## National University of Ireland, Maynooth

From the SelectedWorks of Seth Barrett Tillman

2007

## Citation List to The Federalist Papers as Reliable Historical Source Material for Constitutional Interpretation

Seth Barrett Tillman



## **CITATIONS TO**

## THE FEDERALIST PAPERS AS RELIABLE HISTORICAL SOURCE MATERIAL FOR CONSTITUTIONAL INTERPRETATION, 105 W. VA. L. REV. 601 (2003), <a href="http://ssrn.com/abstract=422700">http://ssrn.com/abstract=422700</a>

ROBERT W. BENNETT, CHI ELEGGE IL PRESIDENTE DEGLI STATI UNITI? IL PROBLEMA DEL COLLEGIO ELETTORALE 359 n.5 (2009), *available at* http://tinyurl.com/3wwxx79/.

ROBERT W. BENNETT, TAMING THE ELECTORAL COLLEGE 184 n.5 (2006).

DAN T. COENEN, THE STORY OF *THE FEDERALIST*: HOW HAMILTON AND MADISON RECONCEIVED AMERICA 254 n. 140, 346 nn.129-130 (2007).

Frank B. Cross, The Failed Promise of Originalism 50-51, 112, 214, 227 (2013).

MICHAEL I. MEYERSON, LIBERTY'S BLUEPRINT: HOW MADISON AND HAMILTON WROTE THE FEDERALIST PAPERS, DEFINED THE CONSTITUTION, AND MADE DEMOCRACY SAFE FOR THE WORLD 158, 272, 296 (2008).

1 MICHIE'S JURISPRUDENCE OF VIRGINIA & WEST VIRGINIA § 2 n.3 (2007).

STEPHEN B. PRESSER & JAMIL S. ZAINALDIN, LAW AND JURISPRUDENCE IN AMERICAN HISTORY lxii, 146 (5th ed. 2003).

RAY RAPHAEL, CONSTITUTIONAL MYTHS: WHAT WE GET WRONG AND HOW TO GET IT RIGHT 277 n.34, 280 n.48 (2013), available at http://works.bepress.com/seth\_barrett\_tillman/379/.

- 2 RONALD D. ROTUNDA & JOHN E. NOWAK, TREATISE ON CONSTITUTIONAL LAW: SUBSTANCE AND PROCEDURE § 9.11(a) n. (4th ed. Supp. 2011).
- 6 RONALD D. ROTUNDA & JOHN E. NOWAK, TREATISE ON CONSTITUTIONAL LAW: SUBSTANCE AND PROCEDURE § 23.35(a) n.1 (4th ed. Supp. 2011).

DARIUSZ STOLICKI, EXECUTIVE REVIEW 108, 235 (Krakow 2013), available at http://works.bepress.com/seth\_barrett\_tillman/490, also available at http://www.kkiup.wsmip.uj.edu.pl/documents/3035185/089af6c5-d27f-4287-9ece-135032fda3ef.

Richard Albert, *The Constitutional Imbalance*, 37 N.M. L. REV. 1, 6 n.34 (2007).

Richard Albert, *The Evolving Vice Presidency*, 78 TEMPLE L. REV. 811, 820 n.48 (2005).

Thomas E. Baker, *Constitutional Theory in a Nutshell*, 13 WM. & MARY BILL RTS. J. 57, 123 n.386 (2004).

Aaron-Andrew P. Bruhl, Response, *Against Mix-and-Match Lawmaking*, 16 CORNELL J.L. & PUB. POL'Y 349, 350 n.5 (2007).

Dan T. Coenen, A Rhetoric for Ratification: The Argument of The Federalist and Its Impact on Constitutional Interpretation, 56 DUKE L.J. 469, 507 n.218, 527 n.349, 542 n.403 (2007).

Melvyn R. Durchslag, *The Supreme Court And The Federalist Papers: Is There Less Here Than Meets The Eye?*, 14 Wm. & MARY BILL RTS. J. 243, 247 n.17 (2006).

Matthew J. Festa, *Dueling Federalists: Supreme Court Decisions with Multiple Opinions citing* The Federalist, 1986-2007, 31 SEATTLE U. L. REV. 75, 75 n.1, 77 n.10 (2007).

Jamal Greene, *The Case for Original Intent*, 80 GEO. WASH. L. REV. 1683, 1683 n.\*, 1694 & n.74 (2012).

C. Quince Hopkins, *The Supreme Court's Family Law Doctrine Revisited: Insights From Social Science on Family Structures and Kinship Change in the United States*, 13 CORNELL J.L. & PUB. POL'Y 431, 436 n.12 (2004).

James J. Knicely, First Principles and the Misplacement of the Wall of Separation: Too Late in the Day for a Cure?, 52 DRAKE L. REV. 171, 173 n.13 (2004).

Gregory E. Maggs, A Concise Guide to the Federalist Papers as a Source of the Original Meaning of the United States Constitution, 87 B.U. L. REV. 801, 801 n.\*, 831 & n.147 (2007).

Earl F. Martin, *America's Anti-Standing Army Tradition and the Separate Community Doctrine*, 76 MISS. L.J. 135, 142 n.30 (2006).

Buckner F. Melton, Jr. & Carol Willcox Melton, *The Supreme Court and The Federalist: A Supplement, 2001-2006*, 95 Ky. L.J. 749, 751 n.23 (2007).

Bruce G. Peabody, Response to Geoffrey R. Stone, Seth Barrett Tillman, and Alan Brownstein, *Analogize This: Partial Constitutional Text, Religion, and Maintaining Our Political Order*, 2010 CARDOZO L. REV. DE NOVO 204, 204 n.\*, 214 n.40, *available at* http://tinyurl.com/375azsh.

Louis J. Sirico, Jr., *The Supreme Court and the Constitutional Convention*, 27 J.L. & Pol. 63, 63 n.7 (2011).

Edward T. Swaine, *Putting Missouri v. Holland on the Map*, 73 Mo. L. REV. 1007, 1016 n.53 (2008).

Frank B. Cross, The Practical Meaning of Originalism 40 n.291 (Mar. 1, 2012), *available at* http://works.bepress.com/frank\_cross/5/, *also available at* http://works.bepress.com/seth\_barrett\_tillman/331/.

Karen Crabbs Fernandes, Delegation and the Administrative State: The New Process of Governing and its Effect on the Democratic Soul 26 n.41, 226 (Oct. 24, 2006) (unpublished Ph.D. dissertation, Georgetown University Dep't of Government) (on file with author), *available at* http://tinyurl.com/27zn4f5.

Michael L. Rosin, A Compound Ratio: How the Electoral College Got Its Proportions and How That Has Mattered 467 n.1827 (2009) (unpublished manuscript) (on file with author), *available at* http://works.bepress.com/seth\_barrett\_tillman/130/.

UNITED STATES CODE ANNOTATED § Article I, Section 6, Clause 2 (West 2008).

UNITED STATES CODE SERVICE § Article I, Section 6, Clause 2 (LexisNexis 2008).

\*\*\*

My publications and their abstracts are generally available on http://ssrn.com/author=345891 and on http://works.bepress.com/seth\_barrett\_tillman/.

A citation list to my publications is available at: http://works.bepress.com/seth\_barrett\_tillman/18/.