



“Legal Profession as Subject”

A Bibliography

This bibliography was first prepared by Peter J. Egler, Interim Head of Research Services at the Legal Research Center of Drexel University’s Earle Mack School of Law. It is intended to serve as a tool for the growing discussion of the “legal profession as subject” (also known as the “bug and etymologist” phenomenon). Please send any suggested additions or corrections to ko54@drexel.edu.

Karl Okamoto

Section 1: Organizational Structure of Law Firms

1. Marc Galanter & William D. Henderson, *The elastic tournament: a second transformation of the big law firm*, 60 Stanford L. Rev. 1867 (2008).
2. Andrew Bruck & Andrew Canter, *Supply, demand, and the changing economics of large law firms*, 60 Stanford L. Rev. 2087 (2008).
3. Ian J. Silverbrand, *Modified partnership structures and their effects on associate satisfaction*, 21 Georgetown J. Legal Ethics 165 (2008).
4. Michael A. Hitt, Leonard Bierman, & Jamie D. Collins, *The Strategic Evolution of Large US Law Firms. (Professional Models to a Corporate Business Models)*, Business Horizons, Jan 1, 2007, at 17.
5. Matthew S. Winings, *The power of law firm partnership: why dominant rainmakers will impede the immediate, widespread implementation of an autocratic management structure*, 55 Drake L. Rev. 165 (2006).
6. William D. Henderson, *An Empirical Study of Single-Tier Versus Two-Tier Partnerships in the AMLAW 200*, 84 North Carolina L. Rev. 1691 (2006).
7. Robert A. Dolinko, *A comment on Professor Henderson's empirical study of single-tier versus two-tier partnerships in the AM LAW 200. (response to article by William D. Henderson in this issue, p. 1691)* 84 North Carolina L. Rev. 1751 (2006).
8. Kimberly D. Krawiec, *Organizational form as status and signal (New York)* 40 Wake Forest L. Rev. 977 (2005).
9. Scott Baker & Kimberly D. Krawiec, *The Economics of Limited Liability: An Empirical Study of New York Law Firms*, 2005 U. Illinois L. Rev. 107.
10. Douglas R. Richmond, *The Changing Structure Of American Law Firms: Essential Principles For Law Firm General Counsel*, 53 Kan. L. Rev. 805 (2005).
11. Christel Walther, *LLC and lawyers: a good combination?(limited liability companies)*, 50 Loyola L. Rev. 359 (2004).
12. Robert Eli Rosen, *"We're all consultants now": how change in client organizational strategies influences change in the organization of corporate legal services*, 44 Arizona L. Rev. 637 (2002).
13. Poonam Puri, *Judgment Proofing the Profession*, 15 Georgetown J. Legal Ethics 1 (2001).
14. Larry Ribstein, *Ethical Rules, Law Firm Structure, and Choice of Laws*, 69 U. Cincinnati L. Rev. 1161 (2001).
15. Robert W. Hillman, *Professional partnerships, competition and the evolution of firm culture: the case of law firms*, 26 J. Corporation Law 1061 (2001).

16. Edward S. Adams & Stuart Albert, *Law redesigns law: legal principles as principles of law firm organization*, 51 Rutgers L. Rev. 1133 (1999).
17. Joseph S. Naylor, *Is the limited liability partnership now the entity of choice for Delaware law firms?*, 24 Delaware J. Corporate Law 145 (1999).
18. Allan W. Vestal, *Special ethical and fiduciary challenges for law firms under the new and revised unincorporated business forms*, 39 South Texas L. Rev. 445 (1998).
19. Susan Saab Fortney, *Professional responsibility and liability issues related to limited liability law partnerships*, 39 South Texas L. Rev. 399 (1998).
20. Mark I. Harrison, *LLPs Are Just Another "Star Wars"!*, 39 South Texas L. Rev. 633 (1998).
21. Martin C. McWilliams Jr., *Limited liability law practice*, 49 South Carolina L. Rev. 359 (1998).
22. Charles W. Wolfram, *Inherent powers in the crucible of lawyer self-protection: reflections on the LLP campaign*, 39 South Texas L. Rev. 359 (1998).
23. Thomas D. Morgan, *Conflicts of interest and the new forms of professional associations*, 39 South Texas L. Rev. 215 (1998).
24. Robert W. Hillman, *The Impact of Partnership Law on the Legal Profession*, 67 Fordham L. Rev. 393 (1998).
25. William W. Bratton & Joseph A. McCahery, *An inquiry into the efficiency of the limited liability company: of theory of the firm and regulatory competition*, 54 Washington & Lee L. Rev. 629 (1997).
26. Patrick Cammarata, *Allowing attorneys to swing for the fences: the Massachusetts Limited Liability Partnership Act*, 38 Boston College L. Rev. 949 (1997).
27. Mark I. Weinstein, *The Revised Uniform Partnership Act: an analysis of its impact on the relationship of law firm dissolution, contingent fee cases and the no compensation rule*, 33 Duquesne L. Rev. 857 (1995).
28. N. Scott Murphy, *It's nothing personal: the public costs of limited liability law partnerships*, 71 Indiana L. J. 201 (1995).

