

National University of Ireland, Maynooth

From the Selected Works of Seth Barrett Tillman

May 1, 2008

Extract from United States Code Annotated,
Section on Article I, Section 7, Clause 2, citing
Tillman's A Textualist Defense and citing the
Bruhl-Tillman exchange

Seth Barrett Tillman, *None*

Section 7, Clause 2. Approval or Veto of Bills; Repassage Over Veto

Every Bill which shall have passed the House of Representatives and the Senate, shall, before it become a Law, be presented to the President of the United States; If he approve he shall sign it, but if not he shall return it, with his Objections to that House in which it shall have originated, who shall enter the Objections at large on their Journal, and proceed to reconsider it. If after such Reconsideration two thirds of that House shall agree to pass the Bill, it shall be sent, together with the Objections, to the other House, by which it shall likewise be reconsidered, and if approved by two thirds of that House, it shall become a Law. But in all such Cases the Votes of both Houses shall be determined by yeas and Nays, and the Names of the Persons voting for and against the Bill shall be entered on the Journal of each House respectively. If any Bill shall not be returned by the President within ten Days (Sundays excepted) after it shall have been presented to him, the Same shall be a Law, in like Manner as if he had signed it, unless the Congress by their Adjournment prevent its Return, in which Case it shall not be a Law.

LAW REVIEW COMMENTARIES

Against mix-and-match lawmaking. Aaron-Andrew P. Bruhl, 16 Cornell J.L. & Pub. Pol'y 349 (2007).

Congress, the president, and the pocket veto. Edward M. Kennedy, 63 Va.L.Rev. 355 (1977).

...

Defending the (not so) indefensible. Seth Barrett Tillman, 16 Cornell J.L. & Pub. Pol'y 363 (2007).

Four faces of the item veto: A reply to Tribe and Kurland. J. Gregory Sidak and Thomas A. Smith, 84 Nw.U.L.Rev. 437 (1990).

...

Noncontemporaneous lawmaking: Can the 110th Senate enact a bill passed by the 109th House? Seth Barrett Tillman, 16 Cornell J.L. & Pub. Pol'y 331 (2007).

...

Some thoughts on the veto. Charles L. Black, Jr., 40 Law & Contemp.Probs. (2) 87 (1976).

The selective nondelegation doctrine and the line item veto: A new approach to the nondelegation doctrine and its implications for Clinton v. City of New York. Michael B. Rappaport, 76 Tul.L.Rev. 265 (2001).

A textualist defense of Article I, Section 7, Clause 3: Why Hollingsworth v. Virginia was rightly decided, and why INS v. Chadha was wrongly reasoned. Seth Barrett Tillman, 83 Tex. L. Rev. 1265 (2005).