National University of Ireland, Maynooth

From the SelectedWorks of Seth Barrett Tillman

August 3, 2009

BRIEF: Free Enter. Fund v. Pub. Co. Accounting Oversight Board: Law Professors' Amicus Brief in Support of Petitioners -- Filed August 3, 2009 -- in the Supreme Court of the United States citing Tillman's The Puzzle of Hamilton's Federalist No. 77

Seth Barrett Tillman



IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

FREE ENTERPRISE FUND AND BECKSTEAD AND WATTS, LLP,

Petitioners,

v

PUBLIC COMPANY ACCOUNTING OVERSIGHT BOARD AND UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Respondents.

On Writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit

BRIEF OF LAW PROFESSORS AS AMICI CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONERS

GARY LAWSON CHRISTOPHER S. Young Counsel of Record 3400 Chestnut Str

CHRISTOPHER S. YOO Counsel of Record 3400 Chestnut Street Philadelphia, PA 19104 (215) 746-8772

August 3, 2009

Counsel for Amici Curiae

viii

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES (continued)

Page
CHARLES C. THACH, JR., THE CREATION OF THE PRESIDENCY 1775–1789 (1922) 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13
Seth Barrett Tillman, <i>The Puzzle of Hamilton's</i> Federalist No. 77, 33 HARV. J.L. & PUB. POL'Y (forthcoming 2010)
NOAH WEBSTER, AMERICAN DICTIONARY (New York, S. Converse 1828)
PETER WHITELEY, LORD NORTH: THE PRIME MINISTER WHO LOST AMERICA (1996)
GORDON WOOD, THE CREATION OF THE AMERICAN REPUBLIC 1776–1787 (1969)
THE WORKS OF JOHN ADAMS (Books for Libraries Press 1969) (1851)
THE WRITINGS OF GEORGE WASHINGTON (John C. Fitzpatrick ed., 1931)
THE WRITINGS OF THOMAS JEFFERSON (Paul Leicester Ford ed., New York, G.P. Putnam's Sons 1897)

power of appointing, overseeing, and controlling those who execute the laws." *Id.* at 463.

In the end, Benson's amendment carried, making clear that a majority of both houses of the First Congress thought that Article II placed the removal power in the President. *Id.* at 585. similarly amended the framework statutes creating the War Department, id. at 592, and the Treasury although $_{
m the}$ latter amendment Department, engendered considerable conflict between the House and passed the Senate only by the casting vote of Vice President John Adams, id. at 55, 71-72, 674, 676, 688-89, 778, 782, 786. See generally Saikrishna Prakash, New Light on the Decision of 1789, 91 CORNELL L. REV. 1021, 1063–67 (2006).

This series of congressional constructions of the Constitution, which came to be known as the "Decision of 1789," was regarded as settling that the Constitution vested the removal power in the President. See Bowsher v. Synar, 478 U.S. 714, 723–24 (1986); Myers v. United States, 272 U.S. at 174–75; Parsons v. United States, 167 U.S. 324, 328–30 (1897); Ex parte Hennen, 38 U.S. (13 Pet.) 230, 259 (1839).6

Feven Hamilton, who indicated some ambivalence about presidential removal in *The Federalist No. 77*, regarded the Decision of 1789 as settling the matter. *See* 4 PAPERS OF ALEXANDER HAMILTON 638 n.3 (reprinting the 1804 version of *The Federalist Papers* personally edited by Hamilton, which noted that "it is now settled in practice, that the power of displacing belongs exclusively to the president"). *But see* Seth Barrett Tillman, *The Puzzle of Hamilton's* Federalist No. 77, 33 HARV. J.L. & PUB. POL'Y (forthcoming 2010) (available at http://ssrn.com/abstract=1331664) (questioning whether