Section 2: Publically Traded Law Firms

1. Justin D. Petzold, *Firm offers: are publicly traded law firms abroad indicative of the future of the United States legal sector?*, 2009 Wisconsin L. Rev. 67.
2. Bruce MacEwen, Milton C. Regan Jr, & Larry Ribstein, *Law Firms, Ethics, and Equity Capital*, 21 Georgetown J. Legal Ethics 61 (2008).

3. Jason Krause, *Selling Law on an Open Market: The World's First Publicly Traded Law Firm Ignites Debate*, ABA Journal, July 2007, at 34.
4. James R. DeBuse, *Opening at \$25 1/2 is Big Firm U.S.A.: Why America may Eventually have a Publicly Traded Law Firm, and Why Law Firms can Succeed without Going Public*, 34 J. Corporation Law 317 (2008).

Section 3: Multi-Disciplinary Law Firms

1. Kathryn Lolita Yarbrough, *Multidisciplinary Practices: Are They Already Among Us?*, 53 Alabama L. Rev. 639 (2002).
2. Ann L. Macnaughton & Gary A. Munneke, *Practicing Law Across Geographic And Professional Borders: What Does The Future Hold?*, 47 Loyola L. Rev. 665 (2001).
3. Gary A. Munneke, *Lawyers, Accountants, And The Battle To Own Professional Services*, 20 Pace L. Rev. 73 (1999).
4. Jay S. Zimmerman & Matthew J. Kelly, *MDPs After Enron / Anderson: MDPs May Be Dead After Enron/Andersen, But Subsidiary Businesses Thrive*, 29 Law & Soc. Inquiry 639 (2004).
5. Mary C. Daly, *Monopolist, Aristocrat, Or Entrepreneur?: A Comparative Perspective On The Future Of Multidisciplinary Partnerships In The United States, France, Germany, And The United Kingdom After The Disintegration Of Andersen Legal*, 80 Washington U. L. Q. 589 (2002).
6. John H. Matheson & Peter D. Favorite, *Multidisciplinary Practice And The Future Of The Legal Profession: Considering A Role For Independent Directors*, 32 Loyola U. Chi. L.J. 577 (2001).
7. John H. Matheson, *Governance Issues In The Multidisciplinary Corporate Practice Firm*, 69 U. Cincinnati L. Rev. 1107 (2001). 2001 Wake Forest Law Review Association, Inc.
8. Randall S. Thomas, Stewart J. Schwab & Robert G. Hansen, *Megafirms (growth of law, accounting, and investment banking firms)(Statistical Data included)*, 80 North Carolina L. Rev. 115 (2001).
9. Peter C. Kostant, *Sacred cows or cash cows: the abuse of rhetoric in justifying some current norms of transactional lawyering*, 36 Wake Forest L. Rev. 49 (2001).
10. Laurel S. Terry, *Redefining Lawyers' Work: Multidisciplinary Practice A Primer On Mdps: Should The "No" Rule Become A New Rule?*, 72 Temple L. Rev. 869 (1999).

Section 4: Law Firm Regulation

1. Susan Saab Fortney, *Tales of Two Regimes for Regulating Limited Liability Law Firms in the US and Australia: Client Protection and Risk Management Lessons*, 11 Legal Ethics 230 (2008).
2. Mark Pruner, *Online activities & their impact on the legal profession: The Clash Of 20th Century Regulation With 21st Century Technology*, 16 St. John's J. Legal Commentary 587 (2002).
3. Geoffrey C. Hazard and Ted Schneyer, *Regulatory Controls on Large Law Firms: A Comparative Perspective. (the Future Structure and Regulation of Law Practice)*, 44 Arizona L. Rev. 593 (2002).
4. *Panel Discussion: How should we regulate large law firms? Is a law firm disciplinary rule the answer?* 16 Georgetown J. Legal Ethics 203 (2002).
5. Jeffrey W. Stempel, *The Bankruptcy Of A Business Paradigm For Conceptualizing And Regulating The Legal Profession*, 27 Florida State U. U.L. Rev. 25 (1999).
6. Robert W. Hillman, *The impact of partnership law on the legal profession*, 67 Fordham L. Rev. 393 (1998).

Section 5: Tournament Theory

1. Bruce M. Price, *Of General Interest: How Green Was My Valley? An Examination Of Tournament Theory As A Governance Mechanism In Silicon Valley Law Firms*, 37 Law & Soc'y Rev. 731 (2003).
2. David B. Wilkins & G. Mitu Gulati, *Reconceiving the Tournament of Lawyers: Tracking, Seeding, and Information Control in the Internal Labor Markets of Elite Law Firms*, 84 Virginia L. Rev. 1581 (1998).
3. George Rutherglen & Kevin A. Kordana, *The Need for an Alternative Explanation of Law Firm Structure and Growth*, 84 Virginia L. Rev. 1695 (1998).
4. Marc Galanter & Thomas Palay, *A Little Jousting about the Big Law Firm Tournament*, 84 Virginia L. Rev. 1683 (1998).

