## **Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University**

From the SelectedWorks of Aaron D. Clevenger

December 11, 2012

## How to Ignite Excitement for the Development of a Transformational QEP in a Distributed University

Aaron D. Clevenger, Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University - Daytona Beach Kelly W. George, Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University - Worldwide Jayathi S. Raghavan, Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University - Daytona Beach



## GD-7 How to Ignite Excitement for the Development of a Transformational QEP in a Distributed University (Strand 4)

**Facilitators**: Clevenger, George and Raghavan, Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University, Daytona Beach, FL **Location**: Rosetta, Atrium II, Mezzanine Level

Key QEP proposal developers from Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University will share the process that lead to a successful and meaningful QEP within a distributed network. This session will describe a two-year process of topic selection, focus and goal setting, the choice of research as the QEP topic, and the development of a full proposal within a distributed university composed of multiple campuses with varying focuses.

**Target audience**: This session will be useful for those individuals who work at institutions with multiple campuses who are developing a QEP.

- I. Ice Breaker Aaron
- II. Topic Selection Kelly
  - a. Mass request for white papers on potential QEP topics
  - b. Scored by rubric
  - c. Themes emerged (Appendix D chart)
  - d. Retreat activities (this was the intended selection point)
    - i. 3-4 major topics emerged
    - ii. More development needed
  - e. 3 topics further developed & presented to the President
  - f. 2 competing topics selection and developed further
  - g. President's choice of Knowledge Discovery
    - i. Knowledge Discovery evolved in some aspect to Research
- III. Topic Development Jayathi/Aaron
  - a. Identification of deliverables for the written proposals
  - b. Create timeline of deliverables
  - c. Include review and editorial time into timeline
  - d. Break deliverables into 11 sections according to SACS 2.13(?)
  - e. Create objectives/student learning outcomes
  - f. Action items
  - g. Assessment plan
  - h. Identify budget clearly
  - i. Buy in and communication along the way.
- IV. Shouda/Woulda/Coulda's Learn from Us
  - a. Topic was initially too big
  - b. QEP does not have to touch every student
  - c. Assign a strong program manager to the selection/development phase
  - d. Create specific action items first
  - e. Create budget directly tied to action items

- f. Create specific assessment tied to action items
- g. Need baseline measurement of goals/objectives/learning outcomes
- h. Faculty involvement critical for curricular themed QEP
- i. Remember assessment
- j. Be keen to administrative & leadership issues and cues on topics
- k. Might want to allow 2 years of QEP proposal development