

## National University of Ireland, Maynooth

---

From the Selected Works of Seth Barrett Tillman

---

November 18, 2013

Extract from United States Code Annotated,  
Section on Article I, Section 3, Clause 7 (West  
2013 & 2015), citing Tillman's Response to  
Chafetz, and Tillman's Respose to Cassady

Seth Barrett Tillman

## U.S.C.A. Const. Art. I § 3, cl. 7

United States Code Annotated Currentness

Constitution of the United States

Annotated

Article I. The Congress (Refs & Annos)

Section 3, Clause 7. Judgment in Cases of Impeachment; Punishment on Conviction

Judgment in Cases of Impeachment shall not extend further than to removal from Office, and disqualification to hold and enjoy any Office of honor, Trust or Profit under the United States: but the Party convicted shall nevertheless be liable and subject to Indictment, Trial, Judgment and Punishment, according to Law.

### LAW REVIEW COMMENTARIES

....

The application of the Disqualification Clause to Congress: A response to Benjamin Cassady, “You’ve got your crook, I’ve got mine”: Why the Disqualification Clause doesn’t (always) disqualify. Brian C. Kalt, 33 **Quinnipiac L. Rev.** 7 (2014).

....

Interpreting precise constitutional text: The argument for a “new” interpretation of the Incompatibility Clause, the Removal & Disqualification Clause, and the Religious Test Clause--A response to Professor Josh Chafetz’s Impeachment & Assassination. **Seth Barrett Tillman**, 61 Clev. St. L. Rev. 285 (2013).

Let me be blunt: In *Blount*, the Senate never said that Senators aren’t impeachable. Buckner F. Melton, Jr., 33 **Quinnipiac L. Rev.** 33 (2014).

Originalism & the scope of the Constitution’s Disqualification Clause. **Seth Barrett Tillman**, 33 **Quinnipiac L. Rev.** 59 (2014).

The pleasures and perils of presentism: A meditation on history and law. Peter Charles Hoffer, 33 **Quinnipiac L. Rev.** 1 (2014).

Resignations and removals: a history of federal judicial service--and disservice--1789-1992. Emily Field Van Tassel, 142 U.Pa.L.Rev. 333 (1993).

....

“You’ve got your crook, I’ve got mine”: Why the Disqualification Clause doesn’t (always) disqualify. Benjamin Cassady, 32 **Quinnipiac L. Rev.** 209 (2014).