Section 6: Value Creation By Lawyers

1. Nestor M. Davidson, *Values and Value Creation in Public-Private Transactions*, 94 Iowa L. Rev. 937 (2009).
2. George W. Dent, Jr., *Business Lawyers as Enterprise Architects*, 64 Bus. Law. 279 (2009).
3. Steven L. Schwarcz, *To Make Or to Buy: In-House Lawyering and Value Creation*, 33 J. Corp. L. 497 (2008).

4. John A. Flood & Fabian P. Sosa, *Lawyers, Law Firms and the Stabilization of Transnational Business*, 28 *Northwestern J. Int. Bus.* 489 (2008).
5. Jeffrey M. Lipshaw, *Beetles, Frogs, and Lawyers: The Scientific Demarcation Problem in the Gilson Theory of Value Creation*, Suffolk University Law School Research Paper No. 08-38 (Available at SSRN at <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1303483>).
6. Steven L. Schwarcz, *Explaining the Value of Transactional Lawyering*, 12 *Stanford J. L. Bus. & Fin.* 486, 487 (2007).
7. F. Scott Kieff & Troy A. Paredes, *Engineering A Deal: Toward A Private Ordering Solution To The Anticommons Problem*, 47 *Boston College L. Rev.* 111 (2007).
8. David M. Driesen & Shubha Ghosh, *The Functions of Transaction Costs: Rethinking Transaction Cost Minimization in a World of Friction*, 47 *Arizona L. Rev.* 61 (2005).
9. Peter J. Gardner, *A Role For The Business Attorney In The Twenty-First Century: Adding Value To The Client's Enterprise In The Knowledge Economy*, 7 *Marquette Intellectual Property L. Rev.* 17 (2003).
10. Royce de R. Barondes, *The Business Lawyer As Terrorist Transaction Cost Engineer*, 69 *Fordham L. Rev.* 31 (2000).
11. Charles R.T. O'Kelley, *Preparing The Corporate Lawyer: Delaware Corporation Law And Transaction Cost Engineering*, 34 *Georgia L. Rev.* 929 (2000).
12. Kenneth R. Margolis, *Responding to the Value Imperative: Learning to Create Value in the Attorney-Client Relationship*, 5 *Clinical L. Rev.* 117 (1998).
13. Joseph A. Smith, *The Role of Outside Counsel in the Creation of Economic Value*, 2 *North Carolina Banking Institute* 113 (1998).
14. Ronald J. Gilson, *Lawyers as Transaction Cost Engineers* (1997 working paper), available at SSRN: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=11418>.
15. Ronald J. Gilson & Robert H. Mnookin, *Foreword: Business Lawyers and Value Creation for Clients*, 74 *Oregon L. Rev.* 1 (1995).
16. Karl S. Okamoto, *Reputation and the Value of Lawyers*, 74 *Oregon L. Rev.* 15 (1995).
17. David A. Dana, *Environmental Lawyers and the Public Service Model of Lawyering*, 74 *Oregon L. Rev.* 57 (1995).
18. George H. Brown, *Environmental Lawyers and the Public Interest: A Response to David Dana*, 74 *Oregon L. Rev.* 85 (1995).
19. Ted Schneyer, *Fuzzy Models of the Corporate Lawyer as Environmental Compliance Counsellor. (Response to Article by David Dana in this Issue, p. 57)*, 74 *Oregon L. Rev.* 99 (1995).
20. Frederick W. Lambert, *A Preliminary Inquiry into the Transcendence of Value Creation*, 74 *Oregon L. Rev.* 121 (1995).

21. Sandra M. Huszagh & Fredrick W. Huszagh, *Lawyers as Exchange Engineers in Commerce: An Empirical Overview*, 74 Oregon L. Rev. 147 (1995).
22. Edward A. Bernstein, *Law & Economics and the Structure of Value Adding Contracts: A Contract Lawyer's View of the Law & Economics Literature*, 74 Oregon L. Rev. 189 (2005).
23. Lisa Bernstein, *The Silicon Valley Lawyer as Transaction Cost Engineer*, 74 Oregon L. Rev. 239 (1995).
24. Mark C. Suchman, *Translation Costs: A Comment on Sociology and Economics. (Response to Article by Lisa Bernstein in this Issue, p. 239)* 74 Oregon L. Rev. 257 (1995).
25. Manuel A. Utset, *Producing Information: Initial Public Offerings, Production Costs, and the Producing Lawyer*, 74 Oregon L. Rev. 275 (1995).
26. Robert B. Thompson, *Value Creation by Lawyers within Relational Contracts and in Noisy Environments*, 74 Oregon L. Rev. 315 (1995).
27. Richard W. Painter, *Afterword: Contractarian and Cultural Perspectives on Value Creation by Business Lawyers*, 74 Oregon L. Rev. 327 (1995).
28. Larry A. DiMatteo & Rene Sacasas, *Credit And Value Comfort Instruments: Crossing The Line From Assurance To Legally Significant Reliance And Toward A Theory Of Enforceability*, 47 Baylor L. Rev. 357 (1995)
29. Ronald J. Gilson, *Value Creation by Business Lawyers: Legal Skills and Asset Pricing*, 94 Yale L. J. 239 (1984).