds, Proc. IAU Symp. 245, Formation and Evolution of Galaxy Bulges. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, p. 285
- Binney J., Tremaine S., 2008, Galactic Dynamics. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ
- Bottema R., 1993, A&A, 275, 16

- Bournaud F., Jog C. J., Combes F., 2005, A&A, 437, 69
- Caon N., Macchetto D., Pastoriza M., 2000, ApJS, 127, 39
- Cappellari M., 2008, MNRAS, 390, 71
- Coccato L. et al., 2009, MNRAS, 394, 1249
- Cortesi A. et al., 2011, MNRAS, 414, 642
- Cortesi A. et al., 2013, A&A, 549, A115
- Davis T. A. et al., 2011, MNRAS, 414, 968
- Debattista V. P., Corsini E. M., Aguerri J. A. L., 2002, MNRAS, 332, 65
- Debattista V. P., Kazantzidis S., van den Bosch F. C., 2013, ApJ, 765, 23
- Emsellem E. et al., 2007, MNRAS, 379, 401
- Fabricius M. H., Saglia R. P., Fisher D. B., Drory N., Bender R., Hopp U., 2012, ApJ, 754, 67
- Forbes D. A. et al., 2012, MNRAS, 426, 975
- Freedman W. L. et al., 2001, ApJ, 553, 47
- Herrmann K. A., Ciardullo R., 2009, ApJ, 705, 1686
- Johnston E. J., Aragón-Salamanca A., Merrifield M. R., Bedregal A. G., 2012, MNRAS, 422, 2590
- Kassin S. A. et al., 2012, ApJ, 758, 106
- Kormendy J., Bender R., 2012, ApJS, 198, 2
- MacArthur L. A., González J. J., Courteau S., 2009, MNRAS, 395, 28
- Matković A., Guzmán R., 2005, MNRAS, 362, 289
- Mobasher B., Guzman R., Aragon-Salamanca A., Zepf S., 1999, MNRAS, 304, 225

- Moore B., Katz N., Lake G., Dressler A., Oemler A., 1996, Nat, 379, 613
- Neistein E., Maoz D., Rix H.-W., Tonry J. L., 1999, AJ, 117, 2666
- Noordermeer E. et al., 2008, MNRAS, 384, 943
- Norris M. A., Sharples R. M., Kuntschner H., 2006, MNRAS, 367, 815
- Pahre M. A., Djorgovski S. G., de Carvalho R. R., 1998, AJ, 116, 1591
- Peng C. Y., Ho L. C., Impey C. D., Rix H.-W., 2002, AJ, 124, 266
- Rothberg B., Saunders W., Tully R. B., Witchalls P. L., 2000, ApJ, 533, 781
- Simien F., Prugniel P., 1997, A&AS, 126, 519
- Skrutskie M. F. et al., 2006, AJ, 131, 1163
- Thomsen B., Baum W. A., Hammergren M., Worthey G., 1997, ApJ, 483, L37
- Tonry J. L., Dressler A., Blakeslee J. P., Ajhar E. A., Fletcher A. B., Luppino G. A., Metzger M. R., Moore C. B., 2001, ApJ, 546, 681
- Tully R. B., Fisher J. R., 1977, A&A, 54, 661
- Tully R. B., Pierce M. J., 2000, ApJ, 533, 744
- Williams M. J., Bureau M., Cappellari M., 2010, MNRAS, 409, 1330

This paper has been typeset from a T_FX/LAT_FX file prepared by the author.