

**National University of Ireland, Maynooth**

---

**From the Selected Works of Seth Barrett Tillman**

---

February 15, 2007

Extract from Michael J. Gerhardt et al.'s  
Constitutional Theory: Arguments and  
Perspectives citing Tillman's A Textualist Defense

Seth Barrett Tillman



Available at: [https://works.bepress.com/seth\\_barrett\\_tillman/58/](https://works.bepress.com/seth_barrett_tillman/58/)

**CONSTITUTIONAL  
THEORY:  
ARGUMENTS AND  
PERSPECTIVES**

**THIRD EDITION**



- Abner S. Greene, *The Missing Step of Textualism*, 74 FORDHAM L. REV. 1913 (2006)
- Thomas Grey, *Do We Have an Unwritten Constitution?*, 27 STAN. L. REV. 703, 706 (1975)
- Vicki C. Jackson, *Holistic Interpretation: Fitzpatrick v. Bitzer & Our Bifurcated Constitution*, 53 STAN. L. REV. 1259 (2001)
- Gary Lawson, *On Reading Recipes . . . And Constitutions*, 85 GEO. L.J. 1823 (1997)
- Paul E. McCreal, *There is No Such Thing as Textualism: A Case Study in Constitutional Method*, 69 FORDHAM L. REV. 2393 (2001)
- John F. Manning, *Textualism and the Role of The Federalist in Constitutional Adjudication*, 66 GEO. WASH. L. REV. 1337 (1998); *The Eleventh Amendment & the Reading of Precise Constitutional Texts*, 112 YALE L.J. 1663 (2004)
- V. F. Nourse, *Toward a New Constitutional Anatomy*, 56 STAN. L. REV. 835 (2004)
- H. Jefferson Powell, *Parchment Matters: A Meditation on the Constitution as Text*, 71 IOWA L. REV. 1427 (1986)
- JED RUBENFELD, *REVOLUTION BY JUDICIARY: THE STRUCTURE OF AMERICAN CONSTITUTIONAL LAW* (2005); *Reading the Constitution as Spoken*, 104 YALE L.J. 1119 (1995)
- Frederick Schauer, *Easy Cases*, 58 S. CAL. L. REV. 399 (1985)
- Suzanna Sherry, *The Founders' Unwritten Constitution*, 54 U. CHI. L. REV. 1127 (1987); *Textualism and Judgment*, 66 GEO. WASH. L. REV. 1148 (1998)
- David A. Strauss, *Common Law, Common Ground, and Jefferson's Principle*, 112 YALE L.J. 1717 (2003)
- Symposium, *Textualism and the Constitution*, 66 GEO. WASH. L. REV. 1081 (1998)
- Seth Barrett Tillman, *A Textualist Defense of Article I, Section 7, Clause 3: Why Hollingsworth v. Virginia Was Rightly Decided, & Why INS v. Chadha Was Wrongly Reasoned*, 83 TEX. L. REV. 1265 (2005)
- Laurence H. Tribe, *Taking Text and Structure Seriously: Reflections on Free-Form Method in Constitutional Interpretation*, 108 HARV. L. REV. 1221 (1995)
- LAURENCE TRIBE & MICHAEL DORF, *ON READING THE CONSTITUTION* (1991)
- Adrian Vermeule & Ernest A. Young, *Hercules, Herbert, and Amar: The Trouble with Intratextualism*, 113 HARV. L. REV. 730 (2000)
- John C. Yoo, *War & the Constitutional Text*, 69 U. CHI. L. REV. 1639 (2002)