

October 15, 2010

Extract from United States Code Service, Section on Amendment XI, citing Tillman's A Textualist Defense of Article I, Section 7, Clause 3: Why *Hollingsworth v. Virginia* Was Rightly Decided, and Why *INS v. Chadha* Was Wrongly Reasoned

Seth Barrett Tillman

UNITED STATES CODE SERVICE  
USCS Const. Amend. 11

Suits against states--Restriction of judicial power.

The Judicial power of the United States shall not be construed to extend to any suit in law or equity, commenced or prosecuted against one of the United States by Citizens of another State, or by Citizens or Subjects of any Foreign State.

**Law Review Articles:**

Strasser. An Amendment to Protect Marriage: Bad in Theory, Likely Worse in Practice. 20 BYU J Pub L 387, 2006.

Pfander. History and state suability: an "explanatory" account of the eleventh amendment, 83 Cornell L Rev 1269, July 1998.

Chemerinsky. Congress, the Supreme Court, and the eleventh amendment: a comment on the decisions during the 1988-89 term. 39 DePaul L Rev 321.

Marshall. The eleventh amendment, process federalism and the clear statement rule. 39 DePaul L Rev 345.

Fletcher. Bringing Balance to Indian Gaming. 44 Harv J on Legis 39, Winter 2007.

Tribe. Intergovernmental Immunities in Litigation, Taxation, and Regulation: Separation of Powers Issues in Controversies About Federalism. 89 Harv L Rev 682.

Nelson. Sovereign Immunity as a Doctrine of Personal Jurisdiction. 115 Harv L Rev 1559, April 2002.

Bell. Legislatively Revising Kelo v. City of New London [545 US 469 (2005)]: Eminent Domain, Federalism, and Congressional Powers. 32 J Legis 165, 2006.

Ross. Not a Mere Omission: Reconciling the Clear Statement Rule and the Voting Rights Act. 7 Loy J Pub Int L 159, Spring 2006.

Redish; Muench. Adjudication of Federal Causes of Action in State Court. 75 Mich L Rev 311.

Sherry. Federal Courts, Practice & Procedure: Logic Without Experience: The Problem of Federal Appellate Courts. 82 Notre Dame L Rev 97, November 2006.

**Tillman. A Textualist Defense of Article I, Section 7, Clause 3: Why Hollingsworth v. Virginia Was Rightly Decided, and Why INS v. Chadha Was Wrongly Reasoned. 83 Tex L Rev 1265, April 2005.**

Orth. The Interpretation of the Eleventh Amendment, 1798-1908: A Case Study of Judicial Power. 1983 U Ill L Rev, 1983.

Issacharoff; Sharkey. Emerging Issues in Class Action Law: Backdoor Federalization. 53 UCLA L Rev 1353, August 2006.

Glicksman. Modern Federalism Issues and American Business: From Cooperative To Inoperative Federalism: The Perverse Mutation of Environmental Law and Policy. 41 Wake Forest L Rev 719, Fall 2006.

Choper. The Scope of National Power Vis-a-Vis the States: The Dispensability of Judicial Review. 86 Yale LJ 1552.

Jackson. The Supreme Court, the Eleventh Amendment, and State Sovereign Immunity. 98 Yale LJ 1, November 1988.

Manning. The Eleventh Amendment and the Reading of Precise Constitutional Texts. 113 Yale LJ 1663, June 2004.